# Recognizing and Rewarding Great Results in the Classroom: Act 1 Compensation Training 

October 2012

## What will we do today?

- Understand the requirements of Act I
- Explore the supporting materials and resources
- Practice using two Act 1-compliant approaches that can achieve your vision of rewarding your most effective teachers
- Plan next steps


## How we will spend our time?



| TOPIC | TIME |
| :--- | :---: |
| Introduction and review of Act I | 20 min |
| Sample compensation modeling process, materials and <br> tools | 10 min |
| Overview and discussion of workforce analysis | 30 min |
| Break | 15 min |
| Explore the two compensation models | 15 min |
| Practice using the compensation models | 75 min |
| Next steps | 15 min |

## Agenda

- Introduction and Review of Act I
- Compensation Modeling Process
- Overview of the Models and Practice
- Timeline and Key Resources


## If Louisiana Believes that all students can achieve, then we have to believe in the adults who work with them.

With Act 1 of 2012 in place, districts have the flexibility to design compensation systems that respond to local school needs, allowing them to recruit, reward and retain effective, in-demand teachers.

## The Old Approach to Compensation

- Rigid, quality-blind salary schedules dictated by statewide mandates.


## Act 1 Compensation

- Districts set their own targets for increasing achievement and reward teachers who make the biggest difference in classrooms.


## Act 1 allows districts to design compensation systems that recruit, recognize, and retain effective teachers.



## MINIMAL ACT 1 REQUIREMENTS:

- None of the three criterion above can account for more than $50 \%$ of the salary formula.
- Teachers or administrators who receive an "Ineffective" Compass rating do not receive a higher salary in the year following the evaluation.
- Any current teacher's or certified school employee's salary can not be reduced below the salary amount for the previous school year or at any time during a school year.


## How will we define effectiveness, demand and experience?

## Effectiveness

- Summative Compass rating


## Demand

- Certification
- Geographic area
- Subject area
- School performance


## Experience

- Years of experience within the district/other districts
- Relevant previous work experience


## How will we define effectiveness, demand and experience?



## How will we define effectiveness, demand and experience?



## Learning from our colleagues

## Discussion

We know conversations around this work have already begun.

Would anyone be willing to share the factors you have considered?

What is most important to you in this process?

## Agenda

- Introduction and Review of Act I
- Compensation Modeling Process
- Overview of the Models and Practice
- Timeline and Key Resources


## Compensation tools and resources can support your local design process.

## Review

This presentation includes embedded documents to support your district's compensation design process.

Data Collection and Workforce Analysis: Gathering and analyzing workforce data on recruitment, retirement, etc. will help your district better understand needs and challenges. An analysis can inform your decisions about how to factor demand, performance, and experience into your salary system. Much of the data will be used in the modeling and sustainability testing.

Compensation Modeling: This presentation includes two, sample ,Act 1-compliant compensation models with detailed instructions; your district may choose to customize one of these models based on local priorities or use another model altogether.

Sustainability Testing: These materials provide key considerations and a process to ensure that compensation structures are sustainable under a variety of funding scenarios for a 10-year period.

## Workforce analysis: How will we decide how to recognize performance, demand, and experience?

## Overview

A workforce analysis will:

1) Help you identify the data you need to make decisions. Data might include:
$>$ Teacher recruitment data
$>$ Teacher retirement
$>$ Salary data
$>$ Budget data
See attachments
for Data Request and Database
> Teacher performance data
2) Help you to identify your local priorities and challenges
3) Align your priorities to compensation design decisions
4) Provide you the data you will need to test your model for sustainability

## When searching for effective teachers, qualifications provide insight, but past performance is by far the best indicator.



Note: Results depicted above are limited to those that are statistically significant.

## Example: Using workforce analysis data to make decisions

Examine this data slide. What kind of information do you see here? Do you have similar information for your district? Share out.

