

### School System: Acadia Parish Total Public Population: 9,442

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.01%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.87%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.12%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	17.84%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	11.35%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	9.19%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.50% n/a 15.75% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 78.38% 99.74% 99.76% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.24% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 98.86% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 29.47% 75.03% 70.50% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 63.92% 38.55% 40.63% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 28.57% 63.69% 16.96% 74.07% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 34.78% 60.10% 74.44% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 12.96% 59.67% 65.14% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 18.52% 66.48% 69.35%

### School System: Acadia Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci		Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	97.73%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	97.73%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.84%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.84%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.98%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	98.98%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	99.09%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	99.09%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	99.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	99.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	97.98%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	98.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	97.80%		98.93%	98.49%	98.53%	97.80%		99.01%	98.87%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	51.14%	41.80%	68.36%	67.39%	39.13%	54.55%	47.40%	68.60%	68.78%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	39.50%		67.09%	63.64%	33.84%	31.09%		73.54%	69.64%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	32.65%		62.50%	64.43%	26.70%	25.51%		63.98%	62.13%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	34.55%		66.30%	69.48%	28.07%	26.36%		66.12%	69.32%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	30.00%		62.09%	67.93%	23.34%	23.00%		63.97%	66.85%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	30.30%		58.44%	73.42%	19.66%	27.00%		62.01%	73.06%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	18.68%		65.74%	68.30%	27.85%	17.58%		59.05%	64.41%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	9.61%	10.43%	8.02%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	21.81%	10.15%	14.92%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.06%	0.37%	0.01%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.39%	0.46%	1.10%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	10	0.74%	no

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	69.46%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	10.91%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	18.40%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.22%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.22%	2.19%

### School System: Acadia Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	45.90%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	38.52%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	12.30%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	3.28%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	80.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.79%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	76.81%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	63.01%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Allen Parish Total Public Population: 4,280

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.10%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.36%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	86.54%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	5.77%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	11.54%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	7.69%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	7.69%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.57% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 10.73% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 82.70% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 45.00% 75.03% 84.46% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 40.00% 68.77% 74.90% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 11.11% 70.59% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 33.33% 60.10% 64.10% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 60.34% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 37.50% 66.48% 65.82%

### School System: Allen Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math					ELA				
	Speci		Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.58%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.98%	41.80%	68.36%	73.90%	39.13%	49.02%	47.40%	68.60%	72.29%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	36.17%		67.09%	74.80%	33.84%	40.43%		73.54%	84.25%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	29.41%		62.50%	66.78%	26.70%	25.49%		63.98%	67.79%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	48.89%		66.30%	70.07%	28.07%	46.67%		66.12%	79.61%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	20.00%		62.09%	67.48%	23.34%	13.33%		63.97%	75.87%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	36.36%		58.44%	63.93%	19.66%	22.73%		62.01%	70.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	53.85%		65.74%	65.55%	27.85%	23.08%		59.05%	60.34%

Discipline Percents – all incidents d				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regu	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	6.51%	10.43%	4.83%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	17.59%	10.15%	10.63%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.33%	0.37%	0.28%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.28%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	12	2.29%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	65.96%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.66%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	6.17%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.21%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.21%	2.19%

### School System: Allen Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	81.82%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.64%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	12.73%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	1.82%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	7.90%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	70.73%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	77.78%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
   <sup>d</sup> 2000-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>g</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Ascension Parish Total Public Population: 18,466

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.53%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.65%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.82%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.54%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	13.99%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	4.66%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	25.91%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 8.04% n/a 0.38% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 91.35% 99.74% 99.78% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.84% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.84% 98.88% 100.00% 99.83% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.74% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 39.76% 75.03% 78.71% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 75.06% 38.55% 32.93% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 18.67% 72.19% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 37.33% 60.10% 73.65% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 11.84% 59.67% 69.04% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 27.63% 66.48% 79.22%

### School System: Ascension Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B & 3C										
			Math	ath ELA			_A			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.91%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.82%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.84%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.84%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.76%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.76%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.65%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.74%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	46.84%	41.80%	68.36%	77.95%	39.13%	40.51%	47.40%	68.60%	76.01%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	32.99%		67.09%	74.60%	33.84%	37.56%		73.54%	77.93%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	32.87%		62.50%	73.44%	26.70%	30.56%		63.98%	68.26%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	40.37%		66.30%	80.27%	28.07%	34.16%		66.12%	72.39%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	38.89%		62.09%	76.33%	23.34%	29.81%		63.97%	69.50%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	42.42%		58.44%	72.81%	19.66%	28.28%		62.01%	71.54%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	37.00%		65.74%	78.74%	27.85%	32.00%		59.05%	68.69%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	8.58%	10.43%	7.54%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	11.88%	10.15%	6.58%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.11%	0.37%	0.21%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.34%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

### Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	87.38%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	5.38%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	6.45%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.79%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.79%	2.19%

### School System: Ascension Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	90.79%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	4.13%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	5.08%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.68%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	95.52%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	82.09%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	83.98%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Assumption Parish Total Public Population: 4,271

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.54%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.83%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.63%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	13.33%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	50.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.33%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	3.33%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 8.87% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 8.87% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 80.55% 99.74% 99.29% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.62% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.62% 98.88% 80.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 98.57% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 80.00% 99.16% 98.57% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 17.14% 75.03% 72.73% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 61.47% 38.55% 25.71% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 7.14% 63.69% 16.96% 61.60% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 21.43% 60.10% 70.34% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 25.00% 59.67% 59.42% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 25.00% 66.48% 62.32%

### School System: Assumption Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators SB & SC										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	ial Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.33%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	98.33%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.65%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.65%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.27%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.26%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	88.89%		98.93%	98.10%	98.53%	80.00%		99.01%	98.10%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	39.62%	41.80%	68.36%	65.83%	39.13%	56.60%	47.40%	68.60%	72.08%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	21.28%		67.09%	61.07%	33.84%	14.89%		73.54%	71.72%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	23.33%		62.50%	51.37%	26.70%	21.67%		63.98%	53.77%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	28.57%		66.30%	66.08%	28.07%	40.48%		66.12%	66.08%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	18.75%		62.09%	67.36%	23.34%	18.75%		63.97%	63.41%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	20.83%		58.44%	67.88%	19.66%	12.00%		62.01%	60.22%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	44.44%		65.74%	61.14%	27.85%	50.00%		59.05%	58.29%

Discipline Percents – all incidents							
	Special Ed.		Special Ed.			Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local		State	Local		
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	7.85%		10.43%	0.24%		
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	22.67%	]	10.15%	18.36%		
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.30%	Γ	0.37%	1.30%		
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	Γ	0.46%	0.94%		

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	3	0.48%	no

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	84.66%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	9.36%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.58%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.39%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.39%	2.19%

### School System: Assumption Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	74.38%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	7.44%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	16.53%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	1.65%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	100.00%	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	5.21%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	96.97%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	81.82%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	78.57%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Avoyelles Parish Total Public Population: 6,201

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	9.55%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.10%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	90.36%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	1.82%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	21.82%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.64%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	50.91%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 14.58% n/a 5.36% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 78.87% 99.74% 99.97% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 31.82% 75.03% 71.60% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 64.85% 38.55% 36.36% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 9.52% 63.69% 16.96% 54.93% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 28.57% 60.10% 52.96% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 42.86% 59.67% 61.81% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 28.57% 66.48% 70.05%

### School System: Avoyelles Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.77%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.72%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.72%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.74%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.74%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	97.62%		99.74%	99.75%	99.19%	97.62%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.76%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.76%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.73%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	32.43%	41.80%	68.36%	63.53%	39.13%	40.54%	47.40%	68.60%	67.43%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	23.68%		67.09%	63.48%	33.84%	18.42%		73.54%	69.94%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	20.55%		62.50%	55.38%	26.70%	23.29%		63.98%	58.21%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	21.43%		66.30%	62.31%	28.07%	21.43%		66.12%	60.80%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	16.22%		62.09%	49.15%	23.34%	13.51%		63.97%	47.20%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	29.41%		58.44%	52.29%	19.66%	26.47%		62.01%	54.18%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	29.41%		65.74%	70.05%	27.85%	47.06%		59.05%	61.81%

Discipline Percents – all incidents						
	Special Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular E	
	State	Local	State	Local		
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.28%	10.43%	0.49%		
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	2.77%	10.15%	24.48%		
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%		
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.14%	0.46%	1.76%		

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	72.94%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	6.47%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	16.47%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	4.12%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	4.12%	2.19%

### School System: Avoyelles Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Special	Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	38.75%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	33.75%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	22.50%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	5.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	87.50%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.43%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	60.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	73.68%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Beauregard Parish Total Public Population: 6,186

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.07%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.05%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.88%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.15%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	19.70%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	7.58%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.72% n/a 4.36% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 87.92% 99.74% 99.97% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 44.44% 75.03% 86.34% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 59.26% 68.77% 82.79% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 15.69% 67.35% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 25.49% 60.10% 64.63% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 4.55% 59.67% 63.36% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 27.27% 66.48% 72.53%

### School System: Beauregard Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math			ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.49%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.75%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.77%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.77%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.78%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.78%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.73%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.73%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.00%	41.80%	68.36%	86.01%	39.13%	48.48%	47.40%	68.60%	83.97%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	54.84%		67.09%	81.82%	33.84%	41.94%		73.54%	85.83%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	35.62%		62.50%	76.34%	26.70%	30.14%		63.98%	78.12%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	32.14%		66.30%	75.06%	28.07%	37.50%		66.12%	72.73%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	32.00%		62.09%	72.77%	23.34%	24.00%		63.97%	74.37%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	27.27%		58.44%	64.13%	19.66%	21.54%		62.01%	66.97%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	46.67%		65.74%	72.33%	27.85%	30.00%		59.05%	63.19%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	ular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	18.70%	10.43%	18.35%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	9.17%	10.15%	6.70%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	57.03%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	34.56%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	8.28%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.13%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.13%	2.19%

### School System: Beauregard Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	72.37%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	26.97%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.66%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	80.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.15%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	85.19%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	75.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	0.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Bienville Parish Total Public Population: 2,376

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.62%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.38%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	88.01%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	22.22%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	22.22%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	11.11%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.10% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 10.06% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 82.84% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 28.57% 75.03% 63.51% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 64.19% 38.55% 21.43% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 61.15% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 13.04% 60.10% 56.05% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 67.95% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 18.18% 66.48% 67.31%

### School System: Bienville Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	95.65%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	95.65%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	95.65%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	30.43%	41.80%	68.36%	53.07%	39.13%	4.35%	47.40%	68.60%	63.48%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	26.09%		67.09%	65.14%	33.84%	24.00%		73.54%	64.57%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	26.09%		62.50%	65.79%	26.70%	30.43%		63.98%	69.74%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	21.74%		66.30%	67.35%	28.07%	13.04%		66.12%	65.31%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	42.11%		62.09%	57.35%	23.34%	26.32%		63.97%	64.44%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	14.81%		58.44%	53.53%	19.66%	3.70%		62.01%	56.47%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	20.00%		65.74%	67.31%	27.85%	6.67%		59.05%	67.95%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	6.77%	10.43%	6.44%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	18.39%	10.15%	13.66%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.08%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

### Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	79.22%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	10.39%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	9.96%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.43%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.43%	2.19%

### School System: Bienville Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	57.89%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	5.26%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	36.84%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	66.67%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.33%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	50.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	64.71%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Bossier Parish Total Public Population: 19,391

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.16%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.50%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	86.34%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.58%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	8.66%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.90%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	28.14%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 11.43% n/a 4.25% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 84.15% 99.74% 99.93% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 98.41% 99.88% 99.92% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 98.41% 99.86% 99.92% 98.88% 98.41% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 98.41% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 38.98% 75.03% 83.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 42.94% 68.77% 75.73% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 22.58% 66.64% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 19.35% 60.10% 61.82% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 9.68% 59.67% 60.97% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 8.06% 66.48% 67.59%

### School System: Bossier Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	99.51%	98.68%	99.91%	99.84%	99.77%	99.51%	98.71%	99.92%	99.76%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.93%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.93%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.92%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.92%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.85%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.69%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.61%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	99.20%		99.68%	99.64%	99.20%	99.20%		99.70%	99.64%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	98.06%		98.93%	99.75%	98.53%	99.02%		99.01%	99.67%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	49.75%	41.80%	68.36%	77.09%	39.13%	39.90%	47.40%	68.60%	74.86%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	38.78%		67.09%	74.48%	33.84%	37.96%		73.54%	81.66%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	40.51%		62.50%	75.19%	26.70%	34.18%		63.98%	77.60%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	29.71%		66.30%	70.69%	28.07%	29.71%		66.12%	73.24%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	34.44%		62.09%	68.69%	23.34%	32.45%		63.97%	72.80%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	20.80%		58.44%	59.08%	19.66%	20.80%		62.01%	64.63%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	37.86%		65.74%	67.31%	27.85%	37.25%		59.05%	60.67%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.			Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	22.10%	10.43	3% 17.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	12.39%	10.1	5% 7.51%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.3	7% 0.72%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.40	<u>6</u> % 0.04%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	20	0.90%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	65.09%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.36%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.19%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.36%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.36%	2.19%

### School System: Bossier Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	35.03%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	51.36%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	13.61%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	96.55%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.07%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.30%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	61.90%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	72.34%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Caddo Parish Total Public Population: 43,141

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.31%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.22%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.47%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.55%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	11.97%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.78%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	48.53%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 13.36% n/a 5.20% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 80.56% 99.74% 99.53% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 99.93% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 99.93% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.35% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 99.36% 99.86% 99.96% 98.88% 98.16% 99.16% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 98.77% 99.16% 99.09% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 34.86% 75.03% 73.31% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 64.56% 38.55% 34.51% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 9.87% 63.69% 16.96% 57.55% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 15.48% 60.10% 52.31% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 4.38% 59.67% 53.63% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 8.70% 66.48% 58.11%

### School System: Caddo Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ır Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.93%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.93%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	99.76%		99.93%	99.90%	99.87%	99.76%		99.93%	99.90%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.00%		99.90%	99.72%	99.62%	99.00%		99.91%	99.75%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	98.71%		99.74%	99.57%	99.19%	99.03%		99.76%	99.47%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	98.01%		99.61%	99.17%	98.69%	98.01%		99.65%	99.24%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	99.61%		99.68%	99.82%	99.20%	99.61%		99.70%	99.85%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	99.13%		98.93%	98.97%	98.53%	98.70%		99.01%	99.05%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	38.50%	41.80%	68.36%	62.16%	39.13%	30.49%	47.40%	68.60%	64.24%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	33.33%		67.09%	63.18%	33.84%	37.41%		73.54%	71.67%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	28.00%		62.50%	57.26%	26.70%	23.50%		63.98%	61.70%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	30.55%		66.30%	59.09%	28.07%	27.83%		66.12%	59.71%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	24.58%		62.09%	54.91%	23.34%	25.50%		63.97%	59.68%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	27.73%		58.44%	51.24%	19.66%	25.78%		62.01%	56.26%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	28.57%		65.74%	57.47%	27.85%	28.57%		59.05%	53.08%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	11.48%	10.43%	14.99%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	19.38%	10.15%	14.95%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.68%	0.37%	0.64%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.17%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	64	1.16%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	42.25%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	23.65%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	32.95%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.94%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.11%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.11%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.15%	2.19%

### School System: Caddo Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	60.94%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.12%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	33.25%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	1.11%	
Home	3.05%	4.57%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	89.80%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.48%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	94.33%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	69.05%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	70.63%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Calcasieu Parish Total Public Population: 32,598

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.92%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.56%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.51%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Speci	2006-07 State		
	State Local		Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	40.80%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	32.18%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	7.47%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.26% n/a 15.73% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 77.97% 99.74% 99.90% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.95% 98.88% 100.00% 99.62% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.78% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 40.06% 75.03% 83.29% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 73.16% 38.55% 41.87% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 26.15% 71.33% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 27.52% 60.10% 68.95% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 18.63% 59.67% 64.82% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 33.13% 66.48% 72.50%

### School System: Calcasieu Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regul	Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.95%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.95%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	99.78%		99.74%	99.85%	99.19%	99.78%		99.76%	99.85%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	99.34%		99.61%	99.71%	98.69%	99.67%		99.65%	99.71%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	99.74%		99.68%	99.85%	99.20%	99.74%		99.70%	99.90%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.73%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.56%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	48.96%	41.80%	68.36%	75.21%	39.13%	40.65%	47.40%	68.60%	76.03%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	37.27%		67.09%	71.96%	33.84%	36.34%		73.54%	82.55%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	36.16%		62.50%	69.72%	26.70%	35.59%		63.98%	74.64%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	31.18%		66.30%	71.42%	28.07%	29.68%		66.12%	71.52%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	31.68%		62.09%	71.07%	23.34%	25.33%		63.97%	70.78%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	22.31%		58.44%	68.45%	19.66%	24.41%		62.01%	70.93%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	36.11%		65.74%	72.30%	27.85%	29.64%		59.05%	64.53%

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Speci	al Ed.		Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	16.29%	10.4	3% 12.37%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.74%	10.1	5% 11.97%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	1.22%	0.3	7% 1.95%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.02%	0.4	6% 0.54%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	58	1.22%	yes

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	57.58%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	20.37%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	21.22%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.50%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.33%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	0.83%	2.19%

### School System: Calcasieu Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	44.55%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	29.70%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	25.35%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.40%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	84.62%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.15%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	87.42%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	63.64%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	71.80%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Caldwell Parish Total Public Population: 1,822

