

Highlights of SCR 99:

SCR 99 is essentially a continuation of HCR 130 of 2011, with the following items as noted below:

- 1) Base per pupil amount remains at \$3,855
- 2) Suspended the 50% Pay Raise Requirement for Certificated Personnel when the base per pupil amount has zero growth
- 3) Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts (LSMSA) and New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA) will now be funded state and locally based on the proportion of the state and local support provided in Level 1 of the MFP formula
- 4) Louisiana School for the Deaf and Visually Impaired (LSDVI) and the Special School District (SSD) have been added to the formula and will be funded state and locally based on the district in which the attending student resides
- 5) Legacy Type 2 Charter Schools are now funded in the same manner as all other Type 2 charter schools
- 6) Adds Escadrille Teachers to the Foreign Language Associate Program funding
- 7) Repurposes the annual Hold Harmless reduction amount to provide rewards to high performing schools and schools achieving significant student progress, as defined by SBESE
- 8) Adds Educational Service Providers to begin in FY2013-2014
- 9) Adds Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (SSEEP) to the formula and will be funded using state dollars that represent proportionately the state and local shares. Funding will be based on the district in which the attending student resides
- 10) Adds the Early High School Graduation Scholarship Program to begin in FY2013-2014
- 11) Encourages school districts to explore utilizing student-based budgeting as a tool to improve student achievement

Provisions continued from the previous year MFP formula:

- A. Student based formula including:
 - Base per pupil cost
 - February 1 student membership count
 - Weights recognizing the unique needs of At-Risk, Special Education, Gifted and Talented, and Vocational Education students
 - English Language Learners (ELL) students counted with the At-Risk Count
 - Economy of Scale recognizing the high cost of operating small districts (less than 7,500 students)
- B. Use of Deduction/Chargeback Method in determination of Local Share Contribution

Attachment 3

- Calculates a local share based on the Net Assessed Property Value multiplied by a set millage and the Sales Tax Base multiplied by a set sales tax rate
 - Local share is then subtracted from the Total Cost of education in school districts as estimated by the formula
 - The result is the State share provided to each district via the MFP
- C. Cap of 15% on increases in district's sales tax base used in calculating the local share of the formula
- D. Cap of 10% on increases in Net Assessed Property Value when calculating local share of formula
- E. Minimum State share of 25% of Level 1 Costs
- F. Level 2 reward amounts are calculated using a set factor times the Level 1 local share percent for each district
- G. Provides for continuation of prior year Certificated and Support Worker Legislative pay raises
- H. Foreign Language Associates salary funding
- I. Foreign Associate Teacher Stipends to defray installation costs in year one and to provide for retention incentive in year two and year three
- J. Mandated Cost Adjustment for fuel, health insurance, and retirement cost increases
- K. Reduction of Hold Harmless Amounts
- The reduction of the hold harmless amount began in 2007-08 with the hold harmless amount frozen at \$76 million with \$38 million set aside for permanent continuation of pay raise and insurance amounts provided in prior years
 - The remaining hold harmless amount of \$38 million will be reduced by 10% over 10 years
- L. Two Mid-year Adjustments based on Student Membership Count Dates of October and February
- It is critical that the student data transmitted to the Department for October 1, 2012, and February 1, 2013, via the Student Information System (SIS) are accurate and submitted on a timely basis.
 - In addition, the February 1, 2013, data will be used to fund the 2013-14 MFP formula.
 - October 1, 2012, compared to February 1, 2012
 - Districts receive adjustment for the base per pupil amount times the number of students gained or lost
 - February 1, 2013, compared to October 1, 2012
 - Districts receive adjustment for one-half of base per pupil amount times the number of students gained or lost
- M. 70% Instructional Requirement that restricts educational school expenditures to school building level only and includes consequences for districts and schools failing to meet the 70% Requirement

Attachment 3

- N. Type 2 Charter Schools are included in the MFP with the local share allocation funded by a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the local share allocation from the district of prior jurisdiction
- O. LSU and SU Lab Schools are funded at the state average per pupil amount for Levels 1, 2 and 3 based on their February 1, 2012, MFP membership.