Number of 2012-2013 Teachers
by Experience Category


## How will your district make decisions about how to factor in performance, demand, and experience?

## Discussion

Take 10 minutes to discuss the following questions with members of your team or table:

1) What are some workforce needs or challenges that you're already aware of? Think about both recruitment and retention. Think about challenges you might experience at specific schools or with specific subjects.
2) How could you address those in your compensation system through the performance, demand and

See attachments
for Decisions and Discussion Guide and Sample Report

Share out.

## Agenda

- Introduction and Review of Act I
- Compensation Modeling Process
- Overview of the Models and Practice
- Timeline and Key Resources


## We will look at two models. Both include significant monetary rewards for highly effective teachers.




Salary amounts are illustrative and fully adjustable.

## Model \#1: Salary Levels Model

## Highlights of the Salary Levels Model

BASE SALARY INCREASE: Teachers receive an annual base salary increase for every year they receive an Effective: Emerging, Effective: Proficient, or Highly Effective rating.
DEMAND: A district may choose to recognize the Demand component through a base salary increase or a non-base building stipend.

MOVING SALARY: Under this approach, teachers move to the next "salary level" when they receive 3 Highly Effective ratings OR 4 ratings of at least Effective: Proficient.

STIPEND: A district may choose to create a one-time stipend to further recognize Highly Effective teachers.

## Salary Levels Model Trajectories

## Base Salary by Years of Experience

Current System vs. New System


## Salary amounts are illustrative and fully adjustable.

## Model \#2: Consecutive Performance Model

## Highlights of the Consecutive Performance Model

SALARY CAN VARY YEARLY: In this model, compensation varies yearly depending on a teacher's effectiveness ratings.

REWARDS CONSISTENT EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE: Teachers with consecutive Highly Effective or Effective: Proficient earn growing annual base-building increases. Effective: Emerging teachers receive non-base building, one-time stipend payments (with limitations).

FLEXIBILITY TO DIFFERENTIATE BY PERFORMANCE: In this model, the district has the flexibility to decide how much base salaries are differentiated by performance and should consider the message they want to send teachers regarding their performance in the classroom.

## The Consecutive Performance Model Trajectories



## We will look at two models. Both include significant monetary rewards for highly effective teachers.



| \$75,000 | Consecutive Performance model |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$75,000 | \$72,875 |
| \$70,000 |  |
| \$65,000 |  |
| \$60,000 |  |
| \$55,000 |  |
| \$50,000 |  |
|  | \$46,100 |
| \$45,000 |  |
|  | 02246881012141618202224262830 |

Salary amounts are illustrative and fully adjustable.

## The Consecutive Performance Model: Inputs

## Explore

Open the Excel document titled "Consecutive Performance Model Template." Click on the tab titled "Inputs" and you will see:

USERS ENTER VALUES IN GREEN CELLS ONLY


Enter Pay Increase Amounts:

| Category Number | Name | Effectiveness | Experience | Demand 1 | Demand 2 | Demand 3 | MEETS 50\% RULE MEETS 50\% RULE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ineffective | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 2 | Emerging (1st Year) | \$50 | \$50 | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 3 | Emerging (2nd Year) | \$50 | \$50 | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| 4 | Emerging (3rd Year) | \$50 | \$50 | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |
| 5 | Proficient (1st Year) | \$200 | \$100 | \$100 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |
| 6 | Proficient (2nd Year) | \$300 | \$150 | \$150 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |
| 7 | Proficient (3rd Year) | \$400 | \$200 | \$200 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |
| 8 | Highly Effective (1st Year) | \$400 | \$200 | \$200 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |
| 9 | Highly Effective (2nd Year) | \$500 | \$250 | \$250 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |
| 10 | Highly Effective (3rd Year) | \$600 | \$300 | \$300 | \$0 | \$0 | MEETS 50\% RULE |

From this tab, you can enter data to make decisions about:

- starting salaries
- the amount of compensation increase for each rating adjust the weighting of each criteria


## Let's explore the model together: Budgets

## Explore

Click on the tab titled, "Budget Inputs" and you will see:


From this tab, you can input the total amount of money available for teacher salaries (this figure does not include benefits) in each year of the model.