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.45%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.03%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.52%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Speci	2006-07 State		
	State Local		Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.00%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	5.00%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	15.00%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	5.00%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.07% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 13.67% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 76.26% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 37.50% 75.03% 81.98% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 71.17% 38.55% 31.25% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 25.00% 63.69% 16.96% 69.49% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 25.00% 60.10% 60.17% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 56.14% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 33.33% 66.48% 70.18%

### School System: Caldwell Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regul	Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	41.67%	41.80%	68.36%	68.82%	39.13%	41.67%	47.40%	68.60%	80.65%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	34.78%		67.09%	70.43%	33.84%	34.78%		73.54%	80.87%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	25.00%		62.50%	70.34%	26.70%	32.14%		63.98%	74.58%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	35.29%		66.30%	70.65%	28.07%	41.18%		66.12%	80.43%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	41.67%		62.09%	65.63%	23.34%	16.67%		63.97%	64.84%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	33.33%		58.44%	60.50%	19.66%	41.67%		62.01%	69.75%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	12.50%		65.74%	70.18%	27.85%	25.00%		59.05%	56.14%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.			Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	14.24%	10.4	12.08%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	2.08%	10.1	0.72%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.35%	0.3	37% 0.06%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.35%	0.4	46% 0.06%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	79.21%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	14.36%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.94%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.50%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.50%	2.19%

### School System: Caldwell Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	21.95%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	65.85%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	12.20%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	ial Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-	-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.73%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	92.31%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Cameron Parish Total Public Population: 1,547

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	16.29%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	6.66%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	77.05%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	21.74%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	4.35%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	8.70%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 2.34% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 1.56% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 96.09% 99.74% 99.89% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 98.78% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 98.78% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 16.67% 75.03% 73.33% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 16.67% 68.77% 63.33% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 60.49% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 6.67% 60.10% 62.96% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 57.14% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 20.00% 66.48% 61.22%

### School System: Cameron Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math			ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	98.80%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	98.80%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	42.11%	41.80%	68.36%	76.34%	39.13%	42.11%	47.40%	68.60%	65.59%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	22.22%		67.09%	63.33%	33.84%	11.11%		73.54%	73.33%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	35.29%		62.50%	58.43%	26.70%	29.41%		63.98%	68.54%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	22.22%		66.30%	82.41%	28.07%	33.33%		66.12%	70.37%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	12.50%		62.09%	70.79%	23.34%	31.25%		63.97%	73.03%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	6.67%		58.44%	61.45%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	60.24%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	20.00%		65.74%	61.22%	27.85%	0.00%		59.05%	57.14%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Speci	al Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	nte	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.34%		10.43%	0.67%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	7.24%		10.15%	8.47%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.87%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	69.12%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	23.04%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.35%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.49%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.49%	2.19%

### School System: Cameron Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	15.79%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	65.79%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	18.42%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	5.58%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	83.33%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	0.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Catahoula Parish Total Public Population: 1,803

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.65%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.77%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	87.58%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	5.26%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	21.05%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.00% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 90.00% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 58.33% 75.03% 85.32% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 58.33% 68.77% 80.56% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 63.81% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 22.22% 60.10% 68.57% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 100.00% 59.67% 72.28% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 79.21%

### School System: Catahoula Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	55.56%	41.80%	68.36%	85.82%	39.13%	44.44%	47.40%	68.60%	83.58%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	52.94%		67.09%	78.45%	33.84%	47.06%		73.54%	84.48%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	31.58%		62.50%	62.75%	26.70%	26.32%		63.98%	57.84%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	33.33%		66.30%	81.60%	28.07%	33.33%		66.12%	75.20%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	30.77%		62.09%	72.32%	23.34%	30.77%		63.97%	70.54%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	14.29%		58.44%	67.92%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	63.21%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	66.67%		65.74%	79.21%	27.85%	100.00%		59.05%	72.28%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.			Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	te	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	4.72%		10.43%	2.96%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.04%		10.15%	10.09%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.05%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	70.76%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	17.54%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	11.11%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.58%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	0.58%	2.19%

### School System: Catahoula Parish

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	74.07%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.70%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	18.52%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%		
Home	3.05%	3.70%		
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%		
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>				
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%	
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*		
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*		

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.71%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	66.67%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	84.62%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Claiborne Parish Total Public Population: 2,590

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	16.06%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.67%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	79.27%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	11.11%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	33.33%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	11.11%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	13.89%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.09% n/a 2.07% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.84% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 25.00% 75.03% 70.45% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 31.25% 68.77% 70.45% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 10.53% 63.69% 16.96% 40.66% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 10.53% 60.10% 43.96% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 47.44% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 17.65% 66.48% 64.74%

### School System: Claiborne Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	Local State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.36%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	61.90%	41.80%	68.36%	68.57%	39.13%	47.62%	47.40%	68.60%	65.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	31.71%		67.09%	70.90%	33.84%	26.83%		73.54%	70.90%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	24.14%		62.50%	60.90%	26.70%	13.79%		63.98%	49.36%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	27.59%		66.30%	58.67%	28.07%	17.24%		66.12%	56.67%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	10.00%		62.09%	57.86%	23.34%	5.00%		63.97%	53.46%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	19.23%		58.44%	43.48%	19.66%	23.08%		62.01%	40.76%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	25.00%		65.74%	64.33%	27.85%	10.00%		59.05%	47.44%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	12.82%	10.43%	6.12%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.08%	10.15%	12.29%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.12%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	7	1.64%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	63.76%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	30.06%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.62%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.56%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or	1.000/	0.56%	2.40%
hospital placements	1.82%	0.56%	2.19%

### School System: Claiborne Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
Γ	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	75.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	15.28%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	9.72%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – <u>Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.13%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	93.75%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	60.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	77.78%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Concordia Parish Total Public Population: 3,974

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.17%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.46%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	87.37%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	20.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	12.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	12.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.18% n/a 15.06% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 80.75% 99.74% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% 99.47% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.47% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 37.14% 75.03% 67.31% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 22.86% 68.77% 56.92% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 60.39% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 10.00% 60.10% 45.70% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 54.01% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 11.11% 66.48% 60.96%

### School System: Concordia Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math						ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.47%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.47%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	27.59%	41.80%	68.36%	57.92%	39.13%	31.03%	47.40%	68.60%	66.41%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	14.55%		67.09%	53.77%	33.84%	23.64%		73.54%	65.41%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	22.58%		62.50%	61.05%	26.70%	16.13%		63.98%	59.40%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	36.67%		66.30%	64.92%	28.07%	20.00%		66.12%	68.52%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	17.65%		62.09%	61.45%	23.34%	5.88%		63.97%	66.67%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	8.11%		58.44%	44.81%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	59.26%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	7.69%		65.74%	60.64%	27.85%	0.00%		59.05%	53.72%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Speci	al Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	ate	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	2.03%		10.43%	0.85%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	21.91%		10.15%	13.90%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.07%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.23%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	55.05%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	38.89%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.81%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.25%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.25%	2.19%

### School System: Concordia Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	68.18%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	27.27%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	4.55%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	00.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.28%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	94.74%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	66.67%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	59.09%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: DeSoto Parish Total Public Population: 4,900

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.67%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.33%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.00%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.52%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	6.90%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.17%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	36.21%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.23% n/a 20.46% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 69.31% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 36.36% 75.03% 63.72% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 52.13% 38.55% 40.91% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 13.64% 66.45% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 18.18% 60.10% 57.32% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 4.17% 59.67% 50.18% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 12.50% 66.48% 62.68%

### School System: DeSoto Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Special Ed.	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	95.56%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	95.56%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	98.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	98.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.46%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.46%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.28%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.64%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	26.19%	41.80%	68.36%	53.92%	39.13%	19.05%	47.40%	68.60%	51.31%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	28.89%		67.09%	51.45%	33.84%	26.67%		73.54%	62.27%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	46.15%		62.50%	61.96%	26.70%	50.00%		63.98%	66.27%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	12.00%		66.30%	56.92%	28.07%	8.00%		66.12%	56.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	23.40%		62.09%	52.01%	23.34%	26.53%		63.97%	59.52%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	22.73%		58.44%	54.44%	19.66%	25.00%		62.01%	64.50%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	23.53%		65.74%	62.23%	27.85%	20.59%		59.05%	50.00%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	18.47%	10.43%	16.37%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	17.27%	10.15%	13.63%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.13%	0.37%	0.08%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	14	2.01%	yes

	-		
	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	70.38%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	17.80%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	9.15%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	1.66%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.00%	2.19%

### School System: DeSoto Parish

	Special	Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	71.13%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	1.03%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	27.84%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.50%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.89%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	62.79%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:East Baton Rouge ParishTotal Public Population:48,561

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.72%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.73%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.56%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	7.03%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.70%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.85%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	52.22%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 8.45% n/a 12.16% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 78.25% 99.74% 99.52% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 99.72% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.72% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 98.93% 99.61% 99.88% 99.65% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 98.94% 99.86% 99.58% 98.88% 99.16% 98.13% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 96.23% 99.16% 98.93% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 30.53% 75.03% 67.24% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 56.10% 38.55% 26.12% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 14.05% 63.69% 16.96% 57.40% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 16.67% 60.10% 51.46% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 10.19% 59.67% 54.63% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 13.07% 66.48% 57.47%

### School System: East Baton Rouge Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by				0000		-				
		Math				ELA				
	Special Ed.			Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	99.79%	98.68%	99.91%	99.78%	99.77%	99.59%	98.71%	99.92%	99.91%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	99.85%		99.93%	99.91%	99.87%	99.85%		99.93%	99.91%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.49%		99.90%	99.90%	99.62%	99.49%		99.91%	99.86%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	96.42%		99.74%	98.73%	99.19%	96.98%		99.76%	99.05%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	95.34%		99.61%	98.72%	98.69%	96.09%		99.65%	99.09%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	98.40%		99.68%	99.31%	99.20%	98.64%		99.70%	99.38%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	96.17%		98.93%	98.78%	98.53%	97.33%		99.01%	99.01%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	31.75%	41.80%	68.36%	52.46%	39.13%	26.19%	47.40%	68.60%	49.97%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	21.42%		67.09%	52.11%	33.84%	23.45%		73.54%	64.29%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	25.83%		62.50%	51.18%	26.70%	18.93%		63.98%	52.37%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	21.89%		66.30%	54.92%	28.07%	16.42%		66.12%	50.33%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	20.59%		62.09%	46.85%	23.34%	17.11%		63.97%	49.73%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	14.61%		58.44%	47.33%	19.66%	15.23%		62.01%	53.31%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	25.29%		65.74%	56.77%	27.85%	25.95%		59.05%	54.09%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Specia	Special Ed.			ar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	te	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	27.23%	1	10.43%	20.79%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	1.48%	1	10.15%	1.18%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	1.56%		0.37%	1.19%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.03%		0.46%	0.53%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	4	0.07%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	61.24%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	13.73%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	24.50%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.53%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.53%	2.19%

### School System: East Baton Rouge Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	73.92%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	4.49%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	20.90%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.69%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>	1		
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	95.45%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.74%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	97.72%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	72.41%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	37.99%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: East Carroll Parish Total Public Population: 1,521

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.46%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.13%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.40%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	21.05%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	15.79%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	21.05%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	15.79%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.76% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 2.59% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.66% 99.74% 99.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 18.75% 75.03% 68.60% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 18.75% 68.77% 67.44% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 23.71% 16.96% 0.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 15.46% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 50.00% 59.67% 40.91% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 50.00% 66.48% 39.08%

### School System: East Carroll Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

			Math					ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	94.12%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	87.50%		98.93%	98.86%	98.53%	87.50%		99.01%	98.88%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	21.43%	41.80%	68.36%	44.44%	39.13%	21.43%	47.40%	68.60%	48.48%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	16.67%		67.09%	67.39%	33.84%	16.67%		73.54%	69.57%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	34.78%		62.50%	58.43%	26.70%	39.13%		63.98%	58.43%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	22.22%		66.30%	20.18%	28.07%	22.22%		66.12%	41.28%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	5.88%		62.09%	23.76%	23.34%	5.88%		63.97%	48.51%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	15.00%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	23.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	62.50%		65.74%	38.64%	27.85%	62.50%		59.05%	40.45%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Speci	al Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	St	ate	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	1.83%		10.43%	0.46%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.89%		10.15%	19.13%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.46%		0.37%	0.40%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	3	1.42%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	90.81%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	8.11%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	0.54%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.54%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.54%	2.19%

### School System: East Carroll Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	96.30%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	3.70%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>		-		
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.00%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	0.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	50.00%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



## School System: East Feliciana Parish Total Public Population: 2,352

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.01%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.30%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.69%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	25.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	5.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	25.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	20.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.52% n/a <u>27.15</u>% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 68.33% 99.74% 99.92% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 27.78% 75.03% 69.59% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 44.44% 68.77% 66.89% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 8.33% 63.69% 16.96% 45.33% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 47.33% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 14.29% 59.67% 37.84% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 42.86% 66.48% 49.55%

## School System: East Feliciana Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	97.14%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	97.14%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	96.55%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	96.55%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	20.00%	41.80%	68.36%	47.50%	39.13%	17.14%	47.40%	68.60%	45.63%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	26.83%		67.09%	65.38%	33.84%	17.07%		73.54%	69.87%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	21.43%		62.50%	42.96%	26.70%	14.29%		63.98%	47.89%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	23.53%		66.30%	30.71%	28.07%	11.76%		66.12%	36.43%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	34.48%		62.09%	41.90%	23.34%	34.48%		63.97%	47.62%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	45.63%	19.66%	2.78%		62.01%	42.50%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	41.18%		65.74%	49.55%	27.85%	29.41%		59.05%	37.84%

	Specia	l Ed.	Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	2.76%	10.43%	3.02%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	10.53%	10.15%	9.42%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.75%	0.37%	0.48%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.29%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	64.52%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	21.29%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	13.87%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.32%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.32%	2.19%

### School System: East Feliciana Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	66.67%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	7.69%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	25.64%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	53.33%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.56%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	55.56%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	82.91%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Evangeline Parish Total Public Population: 6,097

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.55%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.62%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.83%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	8.41%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	3.74%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	4.67%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	48.60%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 11.82% n/a 18.23% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 69.62% 99.74% 99.78% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.46% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.46% 98.88% 100.00% 99.64% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.64% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 30.00% 75.03% 83.25% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 68.27% 38.55% 23.33% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 15.09% 63.49% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 26.42% 60.10% 62.67% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 25.00% 59.67% 67.38% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 29.17% 66.48% 69.18%

## School System: Evangeline Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math					ELA				
	Speci	pecial Ed. 2006-07		Regul	Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	Local State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.50%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.50%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.12%		99.90%	99.76%	99.62%	99.12%		99.91%	99.76%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.73%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	96.55%		99.61%	99.44%	98.69%	96.55%		99.65%	99.44%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	98.72%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	98.98%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.64%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.64%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	37.35%	41.80%	68.36%	69.92%	39.13%	34.94%	47.40%	68.60%	68.92%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	23.66%		67.09%	67.82%	33.84%	31.18%		73.54%	82.67%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	23.89%		62.50%	59.18%	26.70%	20.35%		63.98%	56.28%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	44.62%		66.30%	70.78%	28.07%	35.38%		66.12%	61.93%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	25.86%		62.09%	70.06%	23.34%	27.59%		63.97%	64.97%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	24.36%		58.44%	60.36%	19.66%	17.95%		62.01%	61.13%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	27.03%		65.74%	68.93%	27.85%	32.43%		59.05%	67.14%

	Specia	l Ed.	Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	2.68%	10.43%	0.67%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	22.88%	10.15%	16.46%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.09%	0.46%	0.86%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.10%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	51.57%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	21.08%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	26.35%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.01%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	1.01%	2.19%

### School System: Evangeline Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	64.18%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	1.49%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	26.87%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	7.46%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.76%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	72.22%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	73.03%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Franklin Parish Total Public Population: 3,421

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.40%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.43%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	87.17%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	22.22%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	5.56%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.56%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	27.78%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.19% n/a 9.52% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 84.29% 99.74% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 31.25% 75.03% 55.79% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 15.63% 68.77% 55.79% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 57.28% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 9.09% 60.10% 49.03% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 33.33% 59.67% 59.22% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 43.09%

### School System: Franklin Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math			ELA						
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.61%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.61%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.50%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.50%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.51%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	95.65%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	91.67%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	98.91%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	98.90%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	16.13%	41.80%	68.36%	50.39%	39.13%	12.90%	47.40%	68.60%	53.10%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	12.20%		67.09%	53.50%	33.84%	24.39%		73.54%	54.50%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	8.57%		62.50%	38.71%	26.70%	8.57%		63.98%	44.35%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	20.83%		66.30%	50.25%	28.07%	16.67%		66.12%	50.25%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	9.09%		62.09%	44.80%	23.34%	3.03%		63.97%	44.34%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	13.04%		58.44%	48.61%	19.66%	12.50%		62.01%	57.48%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	0.00%		65.74%	42.62%	27.85%	33.33%		59.05%	58.56%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Specia	al Ed.		Regular	Ed.
	State	Local	State	÷	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.69%	10	.43%	0.39%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	29.43%	10	.15%	18.05%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0	.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.23%	0	.46%	1.01%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	4	1.02%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	73.56%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	13.79%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	12.36%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.29%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	0.29%	2.19%

### School System: Franklin Parish

	Special	Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	15.91%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	59.09%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	20.45%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	4.55%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	50.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.60%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	66.67%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	78.95%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Grant Parish Total Public Population: 3,453

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.94%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.01%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.04%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	9.76%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	17.07%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	2.44%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	21.95%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.32% n/a 6.64% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.04% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 28.13% 75.03% 71.98% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 40.63% 68.77% 73.71% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 23.53% 65.10% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 44.44% 60.10% 69.41% 9.52% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% 62.56% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 19.05% 66.48% 70.32%

### School System: Grant Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	98.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	98.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.00%	41.80%	68.36%	71.09%	39.13%	32.00%	47.40%	68.60%	71.56%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	34.88%		67.09%	73.50%	33.84%	27.91%		73.54%	71.37%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	31.71%		62.50%	71.07%	26.70%	21.95%		63.98%	65.29%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	41.03%		66.30%	70.12%	28.07%	35.90%		66.12%	71.31%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	21.62%		62.09%	72.07%	23.34%	18.92%		63.97%	70.27%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	34.78%		58.44%	68.87%	19.66%	17.39%		62.01%	64.59%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	29.17%		65.74%	70.32%	27.85%	20.83%		59.05%	62.56%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.		Special Ed.		
	State	Local		State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	8.46%		10.43%	6.10%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	13.13%		10.15%	6.24%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.03%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.25%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	5	0.97%	no

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	77.92%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	18.18%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	3.03%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.87%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.87%	2.19%

### School System: Grant Parish

F	Special	Ed.	2006-07 State
-	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	71.43%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.57%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	7.14%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	17.86%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State				
	State	Local	Target				
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>							
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%				
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>							
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%				
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%				
Extended School Year Program							
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.28%					
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%					
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers) <sup>m</sup>							
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	66.67%					
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	40.00%					

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Iberia Parish Total Public Population: 14,120

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.63%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.39%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.98%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	18.04%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	16.86%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.78%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	35.29%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.98% n/a 12.51% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 80.96% 99.74% 99.70% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 98.46% 99.86% 100.00% 100.00% 98.88% 99.44% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.44% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 39.13% 75.03% 76.63% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 42.75% 68.77% 72.58% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 15.38% 66.09% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 28.13% 60.10% 66.42% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 16.67% 59.67% 55.65% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 22.22% 66.48% 69.93%

### School System: Iberia Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
			Math			ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.31%		99.90%	99.79%	99.62%	98.87%		99.91%	99.89%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	98.75%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	98.75%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.06%		99.61%	98.93%	98.69%	96.47%		99.65%	99.14%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	98.02%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	99.01%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.30%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.44%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	45.56%	41.80%	68.36%	71.48%	39.13%	42.60%	47.40%	68.60%	65.36%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	38.42%		67.09%	71.24%	33.84%	37.17%		73.54%	74.72%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	29.94%		62.50%	62.90%	26.70%	19.77%		63.98%	58.82%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	35.63%		66.30%	73.82%	28.07%	25.63%		66.12%	66.09%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	30.00%		62.09%	60.06%	23.34%	21.76%		63.97%	54.56%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	28.71%		58.44%	65.37%	19.66%	20.79%		62.01%	64.46%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	34.62%		65.74%	69.44%	27.85%	32.69%		59.05%	55.34%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	5.17%	10.43%	4.25%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	10.56%	10.15%	6.04%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.13%	0.37%	0.04%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.09%	0.46%	0.14%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	13	0.62%	no

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	64.16%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	22.58%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	11.47%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.21%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.58%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.79%	2.19%

### School System: Iberia Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>	Encoio		2006-07 State
-	Special State	Local	Z006-07 State Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	75.12%	0
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.90%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	9.27%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	2.93%	
Home	3.05%	8.78%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>	1		
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.81%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	89.52%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	90.14%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Iberville Parish Total Public Population: 4,262

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.28%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.71%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.01%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	24.19%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	6.45%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	6.45%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	24.19%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 8.00% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 12.62% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 78.46% 99.74% 99.90% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 96.15% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 92.31% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 45.00% 75.03% 67.23% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 52.77% 38.55% 32.50% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 55.82% 16.96% 16.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 12.50% 60.10% 42.97% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 51.96% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 10.00% 66.48% 60.67%

### School System: Iberville Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math			ELA						
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.64%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.64%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.64%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.64%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	98.63%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	98.28%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	93.62%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	95.74%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	22.22%	41.80%	68.36%	57.54%	39.13%	20.00%	47.40%	68.60%	58.60%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	25.76%		67.09%	48.01%	33.84%	31.82%		73.54%	63.18%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	26.83%		62.50%	46.28%	26.70%	17.07%		63.98%	52.07%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	19.64%		66.30%	52.71%	28.07%	33.93%		66.12%	53.43%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	9.76%		62.09%	44.18%	23.34%	19.51%		63.97%	48.45%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	14.89%		58.44%	42.80%	19.66%	21.28%		62.01%	55.64%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	42.86%		65.74%	60.67%	27.85%	47.62%		59.05%	51.96%

Discipline Percents – all incidents d				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	6.03%	10.43%	7.26%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	22.47%	10.15%	15.30%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.02%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	1.06%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	10	1.80%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	57.37%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	28.29%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	12.97%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.38%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.38%	2.19%

### School System: Iberville Parish

Γ	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	52.17%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	34.78%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	13.04%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	50.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	7.53%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	97.73%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	50.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	83.33%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Jackson Parish Total Public Population: 2,210

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.77%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.53%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	86.70%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	36.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	16.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.72% n/a 5.51% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.76% 99.74% 99.84% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 41.67% 75.03% 76.16% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 70.20% 38.55% 50.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 59.73% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 37.50% 60.10% 50.34% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 28.57% 59.67% 68.33% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 57.14% 66.48% 67.50%

### School System: Jackson Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
			Math			ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.			Regular Ed.		al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.39%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.39%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.34%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.34%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	47.83%	41.80%	68.36%	71.07%	39.13%	52.17%	47.40%	68.60%	78.62%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	56.25%		67.09%	68.79%	33.84%	43.75%		73.54%	73.89%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	47.62%		62.50%	58.79%	26.70%	28.57%		63.98%	60.61%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	28.57%		66.30%	74.65%	28.07%	21.43%		66.12%	78.87%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	56.00%		62.09%	56.64%	23.34%	36.00%		63.97%	74.13%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	33.33%		58.44%	50.00%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	59.87%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	57.14%		65.74%	67.50%	27.85%	28.57%		59.05%	68.33%

	Specia	Special Ed.		ular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	12.63%	10.43%	9.52%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	11.26%	10.15%	10.50%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.36%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	2	0.85%	no

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	88.46%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	7.69%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	3.37%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.48%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	0.48%	2.19%

### School System: Jackson Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	55.56%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	44.44%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.63%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.89%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Jefferson Parish Total Public Population: 43,669

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.31%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.25%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.44%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	22.88%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	3.11%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	16.38%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	20.62%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.27% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 6.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 83.41% 99.74% 99.82% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 99.96% 99.96% 99.93% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.89% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 99.66% 99.86% 99.93% 99.49% 98.88% 99.70% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 98.98% 99.16% 99.57% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 32.02% 75.03% 69.30% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 34.65% 68.77% 61.98% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 12.97% 63.69% 16.96% 56.26% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 15.02% 60.10% 49.64% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 6.67% 59.67% 53.63% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 14.95% 66.48% 56.73%

### School System: Jefferson Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regula	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.90%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.93%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.93%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.96%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.77%		99.90%	99.90%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.90%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	97.64%		99.74%	99.45%	99.19%	97.64%		99.76%	99.58%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.95%		99.61%	99.59%	98.69%	98.17%		99.65%	99.66%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	98.91%		99.68%	99.59%	99.20%	99.12%		99.70%	99.56%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	98.97%		98.93%	99.06%	98.53%	99.32%		99.01%	99.36%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	39.81%	41.80%	68.36%	61.93%	39.13%	34.83%	47.40%	68.60%	59.95%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	33.04%		67.09%	59.69%	33.84%	31.47%		73.54%	67.72%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	29.81%		62.50%	54.91%	26.70%	27.93%		63.98%	57.10%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	17.17%		66.30%	53.09%	28.07%	20.17%		66.12%	51.73%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	18.45%		62.09%	54.49%	23.34%	16.67%		63.97%	54.61%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	14.22%		58.44%	47.55%	19.66%	14.88%		62.01%	54.12%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	25.68%		65.74%	56.12%	27.85%	22.95%		59.05%	53.21%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.			Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	ite	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	19.37%		10.43%	14.22%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	24.39%		10.15%	15.34%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.13%		0.37%	0.17%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.18%		0.46%	1.51%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	123	2.70%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	42.35%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	21.28%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	34.84%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	1.50%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.02%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.53%	2.19%

### School System: Jefferson Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	51.28%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.93%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	43.85%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.23%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.23%	
Home	3.05%	3.48%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-	-	-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	57.14%	100.0% 100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.65%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	62.15%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	60.08%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Jefferson Davis ParishTotal Public Population:5,767

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.74%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.92%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.33%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	18.92%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	35.14%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.55% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 17.38% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 77.08% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 56.16% 75.03% 84.80% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 50.68% 68.77% 79.73% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 25.71% 69.86% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 31.43% 60.10% 68.17% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 44.44% 59.67% 72.12% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 22.22% 66.48% 76.28%

## School System: Jefferson Davis Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math			ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	97.56%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	97.56%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.73%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.73%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	48.89%	41.80%	68.36%	82.80%	39.13%	54.44%	47.40%	68.60%	87.63%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	45.28%		67.09%	79.55%	33.84%	46.23%		73.54%	84.42%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	40.63%		62.50%	72.73%	26.70%	33.33%		63.98%	81.82%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	43.90%		66.30%	79.17%	28.07%	40.24%		66.12%	82.50%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	36.00%		62.09%	77.08%	23.34%	42.00%		63.97%	83.33%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	26.32%		58.44%	67.49%	19.66%	22.81%		62.01%	69.40%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	50.00%		65.74%	76.28%	27.85%	68.75%		59.05%	72.12%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Speci	al Ed.		Regu	ular Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	te	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	6.17%	1	0.43%	4.10%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	14.72%	1	0.15%	8.64%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	1.61%		0.37%	0.58%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.19%		0.46%	0.13%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	4	0.43%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	55.64%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	37.58%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.94%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.85%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.85%	2.19%

### School System: Jefferson Davis Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	47.62%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.81%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	47.62%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.95%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	80.00%	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.04%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	90.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	90.57%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Lafayette Parish Total Public Population: 30,068

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.39%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.22%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.39%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	23.43%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	3.96%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	2.31%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	24.42%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.61% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 6.61% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 85.55% 99.74% 99.80% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.69% 98.88% 100.00% 99.82% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.71% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 34.68% 75.03% 78.42% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 36.77% 68.77% 74.22% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 68.72% 16.96% 17.95% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 19.23% 60.10% 69.09% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 5.71% 59.67% 66.61% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 28.99% 66.48% 74.88%

### School System: Lafayette Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Otatewide Assessment by	Orace and	Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math					ELA					
	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.			
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local		
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)											
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.90%	99.77%	99.65%	98.71%	99.92%	99.90%		
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.95%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.95%		
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.63%		99.90%	99.95%	99.62%	99.63%		99.91%	99.90%		
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	99.10%		99.74%	99.47%	99.19%	98.64%		99.76%	99.38%		
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	99.09%		99.61%	99.89%	98.69%	99.09%		99.65%	99.84%		
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	97.78%		99.68%	99.32%	99.20%	98.15%		99.70%	99.42%		
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	99.17%		98.93%	99.53%	98.53%	99.17%		99.01%	99.65%		
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)											
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	46.32%	41.80%	68.36%	74.07%	39.13%	42.46%	47.40%	68.60%	69.58%		
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	31.29%		67.09%	73.22%	33.84%	30.67%		73.54%	77.11%		
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	38.95%		62.50%	68.29%	26.70%	29.21%		63.98%	64.33%		
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	37.56%		66.30%	75.84%	28.07%	31.67%		66.12%	68.99%		
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	32.73%		62.09%	74.32%	23.34%	22.73%		63.97%	70.04%		
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	19.63%		58.44%	66.59%	19.66%	18.82%		62.01%	66.17%		
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	37.50%		65.74%	74.35%	27.85%	28.10%		59.05%	66.22%		

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	14.23%	10.43%	14.75%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	21.03%	10.15%	16.28%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.08%	0.46%	1.07%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	2	0.06%	no

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	46.34%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	30.59%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	21.69%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.38%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.38%	2.19%

### School System: Lafayette Parish

Γ	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	71.25%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	23.75%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	3.50%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	1.50%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	89.47%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.28%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	98.61%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	14.29%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	56.85%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



## School System: Lafourche Parish Total Public Population: 14,568

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.64%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.44%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.91%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	7.83%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	20.87%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	6.96%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	38.26%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 11.86% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 2.55% 85.59% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 99.74% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.90% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.90% 99.88% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 97.22% 99.16% 99.88% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 38.78% 75.03% 71.90% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 39.80% 68.77% 67.35% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 9.72% 63.69% 16.96% 60.86% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 11.11% 60.10% 64.00% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 13.89% 59.67% 61.52% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 31.43% 66.48% 70.91%

## School System: Lafourche Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators SB & SC										
			Math			ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	99.21%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	99.21%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.90%	99.62%	99.35%		99.91%	99.90%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.89%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.89%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	99.06%		99.61%	99.89%	98.69%	99.06%		99.65%	99.89%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.72%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.72%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	98.44%		98.93%	99.77%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.77%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	38.13%	41.80%	68.36%	67.51%	39.13%	37.41%	47.40%	68.60%	66.24%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	37.30%		67.09%	66.13%	33.84%	38.10%		73.54%	70.22%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	38.71%		62.50%	63.89%	26.70%	23.23%		63.98%	59.98%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	34.91%		66.30%	66.17%	28.07%	25.47%		66.12%	60.49%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	35.85%		62.09%	63.19%	23.34%	33.96%		63.97%	60.53%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	17.89%		58.44%	62.06%	19.66%	13.68%		62.01%	58.72%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	50.00%		65.74%	70.75%	27.85%	42.19%		59.05%	61.38%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.			Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	ate	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	20.91%		10.43%	19.81%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	12.63%		10.15%	9.62%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.05%		0.46%	0.46%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	48	2.60%	yes

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	71.36%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	22.57%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.61%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.46%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.46%	2.19%

### School System: Lafourche Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	91.29%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	4.19%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	2.26%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.65%	
Home	3.05%	1.61%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	87.50%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	7.83%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	84.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	0.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: LaSalle Parish Total Public Population: 2,674

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.40%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.27%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	88.33%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	57.14%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	4.76%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.02% n/a 6.77% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 99.94% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 87.22% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 36.36% 75.03% 80.22% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 76.37% 38.55% 54.55% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 61.96% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 18.18% 60.10% 58.15% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 62.65% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 14.29% 66.48% 78.31%

### School System: LaSalle Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	95.83%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	95.83%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	45.83%	41.80%	68.36%	87.25%	39.13%	50.00%	47.40%	68.60%	85.29%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	53.85%		67.09%	75.39%	33.84%	38.46%		73.54%	78.01%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	45.83%		62.50%	74.38%	26.70%	33.33%		63.98%	71.88%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	60.00%		66.30%	66.06%	28.07%	60.00%		66.12%	76.97%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	23.81%		62.09%	67.47%	23.34%	19.05%		63.97%	70.48%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	11.76%		58.44%	57.84%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	61.62%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	33.33%		65.74%	78.31%	27.85%	22.22%		59.05%	62.65%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	9.41%	10.43%	7.41%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	2.79%	10.15%	1.52%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.07%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	2	0.76%	no

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 <sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	89.41%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	5.51%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.08%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: LaSalle Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	92.86%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.57%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	3.57%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.77%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	92.34%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Lincoln Parish Total Public Population: 6,641

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.89%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.03%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.08%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	18.75%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	9.38%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	7.81%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	42.19%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.01% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 7.35% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 86.41% 99.74% 99.91% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 31.67% 75.03% 74.02% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 36.67% 68.77% 69.27% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 9.09% 61.48% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 15.15% 60.10% 60.38% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 18.18% 59.67% 64.63% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 23.81% 66.48% 79.47%

### School System: Lincoln Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math							ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.			Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.74%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.74%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.74%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	94.74%		98.93%	99.47%	98.53%	97.37%		99.01%	99.73%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	34.48%	41.80%	68.36%	73.60%	39.13%	29.31%	47.40%	68.60%	75.84%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	40.85%		67.09%	67.02%	33.84%	29.58%		73.54%	72.51%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	27.27%		62.50%	65.31%	26.70%	27.27%		63.98%	67.09%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	42.42%		66.30%	74.32%	28.07%	39.39%		66.12%	74.86%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	27.08%		62.09%	60.94%	23.34%	22.92%		63.97%	70.05%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.67%		58.44%	59.32%	19.66%	14.29%		62.01%	60.37%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	26.32%		65.74%	79.05%	27.85%	28.95%		59.05%	64.46%

	Specia	I Ed.	Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	14.32%	10.43%	13.25%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.42%	10.15%	12.52%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.11%	0.37%	0.07%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.15%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	8	0.97%	no

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	73.72%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	15.08%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	9.82%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.38%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.38%	2.19%

### School System: Lincoln Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>					
	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State		
	State	Local	Target		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	74.00%			
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%			
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%			
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	26.00%			
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%			
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%			
Home	3.05%	0.00%			
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%			
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>					
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%		
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>					
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no			
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>		_			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*			

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.63%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	66.67%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	96.23%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Livingston Parish Total Public Population: 23,156