## Let＇s explore the model together：Salary and rating

## Explore

Click on the tab titled，＂Salary and Rating＂and you will see：

| 4 | A | B | c | D | $E$ | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | USERS ENTER VALUES IN GREEN COLUMINS ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | ローザ～ご |  |
| 4 | Teacher in | Teacher Name | Starting Salary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rating } \\ \text { SY } 2012-13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rating } \\ \text { SY } 2013-14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rating } \\ \text { SY } 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 1. | Teacher 1 | \＄48，031 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 2 | Teacher 2 | \＄47，743 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 3 | Teacher 3 | \＄57，211 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 8 | 4 | Teacher 4 | \＄51，852 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 9 | 5 | Teacher 5 | \＄55，162 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 10 | 6 | Tearhar 6 | \＄57184 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 11 | 7 | Teacher 7 | \＄57，805 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 12 | 8 | Teacher 8 | \＄55，116 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 13 | 9 | Teacher 9 | \＄57，690 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 14 | 10 | Teacher 10 | \＄53，410 | 1 | 1. | 1 |
| 15 | 11 | Teacher 11 | \＄53，155 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 16 | 12 | Teacher 12 | \＄47，362 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 17 | 13 | Teacher 13 | \＄54，104 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 18 | 14 | Teacher 14 | \＄50，636 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 19 | 15 | Teacher 15 | \＄54，634 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 20 | 16 | Teacher 16 | \＄47，234 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 21 | 17 | Teacher 17 | \＄46，100 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 22 | 18 | Teacher 18 | \＄46，100 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 23 | 19 | Teacher 19 | \＄46，100 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 24 | 20 | Teacher 20 | \＄46，100 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | \＄0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | \＄0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

From this tab，you can enter individual teacher data，including ratings．

## Let's explore the model together: Look across summary tabs

## Explore

To view how effectiveness ratings change total salary view the "2012-2013" tab. Toggle between summary tabs to view changes as you manipulate the data.
"2012-2013" tab

| eacher ID | Teacher Name | Salary | In Role Current Year | In Role Next Year | Met Demand 1 | Met Demand 2 | Met Dem and 3 | New Base Final | New Total Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Teacher 1 | \$48,031 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | Teacher 2 | \$47,743 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 3 | Teacher 3 | \$57,211 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$57,511 | \$57,611 |
| 4 | Teacher 4 | \$46,950 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | \$46,950 | \$47,000 |
| 5 | Teacher 5 | \$55,162 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$55,462 | \$55,462 |
| 6 | Teacher 6 | \$57,184 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$57,784 | \$57,784 |
| 7 | Teacher 7 | \$57,805 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$57,805 | \$57,805 |
| 8 | Teacher 8 | \$55,116 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$55,416 | \$55,416 |

Columns AG and AH give you an immediate check on whether the total teacher funds are within your salary budget.

Note: Funds not spent in Year 1 are rolled over into Year 2 and likewise Into Year 3

## Let's explore the models together

The links for both models are here. Let's begin by opening the Consecutive Performance model.

See attachments
for Consecutive
Performance
Model and
instructions, and
Salary Levels
Model and instructions

## Activity \#1: Setting rules within a model

## Practice

1) In the Consecutive Performance model, click on the "Inputs" tab and simulate the following data:

- Starting salary
- Pay increase amounts
- Pay increase types (stipend vs. base building)
- Salary caps

2) Compare notes: What impact will salary caps have on your compensation system?
3) Discuss: What will you use to set salary benchmarks for the new compensation model? Will you consider teacher salaries as compared to administrators and other professionals in the community?

## Activity \#2: Making predictions within a model

## Practice

1) In the Consecutive Performance model, click on the "Budget" tab and enter a simulated teacher compensation budget for the next five years.

- Note: This is the amount allocated for teacher salaries only, and does not include benefits or fringe costs.

2) Compare notes: What current budget allocation and other data can you use to forecast a teacher compensation budget over the next five years?
3) Next, click on the "Salary and Rating" tab and enter teacher ratings for the current school year. Play with the ratings by first making most teachers "Highly Effective" and then entering less stable performance ratings.
4) Discuss: What data will you use to generate predicted teacher ratings in your compensation model?