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.66%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.96%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.38%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	18.70%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	9.13%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	2.61%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	31.74%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.86% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 6.13% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.02% 99.74% 99.97% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.85% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.85% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 42.86% 75.03% 85.46% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 81.29% 38.55% 47.29% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 25.00% 78.31% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 35.91% 60.10% 76.94% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 13.16% 59.67% 66.16% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 20.18% 66.48% 74.88%

## School System: Livingston Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math							ELA		
	Special Ed.				Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.93%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.93%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.94%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.94%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.62%		99.90%	99.94%	99.62%	99.62%		99.91%	99.94%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	99.59%		99.74%	99.87%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.87%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.50%		99.61%	99.94%	98.69%	98.00%		99.65%	99.94%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	99.53%		99.68%	99.94%	99.20%	99.53%		99.70%	99.94%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.62%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.69%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	58.80%	41.80%	68.36%	84.83%	39.13%	54.08%	47.40%	68.60%	82.03%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	42.02%		67.09%	80.48%	33.84%	43.58%		73.54%	84.87%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	45.00%		62.50%	79.45%	26.70%	32.69%		63.98%	78.82%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	46.89%		66.30%	81.80%	28.07%	42.32%		66.12%	80.60%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	43.00%		62.09%	76.12%	23.34%	33.50%		63.97%	77.85%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	34.88%		58.44%	76.50%	19.66%	26.51%		62.01%	77.97%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	27.33%		65.74%	74.60%	27.85%	23.33%		59.05%	65.95%

	Specia	al Ed.	Regi	ular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.74%	10.43%	0.53%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.92%	10.15%	10.54%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.03%	0.37%	0.01%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.26%	0.46%	0.75%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	14	0.46%	no

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	67.43%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	21.35%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	9.77%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.45%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.45%	2.19%

### School System: Livingston Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	64.10%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.27%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	35.11%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.53%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	69.57%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.00%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.64%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	76.19%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	93.98%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Madison Parish Total Public Population: 2,181

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.10%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.37%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	88.54%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	5.26%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	15.79%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	15.79%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.37% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 93.63% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.21% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 38.46% 75.03% 62.90% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 30.77% 68.77% 42.74% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 26.19% 16.96% 0.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 5.56% 60.10% 32.80% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 44.74% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 37.18%

### School System: Madison Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B & 3C										
		Math						ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	97.14%		99.68%	98.71%	99.20%	97.06%		99.70%	99.35%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	16.67%	41.80%	68.36%	29.58%	39.13%	27.78%	47.40%	68.60%	37.32%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	26.67%		67.09%	46.58%	33.84%	36.67%		73.54%	66.44%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	11.76%		62.50%	40.15%	26.70%	5.88%		63.98%	54.01%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	32.50%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	33.54%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	17.65%		62.09%	48.82%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	42.19%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	11.43%		58.44%	27.74%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	23.23%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	0.00%		65.74%	37.18%	27.85%	0.00%		59.05%	44.74%

	Specia	al Ed.	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	9.09%	10.43%	4.36%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	23.46%	10.15%	16.40%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	7	2.73%	yes

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	49.17%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	23.75%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	26.25%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.83%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.83%	2.19%
nospital placements	1.02 /0	0.0070	2.13/0

### School System: Madison Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	68.75%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	31.25%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	37.50%	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.93%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	70.37%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



## School System: Morehouse Parish Total Public Population: 5,041

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.61%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.97%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.42%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.71%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	2.86%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	8.57%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.54% n/a 4.36% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 85.83% 99.74% 99.69% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 97.62% 99.88% 99.66% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 95.24% 99.86% 99.66% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 29.17% 75.03% 55.83% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 55.56% 38.55% 33.33% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 19.51% 63.69% 16.96% 58.45% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 17.50% 60.10% 52.53% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 28.57% 59.67% 54.59% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 28.57% 66.48% 60.87%

### School System: Morehouse Parish

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators SD & SC										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	97.44%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	97.44%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	95.24%		99.61%	99.41%	98.69%	95.24%		99.65%	99.41%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	94.12%		99.68%	99.35%	99.20%	96.08%		99.70%	99.35%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	46.67%	41.80%	68.36%	55.65%	39.13%	34.67%	47.40%	68.60%	61.45%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	29.82%		67.09%	55.96%	33.84%	26.32%		73.54%	55.44%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	34.62%		62.50%	50.00%	26.70%	29.49%		63.98%	47.04%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	26.67%		66.30%	62.94%	28.07%	30.00%		66.12%	61.06%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	23.81%		62.09%	59.76%	23.34%	19.05%		63.97%	61.83%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	13.73%		58.44%	51.46%	19.66%	15.69%		62.01%	57.28%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	40.00%		65.74%	60.87%	27.85%	53.33%		59.05%	54.59%

Discipline Percents – all incidents						
	Special Ed.		Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local		State	Local	
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	1.86%		10.43%	3.49%	
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.60%		10.15%	11.24%	
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%	
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.35%	

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	21	2.68%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	73.64%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	11.82%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	12.12%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	2.42%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	2.42%	2.19%

### School System: Morehouse Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	38.21%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	36.59%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	25.20%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	77.78%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	8.70%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	6.35%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.46%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	93.48%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



## School System: Natchitoches Parish Total Public Population: 6,951

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.85%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.68%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.47%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	18.18%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	9.09%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	11.36%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	47.73%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.68% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 1.66% 98.84% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.38% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 99.78% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 99.78% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.04% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 98.80% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 99.10% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 98.80% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 28.13% 75.03% 64.10% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 28.13% 68.77% 62.33% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 7.84% 55.37% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 9.80% 60.10% 50.37% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 53.78% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 5.00% 66.48% 58.48%

### School System: Natchitoches Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.78%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.78%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.79%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.79%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.05%		99.90%	99.56%	99.62%	99.05%		99.91%	99.56%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	95.56%		99.74%	99.30%	99.19%	95.56%		99.76%	99.07%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	94.34%		99.61%	97.94%	98.69%	94.34%		99.65%	97.94%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	98.59%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	98.83%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	98.80%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.10%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.00%	41.80%	68.36%	61.76%	39.13%	35.71%	47.40%	68.60%	60.44%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	26.67%		67.09%	60.50%	33.84%	29.33%		73.54%	62.58%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	19.05%		62.50%	51.43%	26.70%	15.24%		63.98%	54.53%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	26.67%		66.30%	57.24%	28.07%	24.44%		66.12%	59.58%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	22.64%		62.09%	53.67%	23.34%	26.42%		63.97%	58.49%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	12.50%		58.44%	48.59%	19.66%	8.93%		62.01%	53.52%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	29.03%		65.74%	57.78%	27.85%	22.58%		59.05%	53.29%

Discipline Percents – all incidents						
	Special Ed.		Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local		
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	13.87%	10.43%	10.40%		
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	20.17%	10.15%	12.81%		
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.68%	0.37%	0.60%		
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.19%	0.46%	0.33%		

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
48	5.56%	ves
	Count 48	Count Child Count

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	31.44%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	52.23%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	14.57%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.75%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	1.75%	2.19%

### School System: Natchitoches Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 f			
	Special	Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	50.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	13.93%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	36.07%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> <u>6-21)</u> – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	77.78%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.44%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	68.42%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	83.27%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Orleans Parish Total Public Population: 9,059

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	5.13%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	20.30%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	74.57%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	32.61%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	6.52%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	6.52%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 13.88% n/a 4.27% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 81.49% 99.74% 99.42% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 95.00% 99.88% 99.62% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 95.00% 99.86% 99.62% 98.88% 100.00% 98.43% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 98.43% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 53.13% 75.03% 81.86% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 50.00% 68.77% 76.11% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 26.32% 63.69% 16.96% 69.08% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 21.05% 60.10% 61.41% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 21.05% 59.67% 63.50% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 28.21% 66.48% 68.04%

### School System: Orleans Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 36 & 30										
	Math						ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	95.24%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	95.24%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.57%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.35%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	96.55%		99.68%	99.26%	99.20%	96.55%		99.70%	99.26%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	98.34%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	98.34%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	44.00%	41.80%	68.36%	72.21%	39.13%	40.00%	47.40%	68.60%	75.58%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	52.94%		67.09%	75.77%	33.84%	55.88%		73.54%	81.72%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	37.93%		62.50%	64.48%	26.70%	37.93%		63.98%	68.37%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	42.86%		66.30%	77.37%	28.07%	52.38%		66.12%	74.57%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	48.57%		62.09%	67.96%	23.34%	45.71%		63.97%	70.32%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	17.24%		58.44%	60.52%	19.66%	24.14%		62.01%	67.78%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	38.00%		65.74%	66.79%	27.85%	32.65%		59.05%	62.38%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Speci	al Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local		State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	2.00%		10.43%	2.44%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	9.62%	]	10.15%	7.66%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.36%	]	0.37%	0.04%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.54%	]	0.46%	0.31%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	37	8.41%	yes

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 <sup>f</sup>

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	55.45%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.72%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	16.09%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.74%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.74%	2.19%

### School System: Orleans Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>				
	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	36.11%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	63.89%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%		
Home	3.05%	0.00%		
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%		
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>				
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%	
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*		
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*		

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	00.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.69%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	84.85%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Ouachita Parish Total Public Population: 18,989

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.38%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	5.24%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	81.38%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	23.11%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	8.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	2.67%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.89%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.99% n/a 16.06% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 74.08% 99.74% 99.51% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.67% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.67% 98.88% 100.00% 99.65% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.82% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 57.06% 75.03% 88.45% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 57.06% 68.77% 86.31% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 26.19% 77.60% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 26.40% 60.10% 69.67% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 14.29% 59.67% 67.37% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 31.58% 66.48% 75.33%

#### School System: Ouachita Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject – Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Special Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	99.52%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	99.52%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.92%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.92%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	99.44%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	99.44%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	99.42%		99.61%	99.60%	98.69%	99.41%		99.65%	99.68%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	99.44%		99.68%	99.52%	99.20%	99.44%		99.70%	99.52%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.74%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.65%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	49.76%	41.80%	68.36%	88.41%	39.13%	52.66%	47.40%	68.60%	86.14%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	52.07%		67.09%	85.95%	33.84%	51.61%		73.54%	88.31%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	45.00%		62.50%	79.75%	26.70%	40.91%		63.98%	82.78%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	33.71%		66.30%	84.92%	28.07%	37.08%		66.12%	88.17%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	25.73%		62.09%	75.26%	23.34%	23.67%		63.97%	80.96%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	25.70%		58.44%	68.77%	19.66%	28.89%		62.01%	76.44%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	36.04%		65.74%	75.13%	27.85%	25.89%		59.05%	67.13%

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	5.53%	10.43%	5.04%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.04%	10.15%	8.85%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.03%	0.37%	0.01%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.07%	0.46%	0.46%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	69	2.78%	yes

	Specia	I Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	69.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	22.63%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.66%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.72%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.72%	2.19%

#### School System: Ouachita Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Special Ed. State Local		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	79.67%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.25%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	16.67%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.41%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	90.70%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.30%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	78.33%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



## School System: Plaquemines Parish Total Public Population: 3,610

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.22%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.63%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	87.15%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	21.43%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	10.71%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	25.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 11.64% n/a 5.29% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 82.01% 99.74% 99.85% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 99.60% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.60% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 42.86% 75.03% 84.09% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 83.18% 38.55% 28.57% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 16.67% 63.69% 16.96% 73.64% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 16.67% 60.10% 70.71% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 26.32% 59.67% 64.08% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 15.79% 66.48% 67.76%

## School System: Plaquemines Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 36 & 30										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	96.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	96.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	94.44%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	94.44%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	96.30%		98.93%	99.19%	98.53%	96.30%		99.01%	99.19%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	38.46%	41.80%	68.36%	80.09%	39.13%	42.31%	47.40%	68.60%	80.09%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	30.00%		67.09%	80.95%	33.84%	33.33%		73.54%	82.68%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	32.00%		62.50%	74.19%	26.70%	24.00%		63.98%	68.28%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	40.74%		66.30%	75.00%	28.07%	40.74%		66.12%	67.67%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	33.33%		62.09%	72.07%	23.34%	38.89%		63.97%	77.03%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.00%		58.44%	68.53%	19.66%	12.00%		62.01%	71.31%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	18.52%		65.74%	66.94%	27.85%	29.63%		59.05%	63.31%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.			Regular Ec	
	State	Local	State	•	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	11.16%	10	.43%	10.94%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	14.65%	10	.15%	11.12%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.47%	0	.37%	0.47%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.23%	0	.46%	0.26%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	5	1.55%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	57.14%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	23.93%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	17.50%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.43%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.43%	2.19%

#### School System: Plaquemines Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	37.21%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	41.86%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	13.95%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	2.33%	
Home	3.05%	4.65%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-		-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	6.64%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	76.47%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	57.14%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Pointe Coupee ParishTotal Public Population:2,992

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	16.95%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.30%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.75%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	20.83%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	62.50%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	4.17%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	4.17%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.84% n/a 7.84% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 83.33% 99.74% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 97.22% 99.86% 100.00% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 16.00% 75.03% 66.49% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 20.00% 68.77% 55.85% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 11.11% 50.84% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 25.71% 60.10% 48.60% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 23.08% 59.67% 50.00% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 15.38% 66.48% 69.75%

### School System: Pointe Coupee Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject – Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.43%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	97.50%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	98.35%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	98.33%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	26.47%	41.80%	68.36%	56.44%	39.13%	20.59%	47.40%	68.60%	60.40%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	23.53%		67.09%	54.23%	33.84%	17.65%		73.54%	66.17%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	25.00%		62.50%	45.00%	26.70%	18.18%		63.98%	52.50%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	20.83%		66.30%	54.29%	28.07%	16.67%		66.12%	65.24%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	17.02%		62.09%	48.28%	23.34%	14.89%		63.97%	52.30%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	30.00%		58.44%	47.54%	19.66%	17.50%		62.01%	50.27%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	25.00%		65.74%	68.60%	27.85%	37.50%		59.05%	49.17%

Discipline Percents – all incidents "				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	17.86%	10.43%	14.03%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	27.99%	10.15%	16.57%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.92%	0.37%	0.97%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.18%	0.46%	0.82%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	33	6.37%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	56.56%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	26.67%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	15.27%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.51%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.51%	2.19%

#### School System: Pointe Coupee Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	49.06%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	1.89%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	33.96%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	15.09%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>	1		
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-		-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	100.00%	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	6.01%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	96.97%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	73.53%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Rapides Parish Total Public Population: 23,832

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.78%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.63%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.59%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	14.86%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	19.26%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.74%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	29.39%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.30% n/a 3.51% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 85.71% 99.74% 99.80% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 98.91% 99.88% 99.71% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 98.91% 99.86% 99.64% 98.88% 100.00% 99.69% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.54% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 50.00% 75.03% 82.73% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 55.56% 68.77% 80.47% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 22.53% 69.84% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 29.12% 60.10% 56.26% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 29.17% 59.67% 63.36% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 26.39% 66.48% 66.80%

#### School System: Rapides Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
			Math					ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.87%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.87%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	98.55%		99.74%	99.74%	99.19%	99.28%		99.76%	99.73%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	98.29%		99.61%	99.52%	98.69%	98.29%		99.65%	99.72%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	98.27%		99.68%	99.57%	99.20%	98.27%		99.70%	99.64%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.31%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.46%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	47.50%	41.80%	68.36%	78.21%	39.13%	44.58%	47.40%	68.60%	79.57%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	48.84%		67.09%	80.10%	33.84%	44.96%		73.54%	82.10%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	33.45%		62.50%	64.27%	26.70%	35.19%		63.98%	70.20%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	28.26%		66.30%	67.22%	28.07%	28.99%		66.12%	71.17%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	27.35%		62.09%	62.26%	23.34%	32.05%		63.97%	66.07%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	27.27%		58.44%	55.59%	19.66%	25.54%		62.01%	69.05%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	42.74%		65.74%	66.33%	27.85%	48.39%		59.05%	63.02%

	Specia	al Ed.	Regi	ular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	10.00%	10.43%	7.84%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	14.55%	10.15%	8.87%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.08%	0.37%	0.04%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.14%	0.46%	0.25%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	12	0.36%	no

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	52.72%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	30.96%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	11.03%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	3.78%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.50%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	5.28%	2.19%

#### School System: Rapides Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	36.20%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	9.20%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	54.30%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.30%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	79.31%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.50%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	72.73%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	48.71%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Red River Parish Total Public Population: 1,537

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.39%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.33%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	88.29%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	9.52%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	38.10%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	38.10%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.00% n/a 5.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 85.00% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 14.29% 75.03% 66.67% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 14.29% 68.77% 66.67% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 10.00% 63.69% 16.96% 57.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 50.00% 60.10% 53.00% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 53.42% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 68.49%

#### School System: Red River Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C           Math         ELA										
<u>.</u>	Special Ed.				Speci	Special Ed. 2006-07			Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.00%	41.80%	68.36%	64.84%	39.13%	30.00%	47.40%	68.60%	63.74%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	26.32%		67.09%	64.76%	33.84%	21.05%		73.54%	66.67%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%		62.50%	62.20%	26.70%	75.00%		63.98%	58.54%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	26.67%		66.30%	50.00%	28.07%	33.33%		66.12%	58.93%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	43.96%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	49.45%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	46.15%		58.44%	48.65%	19.66%	15.38%		62.01%	53.15%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	12.50%		65.74%	68.49%	27.85%	12.50%		59.05%	53.42%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State	Local	
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	21.15%	10.43%	20.09%	
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	9.68%	10.15%	5.94%	
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	1.05%	
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.77%	

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	2	1.10%	no

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	57.32%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	35.98%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.49%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.22%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.22%	2.19%

#### School System: Red River Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	70.59%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	29.41%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.96%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	66.67%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Richland Parish Total Public Population: 3,372

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.55%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.39%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.05%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	11.43%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	25.71%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.31% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 16.38% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 79.31% 99.74% 99.89% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 7.69% 75.03% 60.56% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 11.54% 68.77% 65.57% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 11.11% 52.91% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 11.11% 60.10% 51.12% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 18.18% 59.67% 51.35% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 27.27% 66.48% 65.95%

### School System: Richland Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math						ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	97.37%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	97.37%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	97.30%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	97.30%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	95.00%		99.61%	99.59%	98.69%	95.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	97.88%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	97.37%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	18.60%	41.80%	68.36%	48.37%	39.13%	18.60%	47.40%	68.60%	48.84%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	10.00%		67.09%	64.55%	33.84%	6.67%		73.54%	60.45%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	5.26%		62.50%	57.60%	26.70%	10.53%		63.98%	55.76%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	13.51%		66.30%	64.96%	28.07%	13.51%		66.12%	57.69%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	10.00%		62.09%	61.48%	23.34%	5.00%		63.97%	58.61%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.00%		58.44%	50.89%	19.66%	16.00%		62.01%	52.68%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	28.57%		65.74%	64.55%	27.85%	28.57%		59.05%	50.00%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	4.99%	10.43%	2.62%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	20.36%	10.15%	13.09%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.23%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions	21		
Totaling > 10 Days	21	4.91%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	55.53%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	28.57%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	14.82%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.08%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.08%	2.19%

#### School System: Richland Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	49.12%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	31.58%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	3.51%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	15.79%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	100.00%	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.55%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	60.71%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Sabine Parish Total Public Population: 4,209

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.21%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.80%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	27.03%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	18.92%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.41%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	29.73%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.10% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 8.02% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 84.88% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 86.67% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 86.67% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 14.29% 75.03% 75.41% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 25.00% 68.77% 69.67% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 10.34% 66.27% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 10.34% 60.10% 57.25% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 23.08% 59.67% 58.65% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 23.08% 66.48% 72.93%

#### School System: Sabine Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Special Ed.	2006-07	Regul	Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	ocal Target State Local State Local State Target		State	Local				
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	97.87%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	97.87%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.62%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.62%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	95.92%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	95.92%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	89.47%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	89.47%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	38.30%	41.80%	68.36%	68.85%	39.13%	29.79%	47.40%	68.60%	70.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	25.42%		67.09%	68.30%	33.84%	18.64%		73.54%	73.96%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	23.08%		62.50%	58.72%	26.70%	12.82%		63.98%	66.81%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	26.19%		66.30%	76.57%	28.07%	23.81%		66.12%	74.06%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	29.17%		62.09%	66.93%	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	76.77%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.33%		58.44%	56.59%	19.66%	16.33%		62.01%	65.50%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	26.32%		65.74%	72.93%	27.85%	26.32%		59.05%	58.65%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	12.26%	10.43%	5.93%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	19.11%	10.15%	8.47%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	1.11%	0.37%	0.59%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.10%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	9	1.45%	no

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	61.63%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	29.48%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	8.70%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.18%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.18%	2.19%

#### School System: Sabine Parish

Γ	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	54.39%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	10.53%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	31.58%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	3.51%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> <u>6-21)</u> – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	ial Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	5.38%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	80.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	69.23%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. Bernard Parish Total Public Population: 3,752

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.55%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.99%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	86.46%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	27.69%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	20.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	30.77%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.25% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 6.87% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 85.88% 99.74% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 12.50% 75.03% 65.77% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 75.68% 38.55% 41.67% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 3.57% 58.14% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 10.71% 60.10% 58.91% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 11.11% 59.67% 68.40% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 16.67% 66.48% 74.57%

### School System: St. Bernard Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators SD & SC										
		Math				ELA				
	Special Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.06%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	97.06%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	21.88%	41.80%	68.36%	63.29%	39.13%	25.00%	47.40%	68.60%	62.32%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	37.84%		67.09%	75.00%	33.84%	21.62%		73.54%	65.18%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	14.81%		62.50%	65.12%	26.70%	11.11%		63.98%	67.91%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	28.21%		66.30%	64.76%	28.07%	23.08%		66.12%	66.08%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	29.41%		62.09%	57.14%	23.34%	23.53%		63.97%	53.68%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.67%		58.44%	56.93%	19.66%	11.11%		62.01%	55.84%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	19.05%		65.74%	74.57%	27.85%	19.05%		59.05%	68.40%

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>							
	Specia	Special Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local		State	Local		
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	14.67%		10.43%	6.00%		
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	18.39%		10.15%	11.64%		
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%		
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.21%		0.46%	0.12%		

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	65.14%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.83%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.03%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

#### School System: St. Bernard Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	50.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.00%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	85.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. Charles Parish Total Public Population: 9,652

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.08%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	6.43%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.49%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	31.67%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	8.33%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.33%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	25.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.95% n/a 8.29% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 83.25% 99.74% 99.81% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.85% 98.88% 100.00% 99.70% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.70% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 45.33% 75.03% 83.99% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 73.84% 38.55% 36.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 17.65% 73.19% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 19.61% 60.10% 74.27% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 34.78% 59.67% 68.98% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 52.17% 66.48% 81.65%

### School System: St. Charles Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math					ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.84%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.84%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	97.62%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	97.62%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.47%		99.61%	99.85%	98.69%	97.47%		99.65%	99.85%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.85%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.70%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.70%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	59.34%	41.80%	68.36%	79.01%	39.13%	51.65%	47.40%	68.60%	77.24%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	28.07%		67.09%	71.75%	33.84%	38.60%		73.54%	82.34%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	40.00%		62.50%	74.85%	26.70%	31.25%		63.98%	76.64%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	42.86%		66.30%	80.92%	28.07%	33.33%		66.12%	79.17%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	34.18%		62.09%	76.02%	23.34%	35.44%		63.97%	73.90%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	19.18%		58.44%	73.26%	19.66%	20.55%		62.01%	72.21%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	50.00%		65.74%	81.41%	27.85%	46.67%		59.05%	68.77%

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	22.27%	10.43%	18.04%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	2.10%	10.15%	1.72%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.14%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions	_		
Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	52.14%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	34.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	12.06%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.79%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.79%	2.19%

#### School System: St. Charles Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	73.98%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	5.69%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	18.70%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	1.63%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>	1	1	
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.23%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	76.17%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. Helena Parish Total Public Population: 1,276

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	16.93%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.39%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.68%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	13.04%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	4.35%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	39.13%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 3.88% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 0.78% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 95.35% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 95.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 0.00% 75.03% 60.87% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 50.72% 38.55% 18.18% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 25.00% 16.96% 5.26% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 5.00% 60.10% 34.52% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 26.09% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 75.00% 66.48% 71.01%

### School System: St. Helena Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	98.85%	99.20%	95.45%		99.70%	98.85%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	15.38%	41.80%	68.36%	33.33%	39.13%	30.77%	47.40%	68.60%	48.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	21.43%		67.09%	51.39%	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	59.72%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	4.35%		62.50%	15.38%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	14.29%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	11.11%		66.30%	26.76%	28.07%	5.56%		66.12%	29.58%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	18.46%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	26.15%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	13.64%		58.44%	33.33%	19.66%	13.64%		62.01%	24.14%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	75.00%		65.74%	71.01%	27.85%	0.00%		59.05%	26.09%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	26.77%	10.43%	18.75%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.75%	10.15%	10.36%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.51%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	6	2.61%	yes

# Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	61.50%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	33.80%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	2.82%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.88%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.88%	2.19%

#### School System: St. Helena Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	76.47%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	23.53%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	50.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	5.88%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	18.18%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. James Parish Total Public Population: 4,149

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.32%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.05%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.64%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	19.15%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	4.26%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	27.66%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.27% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 17.34% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 73.39% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 42.86% 75.03% 71.57% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 34.29% 68.77% 66.67% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 9.52% 63.69% 16.96% 62.73% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 14.29% 60.10% 65.68% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 25.00% 59.67% 64.50% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 12.50% 66.48% 84.03%

### School System: St. James Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.58%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.58%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.59%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.59%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.17%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.58%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.65%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.65%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	96.55%		99.68%	99.31%	99.20%	96.55%		99.70%	99.31%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	90.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	90.00%		99.01%	99.62%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	42.11%	41.80%	68.36%	71.23%	39.13%	39.47%	47.40%	68.60%	70.78%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	29.41%		67.09%	64.14%	33.84%	41.18%		73.54%	70.46%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	28.57%		62.50%	59.92%	26.70%	10.71%		63.98%	65.70%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	21.95%		66.30%	70.42%	28.07%	17.07%		66.12%	63.33%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	31.25%		62.09%	65.72%	23.34%	25.00%		63.97%	61.13%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	24.14%		58.44%	64.24%	19.66%	20.69%		62.01%	61.11%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	20.00%		65.74%	84.03%	27.85%	20.00%		59.05%	64.26%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State	Local	
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	11.83%	10.43%	7.41%	
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	19.48%	10.15%	15.73%	
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	1.91%	0.37%	2.19%	
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.24%	

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	12	2.33%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	78.62%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	13.30%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.84%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.24%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.24%	2.19%

#### School System: St. James Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	91.58%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	3.16%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	5.26%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.77%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	50.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:St. John the Baptist ParishTotal Public Population:6,724

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.11%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.96%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	82.93%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	14.46%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	18.07%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	6.02%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	37.35%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.85% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 3.85% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 86.08% 99.74% 99.77% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 96.77% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 98.62% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 93.94% 99.16% 98.89% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 26.92% 75.03% 72.24% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 25.49% 68.77% 61.29% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 10.81% 65.14% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 28.95% 60.10% 61.48% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 46.22% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 16.13% 66.48% 54.34%

## School System: St. John the Baptist Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
			Math			ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.68%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	98.68%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.79%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.79%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	98.46%		99.61%	99.78%	98.69%	98.46%		99.65%	99.78%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	95.74%		98.93%	98.35%	98.53%	97.78%		99.01%	98.08%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	36.51%	41.80%	68.36%	61.58%	39.13%	28.57%	47.40%	68.60%	61.34%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	29.07%		67.09%	59.45%	33.84%	32.56%		73.54%	70.84%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	30.26%		62.50%	55.37%	26.70%	28.95%		63.98%	60.33%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	17.33%		66.30%	56.01%	28.07%	20.00%		66.12%	57.39%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	32.31%		62.09%	58.35%	23.34%	23.08%		63.97%	56.32%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	31.82%		58.44%	58.35%	19.66%	12.12%		62.01%	61.92%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	40.43%		65.74%	53.44%	27.85%	31.11%		59.05%	45.33%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	25.25%	10.43%	21.13%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	22.08%	10.15%	15.13%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.08%	0.37%	0.09%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.17%	0.46%	0.70%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	33	3.24%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	85.58%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	5.45%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	8.51%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.45%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.45%	2.19%

#### School System: St. John the Baptist Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 f			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	60.87%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	2.90%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	36.23%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	<u>100.00%</u> 69.57%	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.79%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	83.33%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	84.62%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	60.67%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. Landry Parish Total Public Population: 15,382

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.13%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.70%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.16%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State Local		Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	18.24%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	13.53%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.88%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	28.24%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.64% n/a 3.91% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.45% 99.74% 99.90% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.66% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.66% 98.88% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.87% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 40.13% 75.03% 82.31% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 40.13% 68.77% 74.44% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 26.74% 63.69% 68.74% 16.96% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 30.59% 60.10% 61.45% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 25.00% 59.67% 63.06% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 30.61% 66.48% 73.15%

## School System: St. Landry Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C											
	Math				ELA						
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regul	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	99.49%	98.68%	99.91%	99.61%	99.77%	99.49%	98.71%	99.92%	99.61%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.90%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.90%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.81%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.91%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.56%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.44%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.16%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.16%	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	97.26%		98.93%	97.93%	98.53%	97.22%		99.01%	98.83%	
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	42.13%	41.80%	68.36%	68.38%	39.13%	37.06%	47.40%	68.60%	75.75%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	41.67%		67.09%	72.74%	33.84%	41.15%		73.54%	80.97%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	30.39%		62.50%	59.87%	26.70%	27.47%		63.98%	69.64%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	33.33%		66.30%	68.68%	28.07%	37.16%		66.12%	74.60%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	19.76%		62.09%	61.96%	23.34%	22.16%		63.97%	67.30%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	27.59%		58.44%	59.00%	19.66%	27.35%		62.01%	66.32%	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	36.99%		65.74%	71.63%	27.85%	31.94%		59.05%	62.32%	

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State	Local	
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	6.34%	10.43%	3.66%	
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	14.56%	10.15%	10.50%	
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	1.60%	0.37%	0.68%	
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.41%	0.46%	0.40%	

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	24	1.11%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	0	151	0000 07 01-1-	
	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	55.87%	57.76%	
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	23.96%		
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	18.61%	16.11%	
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%		
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%		
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.56%		
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%		
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or				
hospital placements	1.82%	1.56%	2.19%	

#### School System: St. Landry Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	61.38%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	32.52%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	6.10%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			1
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.61%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	84.21%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	87.50%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	86.05%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. Martin Parish Total Public Population: 8,595

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.68%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.04%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	86.28%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	17.78%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	21.48%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	1.48%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	22.22%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.68% n/a 4.22% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.10% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 42.25% 75.03% 67.89% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 35.21% 66.42% 38.55% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 14.29% 63.69% 52.27% 16.96% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 20.00% 60.10% 53.25% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 6.25% 59.67% 58.49% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 6.25% 66.48% 73.27%

### School System: St. Martin Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.97%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	98.97%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	97.67%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	97.67%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	62.34%	41.80%	68.36%	80.75%	39.13%	55.84%	47.40%	68.60%	78.13%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	35.29%		67.09%	65.91%	33.84%	35.29%		73.54%	67.48%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	25.77%		62.50%	52.50%	26.70%	15.46%		63.98%	49.54%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	38.16%		66.30%	61.44%	28.07%	30.26%		66.12%	60.49%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	25.76%		62.09%	54.51%	23.34%	15.15%		63.97%	56.91%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	25.93%		58.44%	51.86%	19.66%	24.07%		62.01%	51.12%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	23.26%		65.74%	73.27%	27.85%	23.26%		59.05%	58.49%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	10.33%	10.43%	9.79%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.38%	10.15%	12.78%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	2.87%	0.37%	2.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.22%

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.09%	no

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	66.25%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	20.77%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	10.70%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	2.18%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.10%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	2.18%	2.19%

#### School System: St. Martin Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	33.33%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	60.87%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	1.45%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	4.35%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages $6-21$ ) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-	-		
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.27%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	73.33%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: St. Mary Parish Total Public Population: 9,792

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.36%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.34%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	81.30%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	15.44%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	13.42%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.36%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	44.97%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.46% n/a 3.67% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 89.62% 99.74% 99.81% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 99.82% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 99.82% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 99.83% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% 99.36% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.04% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 18.09% 75.03% 72.06% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 66.26% 38.55% 22.34% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 20.00% 63.71% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 28.57% 60.10% 63.94% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 5.56% 59.67% 55.77% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 33.33% 66.48% 68.44%

## School System: St. Mary Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B & 3C										
	Math						ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.85%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.85%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.73%		99.90%	99.80%	99.62%	98.73%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	98.57%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	99.29%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.69%		99.61%	99.84%	98.69%	97.69%		99.65%	99.84%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.53%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.53%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	97.87%		98.93%	98.89%	98.53%	97.87%		99.01%	99.21%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	35.34%	41.80%	68.36%	67.18%	39.13%	27.07%	47.40%	68.60%	63.10%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	23.38%		67.09%	64.92%	33.84%	20.13%		73.54%	70.01%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	29.11%		62.50%	66.53%	26.70%	20.25%		63.98%	61.09%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	23.57%		66.30%	62.46%	28.07%	17.14%		66.12%	57.81%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	23.08%		62.09%	63.26%	23.34%	15.38%		63.97%	56.28%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	24.10%		58.44%	61.42%	19.66%	20.48%		62.01%	61.16%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	34.04%		65.74%	67.68%	27.85%	14.89%		59.05%	55.33%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	19.68%	10.43%	14.28%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	3.57%	10.15%	2.08%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.06%	0.37%	0.02%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.01%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	52.65%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	33.04%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	13.22%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.09%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	1.09%	2.19%

#### School System: St. Mary Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	52.08%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	6.25%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	41.67%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.24%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.57%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	87.50%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	96.25%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: St. Tammany Parish Total Public Population: 35,443

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.30%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	8.10%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	76.60%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	23.80%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	5.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	3.80%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	26.60%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.77% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 3.92% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.99% 99.74% 99.84% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.64% 99.88% 99.87% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 99.65% 99.86% 99.87% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% 99.19% 99.45% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.45% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 50.81% 75.03% 86.66% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 81.96% 38.55% 50.81% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 28.21% 63.69% 16.96% 77.62% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 29.89% 60.10% 75.55% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 21.81% 59.67% 74.41% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 29.26% 66.48% 80.18%