## How will you decide what's right for your teachers?

Discussion

1) Which elements of each model did you like and why?
2) What questions does this raise for you?
3) What percentage are you considering for Effectiveness? Why?
4) How are you thinking about defining the Demand?
5) How are you thinking about defining Experience?

## Test your model for over time

Review your model regularly. There may be shifts before you present your budget to your board.

Test your model for sustainability over a 3-year period.

See attachments for Sustainability Training

Revisit your model as new and updated data comes available.

## Agenda

- Introduction and Review of Act I
- Compensation Modeling Process
- Overview of the Models and Practice
- Timeline and Key Resources


## Act 1 Compensation District Implementation Timeline

In the fall of 2012, districts will adopt and publish a locally relevant, Act 1compliant compensation plan.

By January 1, districts will establish and publish local compensation plans, rewarding their most valuable educators according to measures of effectiveness, demand, and experience.

Teachers, administrators, and other certified school personnel will receive salary increases based on their performance during the 20122013 school year at the start of the 2013-2014 school year.

## The LDOE is offering guidance and support to implement the compensation requirements of Act 1.

The Department will support you $r$ work to design and adopt compensation plans that meet local priorities and satisfy the requirements of Act 1.

Available resources include:

- A legislative overview and introduction to Act 1's compensation requirements
- Guidance on how to assess district workforce needs and priorities, and how to address those in a new compensation model
- Two customizable, Act 1-compliant compensation models with instructions

The LDOE will continue to provide:

- Ongoing support, individual consultation, and feedback in order to ensure that all decisions support the State's efforts to increase rigor and improve educational outcomes for every student in Louisiana.
- Future support sessions if desired
- Please contact Gary Jones (gary.jones@la.gov) for general support.
- If you have questions about the compensation models, please email act1@la.gov. We will connect you with TNTP for one-on-one support.


## Did we meet our objectives and what's next?

- Discuss how a new compensation approach can accomplish key district priorities around the recruitment and retention of teachers
- Become familiar with compensation materials and resources, including one-on-one support
- Discuss ways to use performance, demand and experience as factors in a new compensation model
- Gain a basic understanding of two models that districts could choose to adapt or adopt


## MOVING FORWARD:

$\checkmark$ Begin your data collection and the modeling process
$\checkmark$ Test for sustainability
$\checkmark$ Connect with colleagues from today and reach out for feedback on the process
$\checkmark$ Complete satisfaction survey you'll receive via email

## Appendix

## Resources Key

- Slide 5:
- Legislative Overview of Act 1 Compensation Requirements with full detail on the compensation requirements of Act 1
- Slide 10:
- Workforce Analysis and Sustainability Testing Data Request with a complete list of data fields required to complete a compensation diagnostic
- Workforce Analysis Database Tool
- Slide 15
- Workforce Analysis Results Discussion and Decisions Guide
- Sample Workforce Analysis Report
- Slide 22
- Salary Levels compensation model and instructions
- Consecutive Performance compensation model and instructions


## FAQ: How do I know at what salary to start my teachers?

Act 1 says existing teachers' salaries cannot be reduced from their current amount when your district transitions to a new compensation model. We recommend:


## FAQ: How do I predict teachers' Compass ratings?

## Consider

Examining your value-added data: Consider examining VAM data and extrapolating for an overall district effectiveness distribution prediction

Looking at HCIS or evaluation tracking tools: Report on your initial observation data to determine effectiveness trends early on in the school year

Asking your principals: Survey principals on early evaluation ratings in their schools

## Salary Increases: Base-Building vs. Stipends

## Base Salary Increases

## One-Time Stipends

- Pro: Has a direct impact on a teacher's financial decisions and quality of life
- Con: More costly to the district over the long term than one-time stipends
- Pro: Useful for rewarding or recognizing teachers while also ensuring a sustainable compensation system over the long term
- Con: Only provides teachers a non-guaranteed, one-time salary increase