## School System: St. Tammany Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Otatewide Assessment by	Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C									
		Math				ELA				
	Speci		2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.95%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	99.77%		99.93%	99.95%	99.87%	99.77%		99.93%	99.95%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	99.75%		99.74%	99.95%	99.19%	99.75%		99.76%	99.95%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	99.69%		99.61%	99.82%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.78%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	99.46%		99.68%	99.78%	99.20%	99.46%		99.70%	99.74%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.45%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.45%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	59.78%	41.80%	68.36%	86.18%	39.13%	59.33%	47.40%	68.60%	85.81%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	47.79%		67.09%	81.64%	33.84%	49.18%		73.54%	86.17%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	40.29%		62.50%	78.59%	26.70%	35.07%		63.98%	77.82%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	45.14%		66.30%	81.68%	28.07%	43.14%		66.12%	82.90%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	41.98%		62.09%	75.67%	23.34%	36.11%		63.97%	79.33%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	32.70%		58.44%	75.12%	19.66%	32.15%		62.01%	76.89%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	35.00%		65.74%	79.74%	27.85%	32.92%		59.05%	74.01%

Discipline Percents – all incidents						
	Specia	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local		State	Local	
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	12.38%		10.43%	7.72%	
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	12.61%		10.15%	6.55%	
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.08%		0.37%	0.06%	
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.03%		0.46%	0.23%	

## Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	27	0.50%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	al Ed	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	46.99%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.71%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	23.16%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	1.59%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.54%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	2.13%	2.19%

#### School System: St. Tammany Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	50.80%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	30.44%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	17.70%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	1.06%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	98.75%	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.60%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	89.52%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	84.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	59.74%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Tangipahoa Parish Total Public Population: 19,393

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.67%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.66%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.68%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	19.66%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	7.93%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	6.21%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	38.28%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.87% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 21.28% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 72.72% 99.74% 99.92% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 99.83% 99.58% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.41% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 29.52% 75.03% 66.72% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 31.93% 68.77% 58.30% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 21.88% 59.40% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 25.00% 60.10% 58.57% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 4.17% 59.67% 57.82% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 26.03% 66.48% 59.68%

## School System: Tangipahoa Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math				ELA					
	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.92%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.92%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.93%	99.87%	99.64%		99.93%	99.93%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	98.19%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	98.80%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.84%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.76%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	98.90%		99.61%	99.85%	98.69%	99.45%		99.65%	99.77%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.84%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	97.39%		98.93%	98.90%	98.53%	97.37%		99.01%	99.32%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	40.22%	41.80%	68.36%	60.17%	39.13%	34.64%	47.40%	68.60%	64.71%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	27.40%		67.09%	55.39%	33.84%	26.69%		73.54%	63.57%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	30.12%		62.50%	53.87%	26.70%	24.10%		63.98%	57.44%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	22.02%		66.30%	58.39%	28.07%	21.56%		66.12%	68.69%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	21.98%		62.09%	53.40%	23.34%	17.03%		63.97%	62.56%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	19.44%		58.44%	57.61%	19.66%	20.56%		62.01%	58.27%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	25.22%		65.74%	59.02%	27.85%	12.28%		59.05%	57.43%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	20.59%	10.43%	17.15%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	21.64%	10.15%	15.46%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.03%	0.37%	0.01%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.03%	0.46%	0.24%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	85	3.54%	yes

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	50.74%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	25.35%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	22.08%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.84%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.84%	2.19%

#### School System: Tangipahoa Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	45.24%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	8.33%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	44.64%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	1.79%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	96.00%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.44%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	81.82%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	91.67%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	75.61%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Tensas Parish Total Public Population: 808

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	19.06%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.35%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	78.59%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	33.33%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.97% n/a 5.97% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.06% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 20.00% 75.03% 54.76% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 57.14% 38.55% 20.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 50.00% 46.88% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 37.50% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 48.28% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 44.83%

### School System: Tensas Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math			ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	53.85%	41.80%	68.36%	42.42%	39.13%	46.15%	47.40%	68.60%	60.61%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	14.29%		67.09%	55.81%	33.84%	14.29%		73.54%	53.49%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	9.09%		62.50%	38.60%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	50.88%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	40.00%		66.30%	65.12%	28.07%	20.00%		66.12%	51.16%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	7.69%		62.09%	61.11%	23.34%	7.69%		63.97%	57.41%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	33.33%		58.44%	37.50%	19.66%	66.67%		62.01%	46.88%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	20.00%		65.74%	44.83%	27.85%	0.00%		59.05%	48.28%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	5.95%	10.43%	7.59%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.48%	10.15%	16.65%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	1.35%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.61%	no

## Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 5<sup>f</sup>

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	97.06%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	0.74%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	2.21%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

#### School System: Tensas Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	53.57%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	3.57%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	42.86%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> <u>6-21)</u> – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	5.17%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.89%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	55.56%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Terrebonne Parish Total Public Population: 19,032

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.96%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.29%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	81.75%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	9.22%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	26.60%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	1.06%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	45.04%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.56% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 8.69% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 81.68% 99.74% 99.90% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.07% 99.88% 99.92% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 99.91% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.83% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 31.58% 75.03% 76.44% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 32.24% 68.77% 70.02% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 8.49% 56.54% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 6.48% 60.10% 47.56% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 5.13% 59.67% 52.91% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 17.95% 66.48% 56.60%

#### School System: Terrebonne Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 35 & 30										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed. 2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.85%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.85%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.84%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.84%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.69%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.69%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.92%	99.20%	99.37%		99.70%	99.84%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	98.18%		98.93%	99.57%	98.53%	98.18%		99.01%	99.65%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	36.65%	41.80%	68.36%	69.55%	39.13%	33.94%	47.40%	68.60%	70.39%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	26.47%		67.09%	68.67%	33.84%	29.41%		73.54%	75.48%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	25.83%		62.50%	59.27%	26.70%	20.42%		63.98%	61.56%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	17.74%		66.30%	65.03%	28.07%	27.42%		66.12%	73.89%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	18.00%		62.09%	60.40%	23.34%	14.00%		63.97%	64.81%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	10.69%		58.44%	46.98%	19.66%	14.47%		62.01%	56.04%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	30.91%		65.74%	56.35%	27.85%	23.64%		59.05%	52.73%

Discipline Percents – all incidents						
	Special Ed.		Special Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local		
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	4.04%	10.43%	2.41%		
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	20.81%	10.15%	14.49%		
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%		
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%		

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.04%	no

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	63.18%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	24.46%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	10.29%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	1.43%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.65%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	2.07%	2.19%

#### School System: Terrebonne Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	59.38%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	4.04%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	31.83%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	4.75%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	81.40%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	7.86%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	65.65%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	92.59%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	46.81%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Union Parish Total Public Population: 3,079

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.90%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.78%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.32%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	13.33%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	8.89%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	31.11%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.83% n/a 4.02% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.76% 99.74% 99.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 94.44% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 21.74% 75.03% 55.56% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 26.09% 68.77% 52.76% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 4.17% 63.69% 16.96% 47.64% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 8.33% 60.10% 43.87% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 5.56% 59.67% 54.09% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 35.29% 66.48% 54.43%

#### School System: Union Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci		2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	96.67%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	96.67%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	96.77%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	96.00%		98.93%	98.75%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.38%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	15.63%	41.80%	68.36%	48.36%	39.13%	9.38%	47.40%	68.60%	55.87%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	20.00%		67.09%	52.73%	33.84%	16.67%		73.54%	54.55%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	7.89%		62.50%	47.85%	26.70%	10.53%		63.98%	48.39%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	16.22%		66.30%	51.08%	28.07%	18.92%		66.12%	56.45%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	29.03%		62.09%	57.69%	23.34%	22.58%		63.97%	64.84%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	5.00%		58.44%	41.05%	19.66%	2.70%		62.01%	46.82%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	36.00%		65.74%	53.75%	27.85%	20.00%		59.05%	53.75%

Discipline Percents – all incidents d				
	Specia	l Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	1.67%	10.43%	0.58%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	19.83%	10.15%	10.68%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.21%	0.37%	0.06%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.42%	0.46%	0.35%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	4	0.91%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	66.67%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.61%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.48%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.24%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.24%	2.19%

#### School System: Union Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	84.62%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	2.56%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	12.82%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> <u>6-21)</u> – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.53%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	71.43%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	75.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	59.09%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Vermilion Parish Total Public Population: 9,072

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.12%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.86%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.02%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	23.97%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	13.22%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	4.96%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	23.97%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.55% n/a 7.37% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.09% 99.74% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 39.51% 75.03% 78.82% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 32.10% 68.77% 72.46% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 18.00% 74.70% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 20.00% 60.10% 68.98% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 20.00% 59.67% 61.76% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 36.67% 66.48% 69.08%

#### School System: Vermilion Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B & 3C										
	Math						ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	98.72%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	98.72%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	99.00%		99.93%	99.46%	99.87%	99.00%		99.93%	99.64%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	96.20%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	96.20%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	97.56%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	97.56%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.00%	41.80%	68.36%	77.95%	39.13%	47.44%	47.40%	68.60%	78.66%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	31.00%		67.09%	71.91%	33.84%	35.00%		73.54%	78.89%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	39.29%		62.50%	70.77%	26.70%	27.68%		63.98%	67.56%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	37.50%		66.30%	78.04%	28.07%	28.85%		66.12%	75.83%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	27.85%		62.09%	76.64%	23.34%	26.58%		63.97%	74.02%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	26.32%		58.44%	68.79%	19.66%	22.81%		62.01%	74.48%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	46.34%		65.74%	68.95%	27.85%	34.15%		59.05%	61.64%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	23.27%	10.43%	17.33%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.91%	10.15%	9.65%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.49%	0.37%	0.86%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.31%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	83.83%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	10.15%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.85%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.17%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	1.17%	2.19%

#### School System: Vermilion Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	32.39%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	49.43%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	11.36%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	6.82%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	95.65%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	4.54%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	96.67%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Vernon Parish Total Public Population: 9,559

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.10%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.25%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	81.65%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	25.20%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	8.94%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	2.44%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	4.88%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.00% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 3.55% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 91.45% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 36.96% 75.03% 85.36% 85.22% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 52.17% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 23.29% 63.69% 75.45% 16.96% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 36.99% 60.10% 71.55% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 25.00% 59.67% 64.11% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 22.92% 66.48% 77.42%

#### School System: Vernon Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.85%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.85%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.84%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.84%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	99.19%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	99.19%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.83%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.83%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	98.86%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	98.86%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.68%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.68%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	98.31%		98.93%	99.80%	98.53%	98.31%		99.01%	99.80%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	57.84%	41.80%	68.36%	80.06%	39.13%	57.84%	47.40%	68.60%	83.81%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	49.57%		67.09%	84.29%	33.84%	36.75%		73.54%	84.44%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	39.52%		62.50%	77.17%	26.70%	30.65%		63.98%	79.82%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	41.57%		66.30%	82.75%	28.07%	50.56%		66.12%	90.59%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	25.00%		62.09%	71.97%	23.34%	26.14%		63.97%	78.98%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	34.44%		58.44%	70.90%	19.66%	22.22%		62.01%	75.08%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	33.90%		65.74%	77.26%	27.85%	37.29%		59.05%	63.98%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.			Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	St	ate	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	8.13%		10.43%	6.81%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	12.65%		10.15%	9.62%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.02%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	12	0.85%	no

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	67.63%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.99%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.21%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.17%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.17%	2.19%

#### School System: Vernon Parish

Γ	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	86.15%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.51%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	13.33%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>		-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.73%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	80.77%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	40.70%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Washington Parish Total Public Population: 5,210

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.85%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.19%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	80.96%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	3.45%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	6.90%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	55.17%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.77% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 0.78% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 91.46% 99.74% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 99.68% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.68% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 17.65% 75.03% 73.94% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 29.41% 68.77% 63.96% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 20.00% 62.37% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 25.71% 60.10% 57.63% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 11.43% 59.67% 57.42% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 27.78% 66.48% 62.26%

#### School System: Washington Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators SD & SC										
	Math					ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	98.92%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	98.92%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	99.70%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.70%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	98.31%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	98.31%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.68%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.68%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	30.11%	41.80%	68.36%	60.89%	39.13%	19.35%	47.40%	68.60%	61.45%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	32.79%		67.09%	63.55%	33.84%	22.95%		73.54%	73.55%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	34.74%		62.50%	59.52%	26.70%	22.11%		63.98%	56.12%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	43.59%		66.30%	68.17%	28.07%	32.05%		66.12%	68.17%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	28.81%		62.09%	64.06%	23.34%	42.37%		63.97%	66.25%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	31.82%		58.44%	57.72%	19.66%	29.55%		62.01%	62.42%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	35.00%		65.74%	62.06%	27.85%	20.51%		59.05%	57.23%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.			Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	Sta	te	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	13.30%	1	0.43%	9.83%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	1.85%	1	0.15%	0.70%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.11%		0.37%	0.04%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.00%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	54.79%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	25.51%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	18.62%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.08%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.08%	2.19%

#### School System: Washington Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	11.01%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	58.72%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	30.28%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-	-	-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	28.57%	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.77%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	77.27%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	87.50%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Webster Parish Total Public Population: 7,316

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	14.11%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.02%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	83.87%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	22.22%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	13.89%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	7.41%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	6.48%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 4.55% n/a 20.10% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 99.95% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 75.35% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 26.19% 75.03% 71.09% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 33.33% 68.77% 63.81% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 6.06% 54.69% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 31.25% 60.10% 51.03% 7.14% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% 57.43% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 10.71% 66.48% 62.81%

#### School System: Webster Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math					ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	99.78%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.78%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	44.16%	41.80%	68.36%	63.25%	39.13%	33.77%	47.40%	68.60%	65.66%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	27.14%		67.09%	62.70%	33.84%	20.00%		73.54%	69.88%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	18.48%		62.50%	59.39%	26.70%	14.13%		63.98%	59.18%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	15.73%		66.30%	68.61%	28.07%	12.36%		66.12%	71.08%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	36.51%		62.09%	61.62%	23.34%	22.22%		63.97%	64.52%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	24.14%		58.44%	49.56%	19.66%	5.08%		62.01%	53.32%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	16.13%		65.74%	62.81%	27.85%	12.90%		59.05%	57.43%

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	10.37%	10.43%	8.37%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	16.31%	10.15%	8.32%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.32%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.06%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	7	0.66%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	77.09%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	18.93%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	2.51%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.46%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.46%	2.19%

#### School System: Webster Parish

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	18.18%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	67.68%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	14.14%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.19%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	89.83%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:West Baton Rouge ParishTotal Public Population:3,531

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.29%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.71%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.00%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	10.53%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	7.89%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	47.37%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 3.45% n/a 6.47% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 90.09% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 34.48% 75.03% 74.19% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 41.38% 68.77% 58.60% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 6.25% 63.69% 16.96% 63.54% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 6.25% 60.10% 56.99% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 12.50% 59.67% 67.31% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 12.50% 66.48% 74.64%

#### School System: West Baton Rouge Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators SD & SC										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.03%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.03%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	99.53%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.05%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.05%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	22.50%	41.80%	68.36%	62.04%	39.13%	30.00%	47.40%	68.60%	61.63%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	40.00%		67.09%	57.00%	33.84%	31.43%		73.54%	71.98%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	22.58%		62.50%	51.32%	26.70%	12.90%		63.98%	56.14%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	13.16%		66.30%	61.02%	28.07%	18.42%		66.12%	66.95%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	12.50%		62.09%	55.51%	23.34%	12.50%		63.97%	60.79%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	12.00%		58.44%	55.14%	19.66%	12.00%		62.01%	61.21%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	11.11%		65.74%	73.93%	27.85%	22.22%		59.05%	66.67%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	l Ed.	Reg	gular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	26.95%	10.43%	19.65%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	5.56%	10.15%	1.54%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.21%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	2.05%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	3	0.67%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	58.29%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	29.90%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	10.80%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.01%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.01%	2.19%

#### School System: West Baton Rouge Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	62.75%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	5.88%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	29.41%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	1.96%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	100.00%	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.12%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	80.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	82.14%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: West Carroll Parish Total Public Population: 2,293

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.87%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.31%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.83%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	10.00%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	3.33%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	20.00%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.93% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 12.71% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 81.36% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 30.77% 75.03% 73.38% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 74.82% 38.55% 53.85% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 72.67% 16.96% 0.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 72.05% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 11.11% 59.67% 63.36% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 11.11% 66.48% 79.39%

#### School System: West Carroll Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci		Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	92.31%		99.74%	99.35%	99.19%	92.31%		99.76%	99.35%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	37.50%	41.80%	68.36%	69.48%	39.13%	31.25%	47.40%	68.60%	70.13%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	53.33%		67.09%	74.31%	33.84%	33.33%		73.54%	73.61%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	46.67%		62.50%	69.43%	26.70%	26.67%		63.98%	73.72%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	15.38%		66.30%	76.47%	28.07%	30.77%		66.12%	75.82%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	16.67%		62.09%	72.67%	23.34%	27.78%		63.97%	76.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	6.25%		58.44%	72.78%	19.66%	18.75%		62.01%	72.78%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	20.00%		65.74%	79.39%	27.85%	20.00%		59.05%	63.36%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	al Ed.		Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	e Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	1.60%	10	.43% 2.50%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	9.62%	10	.15% 7.85%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0	0.37% 0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.32%	0	0.46% 0.52%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.34%	no

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	51.60%	57.76%	
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	34.70%		
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	12.79%	16.11%	
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%		
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%		
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.91%		
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%		
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.91%	2.19%	

#### School System: West Carroll Parish

School Year: 2	2006-2007
----------------	-----------

	Special	Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	20.55%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	68.49%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	5.48%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	5.48%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.35%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	0.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	70.83%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:West Feliciana ParishTotal Public Population:2,461

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	13.69%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	5.89%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	80.41%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	13.04%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	34.78%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	13.04%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	17.39%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 10.23% n/a 6.25% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 83.52% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 55.56% 75.03% 72.31% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 72.31% 38.55% 83.33% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 14.29% 77.54% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 21.43% 60.10% 67.91% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 23.08% 59.67% 75.00% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 30.77% 66.48% 78.57%

#### School System: West Feliciana Parish

#### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
			Math			ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	96.97%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	96.97%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	96.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	72.73%	41.80%	68.36%	86.15%	39.13%	72.73%	47.40%	68.60%	88.46%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	65.22%		67.09%	72.73%	33.84%	43.48%		73.54%	72.73%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	31.58%		62.50%	72.15%	26.70%	36.84%		63.98%	77.22%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	34.62%		66.30%	80.12%	28.07%	38.46%		66.12%	78.88%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	60.00%		62.09%	76.16%	23.34%	36.00%		63.97%	74.17%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	25.00%		58.44%	67.91%	19.66%	18.75%		62.01%	77.54%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	47.06%		65.74%	78.57%	27.85%	41.18%		59.05%	75.00%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	18.21%	10.43%	10.37%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	15.22%	10.15%	7.78%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

#### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	90.41%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	3.77%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.79%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.03%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.03%	2.19%

#### School System: West Feliciana Parish

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	100.00%	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	3.60%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	20.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	87.23%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Winn Parish Total Public Population: 2,710

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	12.07%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.69%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.24%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	12.50%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	37.50%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	37.50%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 8.29% n/a 7.73% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 83.98% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 99.19% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 30.00% 75.03% 74.21% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 25.00% 68.77% 73.58% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 38.46% 71.25% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 46.15% 60.10% 67.50% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 73.43% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 77.08%

### School System: Winn Parish

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Otatewide Assessment by		Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators SD & SC									
		Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regul	ar Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.31%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.31%	
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	26.47%	41.80%	68.36%	67.05%	39.13%	29.41%	47.40%	68.60%	72.16%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	23.53%		67.09%	71.59%	33.84%	20.59%		73.54%	73.30%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	54.55%		62.50%	78.31%	26.70%	40.91%		63.98%	69.28%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	28.57%		66.30%	65.36%	28.07%	32.14%		66.12%	77.65%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	4.76%		62.09%	72.67%	23.34%	10.00%		63.97%	78.49%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	37.50%		58.44%	67.07%	19.66%	31.25%		62.01%	70.12%	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	33.33%		65.74%	76.55%	27.85%	33.33%		59.05%	72.92%	

Discipline Percents – all incidents °				
	Special Ed.			Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	12.30%	10.4	3% 10.29%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	4.92%	10.1	5% 3.67%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.3	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.4	6% 0.22%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.29%	no

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	87.13%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	8.58%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	3.63%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.66%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.66%	2.19%

### School System: Winn Parish

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	35.56%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	64.44%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> <u>6-21)</u> – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-	-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.73%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	94.44%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:City of Monroe School DistrictTotal Public Population:9,020

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality					
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services					
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories					
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide						
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find					
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines					
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)						
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition					
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C					
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals					
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes					

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	15.07%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	6.29%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	78.65%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	8.77%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	28.95%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	33.33%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.56% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 29.60% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 62.47% 99.74% 99.89% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 98.04% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 98.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 43.48% 75.03% 82.33% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 73.60% 38.55% 57.97% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 6.00% 45.06% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 16.33% 60.10% 43.68% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 15.00% 59.67% 55.69% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 4.76% 66.48% 67.98%

### School System: City of Monroe School District

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by					1					
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.83%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	99.03%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	97.97%		99.61%	99.37%	98.69%	98.64%		99.65%	99.21%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	98.95%		99.68%	99.25%	99.20%	98.96%		99.70%	99.25%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.51%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.51%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	26.72%	41.80%	68.36%	69.18%	39.13%	25.86%	47.40%	68.60%	74.54%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	52.43%		67.09%	73.78%	33.84%	35.92%		73.54%	82.24%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	26.09%		62.50%	62.47%	26.70%	25.22%		63.98%	72.16%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	24.37%		66.30%	67.85%	28.07%	27.97%		66.12%	67.50%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	18.92%		62.09%	51.90%	23.34%	19.05%		63.97%	53.33%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	15.79%		58.44%	42.29%	19.66%	9.38%		62.01%	43.98%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	17.95%		65.74%	67.65%	27.85%	28.95%		59.05%	55.42%

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State	Local	
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	4.61%	10.43%	1.65%	
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	21.87%	10.15%	12.62%	
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.31%	0.37%	0.09%	
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.21%	

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	23	1.66%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	73.92%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	20.75%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.39%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.94%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.94%	2.19%

### School System: City of Monroe School District

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	51.35%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	20.72%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	6.31%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	21.62%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-	
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	66.67%	100.0% 100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.86%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	89.25%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: City of Bogalusa School District Total Public Population: 2,367

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	21.46%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.06%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	74.48%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	7.14%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	11.90%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	40.48%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 3.25% n/a 8.30% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 88.45% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 25.64% 75.03% 60.15% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 51.13% 38.55% 23.08% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 5.56% 51.94% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 43.41% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 8.33% 59.67% 48.94% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 41.67% 66.48% 52.13%

### School System: City of Bogalusa School District

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject – Indicators SB & SC										
		Math			ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.23%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.23%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	39.22%	41.80%	68.36%	64.54%	39.13%	50.98%	47.40%	68.60%	74.47%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	25.71%		67.09%	50.00%	33.84%	25.71%		73.54%	60.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	28.26%		62.50%	47.69%	26.70%	32.61%		63.98%	63.08%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	11.76%		66.30%	54.10%	28.07%	11.76%		66.12%	57.38%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	16.67%		62.09%	48.65%	23.34%	5.56%		63.97%	60.91%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	40.88%	19.66%	3.33%		62.01%	49.28%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	29.41%		65.74%	52.13%	27.85%	5.88%		59.05%	48.94%

Specia	l Ed.		Regular Ed.
State	Local	State	Local
12.59%	3.35%	10.4	1.84%
14.75%	7.71%	10.1	3.56%
0.39%	0.00%	0.3	37% 0.00%
0.08%	0.00%	0.4	16% 0.04%
	State           12.59%           14.75%           0.39%           0.08%	12.59%         3.35%           14.75%         7.71%           0.39%         0.00%	State         Local         State           12.59%         3.35%         10.4           14.75%         7.71%         10.1           0.39%         0.00%         0.3           0.08%         0.00%         0.4

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.19%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	59.50%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	14.41%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	25.89%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.21%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.21%	2.19%

### School System: City of Bogalusa School District

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	88.10%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	11.90%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	100.00%	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.99%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	60.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	92.59%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



School Year: 2006-2007

# School System:Zachary Community School DistrictTotal Public Population:3,882

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.95%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.69%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	84.36%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	21.43%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	14.29%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 5.98% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 16.33% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 77.69% 99.74% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 63.64% 75.03% 91.41% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 86.33% 38.55% 63.64% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 16.67% 63.69% 16.96% 82.17% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 27.78% 60.10% 72.87% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 65.84% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 14.29% 66.48% 74.79%

### School System: Zachary Community School District

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3D & 3C										
	Math						ELA			
	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	97.87%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	97.87%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	99.62%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	99.62%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	99.63%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	99.63%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	92.31%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	92.31%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	59.57%	41.80%	68.36%	85.90%	39.13%	74.47%	47.40%	68.60%	92.31%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	41.67%		67.09%	86.05%	33.84%	44.44%		73.54%	91.47%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	36.96%		62.50%	79.23%	26.70%	41.30%		63.98%	83.08%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	50.00%		66.30%	85.81%	28.07%	46.43%		66.12%	87.54%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	40.00%		62.09%	76.12%	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	86.57%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	25.00%		58.44%	72.03%	19.66%	16.67%		62.01%	81.61%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	30.77%		65.74%	74.79%	27.85%	15.38%		59.05%	65.84%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	13.89%	10.43%	9.88%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	3.85%	10.15%	1.06%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.21%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.43%	0.46%	0.39%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.26%	no

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	58.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	21.14%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	19.14%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.71%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.71%	2.19%

### School System: Zachary Community School District

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	83.33%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	7.14%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	9.52%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	U	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	n/a *	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	2.93%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	81.82%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	82.61%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:City of Baker School DistrictTotal Public Population:2,189

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.42%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.37%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	88.21%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	17.65%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	23.53%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	52.94%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.75% n/a 27.61% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 65.03% 99.74% 99.22% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.06% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 6.67% 75.03% 46.85% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 31.25% 38.55% 13.33% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 11.11% 42.04% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 5.56% 60.10% 31.21% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 14.29% 59.67% 33.96% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 14.29% 66.48% 36.19%

### School System: City of Baker School District

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 35 & 30										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.22%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.17%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	99.17%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	98.70%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.35%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.06%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	19.05%	41.80%	68.36%	35.94%	39.13%	23.81%	47.40%	68.60%	49.22%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	10.53%		67.09%	31.40%	33.84%	5.26%		73.54%	44.63%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	16.00%		62.50%	31.61%	26.70%	20.00%		63.98%	34.19%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	29.87%	28.07%	11.76%		66.12%	37.66%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	5.26%		62.09%	37.50%	23.34%	26.32%		63.97%	49.31%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	7.41%		58.44%	30.72%	19.66%	11.11%		62.01%	41.57%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	6.67%		65.74%	35.85%	27.85%	13.33%		59.05%	33.96%

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>					
	Special Ed.			Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	St	tate	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	24.23%		10.43%	21.70%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	5.21%		10.15%	2.99%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.04%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.23%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	0.40%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	46.22%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	27.56%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	24.89%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	1.33%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	1.33%	2.19%

### School System: City of Baker School District

Ē	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	20.83%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	45.83%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	33.33%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>		-	-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	100.00%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	1.06%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	66.67%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Special School District #1Total Public Population:579

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	69.60%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.69%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	29.71%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	1.43%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	52.86%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.58% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 6.82% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 82.58% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 99.98% n/a n/a 99.96% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 100.00% 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 100.00% 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 75.03% n/a n/a Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 68.77% n/a n/a Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 33.33% 16.96% 0.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 0.00% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 25.00% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 0.00%

### School System: Special School District #1

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject – Indicators 35 & 30										
		Math			ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	n/a	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	n/a		99.93%	n/a	99.87%	n/a		99.93%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	n/a		99.90%	n/a	99.62%	n/a		99.91%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	85.71%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	85.71%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessm	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	n/a	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	n/a		67.09%	n/a	33.84%	n/a		73.54%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	n/a		62.50%	n/a	26.70%	n/a		63.98%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	25.00%		66.30%	20.00%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	20.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	n/a	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	14.29%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	50.00%		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	50.00%		59.05%	25.00%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	l Ed.	Regul	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%	10.15%	0.00%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	20.20%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	19.95%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.32%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	22.98%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.25%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	29.29%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	23.23%	2.19%

### School System: Special School District #1

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>	 		
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	59.46%	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.23%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



**RSD - UNO New Beginnings Schools Foundation** 

School Year: 2006-2007

### School System: RSI Total Public Population: 659

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	<u>Disproportionality</u>
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	8.80%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.97%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	89.23%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	State Local	
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.52% n/a 9.52% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 80.95% 99.74% 99.26% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 0.00% 75.03% 56.25% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 50.00% 38.55% 0.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 16.96% 0.00% 63.69% 40.38% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 34.62% 12.76% 59.67% Proficient GEE ELA n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

### School System: RSD - UNO New Beginnings Schools Foundation

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.			Regular Ed.		al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	37.50%	41.80%	68.36%	58.49%	39.13%	25.00%	47.40%	68.60%	52.83%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	50.00%	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	56.25%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	20.00%		62.50%	26.67%	26.70%	20.00%		63.98%	26.67%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	11.11%		66.30%	46.67%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	40.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	37.50%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	35.71%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.67%		58.44%	33.96%	19.66%	16.67%		62.01%	39.62%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a	ľ	65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	l Ed.		Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.4	3% 0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%	10.1	5% 0.00%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.3	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.4	6% 0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	77.55%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	18.37%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	4.08%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - UNO New Beginnings Schools Foundation

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	80.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	20.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>	1		
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		*	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	n/a *	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.00%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	0.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: Louisiana School for the Deaf Total Public Population: 219

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality					
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services					
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories					
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide						
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find					
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines					
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)						
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition					
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C					
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals					
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes					

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	100.00%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	0.00%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	9.09%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	27.27%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 20.42% n/a 2.11% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 76.76% 99.74% 0.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% n/a 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% n/a 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% n/a Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 22.22% 75.03% n/a Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 11.11% 68.77% n/a Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% n/a Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% n/a

### School System: Louisiana School for the Deaf

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 35 & 30										
	Math				ELA					
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	n/a	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	n/a	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	n/a	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	n/a	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	n/a	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	95.83%		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	95.65%		99.70%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	7.69%	41.80%	68.36%	n/a	39.13%	7.69%	47.40%	68.60%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	20.00%		67.09%	n/a	33.84%	46.67%		73.54%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	17.65%		62.50%	n/a	26.70%	17.65%		63.98%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	17.65%		66.30%	n/a	28.07%	23.53%		66.12%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	n/a	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	16.67%		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	21.74%		62.01%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	42.86%		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	42.86%		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>					
	Special Ed.			Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local		State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%		10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	10.84%	]	10.15%	0.00%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	0.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	0.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	3.38%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	96.62%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	96.62%	2.19%

### School System: Louisiana School for the Deaf

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	100.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>	1		
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	23.83%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	92.16%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	56.86%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



Louisiana School for the Visually Impaired

School Year: 2006-2007

### School System: Lo Total Public Population: 87

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	95.40%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	4.60%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	22.22%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	11.11%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	11.11%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment c

	Speci	al Ed.	Regu	ilar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11)	8.06%	16.22%		n/a
Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11)	8.79%	5.41%	'	1/a
Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11)	82.72%	78.38%	99.74%	100.00%
Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA	99.96%	100.00%	99.98%	100.00%
Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4 <sup>th</sup> grade Math	99.96%	100.00%	99.98%	n/a
Participated in On-Level Assessment – 8th grade ELA	99.61%	100.00%	99.88%	n/a
Participated in On-Level Assessment – 8th grade Math	99.56%	100.00%	99.86%	n/a
Participated in On-Level Assessment – GEE ELA	98.88%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%
Participated in On-Level Assessment – GEE Math	98.88%	100.00%	99.16%	100.00%
Proficient LEAP 4 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA	37.01%	0.00%	75.03%	0.00%
Proficient LEAP 4 <sup>th</sup> grade Math	38.55%	0.00%	68.77%	n/a
Proficient LEAP 8 <sup>th</sup> grade ELA	16.96%	20.00%	63.69%	n/a
Proficient LEAP 8 <sup>th</sup> grade Math	21.90%	20.00%	60.10%	n/a
Proficient GEE ELA	12.76%	33.33%	59.67%	0.00%
Proficient GEE Math	21.65%	0.00%	66.48%	0.00%

### School System: Louisiana School for the Visually Impaired

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

			Math					ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	n/a	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	n/a	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	n/a	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	66.67%		99.61%	n/a	98.69%	66.67%		99.65%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	83.33%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	25.00%	41.80%	68.36%	n/a	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	n/a	33.84%	25.00%		73.54%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	16.67%		62.50%	n/a	26.70%	33.33%		63.98%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	33.33%		66.30%	n/a	28.07%	33.33%		66.12%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	33.33%		62.09%	n/a	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	14.29%	-	58.44%	n/a	19.66%	14.29%		62.01%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	0.00%		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	20.00%		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%	10.15%	0.00%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	5.48%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	1.37%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	5.48%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	87.67%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	87.67%	2.19%

### School System: Louisiana School for the Visually Impaired

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>				
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	40.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	60.00%		
Home	3.05%	0.00%		
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%		
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>				
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%	
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no		
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no		

	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	94.03%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	92.06%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	50.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	72.22%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### PERFORMANCE PROFILE Louisiana Special Education Center

School Year: 2006-2007

n/a

#### School System: Lo Total Public Population: 43

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local		
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	100.00%		
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%		
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	0.00%		
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b				
	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%	
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%		
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%		
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%	

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 100.00% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 0.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 0.00% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 99.98% n/a 99.96% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 75.03% n/a Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 68.77% n/a Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 16.96% n/a 63.69% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% n/a 12.76% 59.67% Proficient GEE ELA n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a

## School System: Louisiana Special Education Center

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math					ELA				
	Speci		Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	n/a	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	n/a	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	n/a	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	n/a	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	n/a	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	n/a	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	n/a	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%		62.50%	n/a	26.70%	50.00%		63.98%	n/a
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	n/a	28.07%	100.00%		66.12%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%	ſ	62.09%	n/a	23.34%	50.00%		63.97%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	20.00%		62.01%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	33.33%		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	33.33%		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Speci	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	St	ate	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%		10.43%	n/a
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%		10.15%	n/a
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	n/a
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	n/a

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	2.44%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	0.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	7.32%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	90.24%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	90.24%	2.19%

### School System: Louisiana Special Education Center

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		*	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.00%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	0.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



#### School System: New Vision Learning Academy Total Public Population: 322

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	9.01%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	90.99%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 100.00% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% n/a 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA n/a 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 50.00% 75.03% 86.96% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 83.33% 38.55% 68.77% 69.57% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% n/a n/a Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% n/a n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

### School System: New Vision Learning Academy

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math							ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	State	Regulai Ea.		Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local		State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	n/a		99.61%	n/a	98.69%	n/a		99.65%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	n/a		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	n/a		99.70%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	62.16%	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	70.27%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	83.33%		67.09%	70.83%	33.84%	50.00%		73.54%	87.50%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	16.67%		62.50%	86.67%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	33.33%		66.30%	85.71%	28.07%	33.33%		66.12%	92.86%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	n/a		62.09%	n/a	23.34%	n/a		63.97%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	n/a		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	n/a		62.01%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a	-	65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents d				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.27%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	20.51%	10.15%	5.91%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	55.17%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	44.83%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	0.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: New Vision Learning Academy

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	100.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	

	Speci	ial Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>	-	-	-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33%	n/a *	100.0%
Extended School Year Program <sup>1</sup>	10.0070		100.07
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	40.91%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	88.89%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	]

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Glencoe Charter SchoolTotal Public Population:366

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	11.48%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	88.52%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 9.38% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 90.63% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 85.29% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 50.00% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 97.06% 38.55% 100.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 50.00% 66.67% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 83.33% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% n/a 66.48% n/a

### School System: Glencoe Charter School

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C										
		Math				ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	87.80%	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	56.10%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	66.67%		67.09%	97.06%	33.84%	33.33%		73.54%	85.29%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	33.33%		62.50%	54.84%	26.70%	16.67%		63.98%	74.19%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	25.00%		66.30%	73.17%	28.07%	50.00%		66.12%	60.98%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	100.00%		62.09%	72.22%	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	72.22%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	83.87%	19.66%	50.00%		62.01%	67.74%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents d				
	Specia	l Ed.	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%	10.15%	0.93%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	77.27%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	20.45%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	2.27%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: Glencoe Charter School

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	25.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	75.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.00%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	0.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	s) <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	50.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Avoyelles Public Charter SchoolTotal Public Population:596

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	4.19%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	95.81%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 100.00% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA n/a 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 0.00% 75.03% 66.67% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 50.00% 38.55% 68.77% 72.55% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 77.27% 16.96% n/a Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% 84.09% n/a 81.25% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% 81.25% n/a

## School System: Avoyelles Public Charter School

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math				ELA							
=	Specia	ial Ed.	al Ed.	ial Ed. 2006-07		Regular Ed.		Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local		
Participation rate (all assessm	ent types)											
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%		
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%		
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%		
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%		
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%		
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	n/a		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	n/a		99.70%	n/a		
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a		
Proficiency rate (all assessme	nt types)											
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	60.00%	41.80%	68.36%	62.75%	39.13%	60.00%	47.40%	68.60%	80.39%		
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	50.00%		67.09%	70.91%	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	63.64%		
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%		62.50%	68.63%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	72.55%		
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%	-	66.30%	71.43%	28.07%	50.00%		66.12%	55.36%		
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	100.00%		62.09%	76.92%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	63.46%		
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	n/a		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	n/a		62.01%	n/a		
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a	Ī	59.05%	n/a		

	Specia	l Ed.	Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	2.86%	10.15%	3.22%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	l Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	100.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	0.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	0.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: Avoyelles Public Charter School

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>				
	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%		
Home	3.05%	0.00%		
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%		
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>				
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%	
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no		
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		**		

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	0.00%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	0.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Delhi Charter SchoolTotal Public Population:472

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	10.81%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	3.18%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	86.02%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State State Local Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.14% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 10.71% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 82.14% 99.74% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% 100.00% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 100.00% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 66.67% 75.03% 91.43% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 82.86% 38.55% 66.67% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% n/a 92.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% 80.00% n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 0.00% 59.67% 81.25% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 0.00% 66.48% 75.00%

### School System: Delhi Charter School

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3D & 3C											
		Math					ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	
Participation rate (all assess	nent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	n/a		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	n/a		99.70%	n/a	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	100.00%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	100.00%	
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	66.67%	41.80%	68.36%	80.56%	39.13%	50.00%	47.40%	68.60%	80.56%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	40.00%		67.09%	83.78%	33.84%	40.00%		73.54%	91.89%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	57.14%		62.50%	84.62%	26.70%	57.14%		63.98%	97.44%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	50.00%		66.30%	81.08%	28.07%	25.00%		66.12%	81.08%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	100.00%		62.09%	76.92%	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	73.08%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	n/a		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	n/a		62.01%	n/a	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	50.00%		65.74%	75.00%	27.85%	50.00%		59.05%	81.25%	

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Specia	al Ed.	Reg	ular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	1.67%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	1.67%	10.15%	2.79%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%
	0.08%			

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	93.33%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	4.44%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	2.22%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: Delhi Charter School

	Special	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	50.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	50.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	5.13%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Belle Chasse Academy, Inc.Total Public Population:801

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	8.49%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.37%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	89.14%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	25.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 6.12% n/a 16.33% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 77.55% 99.74% 99.78% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 100.00% 77.27% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 81.82% 38.55% 100.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 84.62% 16.96% 14.29% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 14.29% 60.10% 73.85% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

## School System: Belle Chasse Academy, Inc.

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

		Math				ELA				
_	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessment types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	50.00%	41.80%	68.36%	84.42%	39.13%	50.00%	47.40%	68.60%	80.52%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	77.78%		67.09%	80.88%	33.84%	77.78%		73.54%	76.47%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	40.00%		62.50%	63.16%	26.70%	50.00%		63.98%	72.63%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	46.15%	-	66.30%	64.20%	28.07%	46.15%		66.12%	75.31%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	20.00%	-	62.09%	60.56%	23.34%	40.00%		63.97%	61.97%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	18.18%		58.44%	73.85%	19.66%	27.27%		62.01%	84.62%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a	ľ	65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a	ľ	59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	al Ed.	Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%	10.15%	0.00%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	33.33%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	38.33%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	28.33%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: Belle Chasse Academy, Inc.

Γ	Special	2006-07 State	
Γ	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	33.33%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	66.67%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		no	
<u>Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21)</u> – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		**	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	38.30%		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	87.50%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



# School System:Milestone SABIS Academy of N.O.Total Public Population:381

#### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	2.89%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.52%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	96.59%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 100.00% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA n/a 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 41.94% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 0.00% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 0.00% 68.77% 41.94% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% n/a 48.28% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% 37.93% n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

## School System: Milestone SABIS Academy of N.O.

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

			Math			ELA				
	Speci		itegulai Ea.		Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	n/a	98.68%	99.91%	n/a	99.77%	n/a	98.71%	99.92%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	n/a		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	n/a		99.70%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	n/a	41.80%	68.36%	n/a	39.13%	n/a	47.40%	68.60%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	41.94%	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	41.94%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%		62.50%	24.14%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	20.69%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	39.13%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	47.83%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	42.86%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	39.29%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	n/a		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	n/a		62.01%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	10.71%	10.15%	12.99%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions	1	10.00%	ves
Totaling > 10 Days	I	10.00 /8	yes

	Specia	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	10.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	80.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	10.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: Milestone SABIS Academy of N.O.

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		**	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		**	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	36.36%	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	100.00%	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	63.64%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: RSD - Dryades YMCA Total Public Population: 692

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	3.90%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	96.10%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 16.67% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 20.83% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 62.50% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA n/a 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 41.38% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 0.00% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 36.21% 38.55% 0.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% n/a 23.81% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% 14.29% n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

## School System: RSD - Dryades YMCA

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

		Math					ELA				
-	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.		
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	98.28%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%	Γ	99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%	Γ	99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%	Γ	99.68%	97.01%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	97.01%	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a	Γ	98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a	
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)										
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	33.33%	41.80%	68.36%	17.46%	39.13%	66.67%	47.40%	68.60%	20.63%	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	37.29%	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	42.37%	
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%	Γ	62.50%	12.07%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	10.34%	
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	9.09%		66.30%	21.92%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	13.70%	
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	25.00%		62.09%	19.30%	23.34%	50.00%		63.97%	10.53%	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	13.43%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	22.39%	
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a	

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	10.64%	10.43%	11.40%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	8.51%	10.15%	15.75%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	40.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	32.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	28.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%
nospital placements	1.02 /0	0.0070	2.13/0

### School System: RSD - Dryades YMCA

	Special	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%		
Home	3.05%	0.00%		
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%		
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>				
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%	
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a		
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a		

	Speci	ial Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%	]
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	]

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: RSD - Friends of King Total Public Population: 428

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	2.10%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	1.17%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	96.73%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 12.50% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 87.50% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA n/a 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 100.00% 83.33% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 0.00% 68.77% 80.00% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% n/a 66.67% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% 61.90% n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

## School System: RSD - Friends of King

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

			Math					ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	n/a		99.74%	n/a	99.19%	n/a		99.76%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	61.22%	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	63.27%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	80.00%	33.84%	100.00%		73.54%	83.33%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%		62.50%	27.50%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	30.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	n/a		66.30%	n/a	28.07%	n/a		66.12%	n/a
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	33.33%		62.09%	30.00%	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	50.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	100.00%		58.44%	61.90%	19.66%	100.00%		62.01%	66.67%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents					
	Specia	al Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
	State	Local		State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%		10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%		10.15%	1.35%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	]	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.00%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	0.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	100.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	0.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - Friends of King

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



**RSD - New Orleans Charter School Foundation** 

School Year: 2006-2007

School System: RSE Total Public Population: 474

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	6.12%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.00%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	93.88%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 9.52% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 90.48% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 36.11% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 16.67% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 25.00% 38.55% 0.00% 68.77% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 24.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 17.02% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

## School System: RSD - New Orleans Charter School Foundation

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math					ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	n/a		99.61%	n/a	98.69%	n/a		99.65%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	15.09%	39.13%	50.00%	47.40%	68.60%	13.21%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	23.68%	33.84%	16.67%		73.54%	34.21%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	20.00%		62.50%	5.13%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	7.69%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	18.37%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	20.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	n/a		62.09%	n/a	23.34%	n/a		63.97%	n/a
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	14.81%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	22.22%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Specie			Regular Ed.
State	Local	State	Local
12.59%	2.86%	10.4	3% 2.46%
14.75%	20.00%	10.1	5% 17.75%
0.39%	0.00%	0.3	7% 0.00%
0.08%	0.00%	0.4	6% 0.00%
	State           12.59%           14.75%           0.39%	12.59%         2.86%           14.75%         20.00%           0.39%         0.00%	State         Local         State           12.59%         2.86%         10.43           14.75%         20.00%         10.15           0.39%         0.00%         0.37

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	100.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	0.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	0.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - New Orleans Charter School Foundation

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>g</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	

	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	n/a *	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: RSD - Choice Foundation Total Public Population: 997

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	5.22%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.20%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	94.58%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State Local		Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 3.70% n/a 3.70% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 92.59% 99.74% 99.48% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 99.88% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% n/a 99.86% n/a 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA n/a 99.19% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 28.74% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 0.00% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 0.00% 68.77% 31.40% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% n/a n/a Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 60.10% n/a n/a Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

# School System: RSD - Choice Foundation

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math					ELA				
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	n/a		99.68%	n/a	99.20%	n/a		99.70%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	16.67%	39.13%	7.69%	47.40%	68.60%	22.22%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	0.00%		67.09%	30.21%	33.84%	0.00%		73.54%	28.13%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	0.00%		62.50%	14.29%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	22.86%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	32.26%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	29.03%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	39.06%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	35.94%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	n/a		58.44%	n/a	19.66%	n/a		62.01%	n/a
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Special Ed.		Regu	ılar Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.43%	0.76%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	17.02%	10.15%	11.68%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	0.38%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	6.25%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	53.33%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	26.67%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	20.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - Choice Foundation

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
Γ	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		n/a	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		n/a	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- <sup>1</sup> SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



RSD - Algiers Charter Schools Association (ACSA)

School Year: 2006-2007

School System: RSD -Total Public Population: 3,269

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	7.40%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.36%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	90.24%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	52.94%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	5.88%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 7.04% n/a 7.75% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 85.21% 99.74% 99.52% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% 99.50% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 100.00% 99.16% 99.50% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 40.00% 75.03% 56.65% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 40.00% 68.77% 40.39% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 48.34% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 10.00% 60.10% 52.98% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 13.64% 59.67% 28.86% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 18.18% 66.48% 51.24%

# School System: RSD - Algiers Charter Schools Association (ACSA)

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

			Math					ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessm	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	99.22%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.22%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	100.00%		98.93%	99.50%	98.53%	100.00%		99.01%	99.50%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	8.33%	41.80%	68.36%	33.73%	39.13%	25.00%	47.40%	68.60%	41.96%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	50.00%		67.09%	38.89%	33.84%	50.00%		73.54%	55.56%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	5.56%		62.50%	23.50%	26.70%	8.11%		63.98%	23.08%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	19.05%		66.30%	44.08%	28.07%	19.05%		66.12%	43.60%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	33.33%		62.09%	30.98%	23.34%	33.33%		63.97%	38.59%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	15.38%		58.44%	53.29%	19.66%	7.69%		62.01%	48.03%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	24.14%		65.74%	50.99%	27.85%	34.48%		59.05%	28.71%

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	l Ed.		Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.	43% 0.21%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	13.58%	10.	15% 11.03%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.1	37% 0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.	46% 0.15%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	8	4.26%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	25.88%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	57.06%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	17.06%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - Algiers Charter Schools Association (ACSA)

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>				
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	11.11%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	22.22%		
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	66.67%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%		
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%		
Home	3.05%	0.00%		
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%		
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>				
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%	
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a		
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>				
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a		

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	100.00%		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	7.89%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: Recovery School District (RSD) - LDE Total Public Population: 8,517

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	7.16%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	0.11%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	92.73%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	11.11%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	3.70%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	14.81%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	51.85%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 8.57% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 2.86% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 84.19% 99.74% 95.70% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 97.30% 99.98% 99.34% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 97.37% 99.98% 99.57% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 97.06% 99.88% 99.64% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 98.53% 99.86% 99.64% 98.88% 76.81% 82.03% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% 85.71% 99.16% 82.86% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 13.89% 75.03% 20.00% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 16.22% 38.55% 68.77% 13.94% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 1.52% 16.96% 63.69% 15.55% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 2.99% 60.10% 17.54% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 1.89% 59.67% 9.26% Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 5.00% 66.48% 14.29%

# School System: Recovery School District (RSD) - LDE

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

Statewide Assessment by Glade and Subject - Indicators SB & SC										
		Math					ELA			
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regula	ar Ed.	Speci	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assessr	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	98.91%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	99.45%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	98.04%		99.93%	99.62%	99.87%	98.04%		99.93%	99.42%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	98.99%	99.62%	98.15%		99.91%	99.80%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	98.33%		99.74%	98.90%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	99.21%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	98.48%	98.69%	98.68%		99.65%	99.49%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	98.82%		99.68%	99.69%	99.20%	97.65%		99.70%	99.69%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	86.67%		98.93%	82.86%	98.53%	79.78%		99.01%	82.01%
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	12.20%	41.80%	68.36%	17.82%	39.13%	14.63%	47.40%	68.60%	17.82%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	11.76%		67.09%	14.23%	33.84%	17.65%		73.54%	18.46%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	5.56%		62.50%	24.75%	26.70%	9.26%		63.98%	21.64%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	8.33%		66.30%	25.04%	28.07%	5.00%		66.12%	17.48%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	6.58%		62.09%	16.50%	23.34%	2.63%		63.97%	15.82%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	4.71%		58.44%	17.01%	19.66%	4.71%		62.01%	14.65%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	12.22%		65.74%	11.84%	27.85%	12.36%		59.05%	7.61%

Specia	al Ed.		Regu	lar Ed.
State	Local		State	Local
12.59%	n/a		10.43%	n/a
14.75%	n/a		10.15%	n/a
0.39%	n/a		0.37%	n/a
0.08%	n/a		0.46%	n/a
	State           12.59%           14.75%           0.39%	12.59%         n/a           14.75%         n/a           0.39%         n/a	State         Local           12.59%         n/a           14.75%         n/a           0.39%         n/a	State         Local         State           12.59%         n/a         10.43%           14.75%         n/a         10.15%           0.39%         n/a         0.37%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	10	3.52%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	42.35%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	40.93%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	16.73%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: Recovery School District (RSD) - LDE

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
Γ	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	66.67%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	33.33%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
<u>Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages</u> 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		no	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		**	

	Speci	Special Ed.			
	State	Local	Target		
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>					
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%		
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>					
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	n/a *	100.0% 100.0%		
Extended School Year Program					
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a			
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a			
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teacher	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>				
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	40.00%			
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	33.77%			

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



RSD - SUNO Institute of Academic Excellence

School Year: 2006-2007

### School System: RSI Total Public Population: 329

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	8.21%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	6.38%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.41%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a 0.00% Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 100.00% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% Participated in On-Level Assessment – 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 99.98% 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 100.00% 69.57% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 100.00% 68.77% 78.26% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 16.96% 0.00% 46.67% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 12.50% 60.10% 44.00% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

## School System: RSD - SUNO Institute of Academic Excellence

## Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math					ELA				
	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Special Ed.		2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	n/a	98.68%	99.91%	n/a	99.77%	n/a	98.71%	99.92%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	n/a	41.80%	68.36%	n/a	39.13%	n/a	47.40%	68.60%	n/a
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	100.00%		67.09%	78.26%	33.84%	100.00%		73.54%	69.57%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	66.67%		62.50%	45.00%	26.70%	33.33%		63.98%	20.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	20.00%		66.30%	36.11%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	43.06%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	14.29%		62.09%	47.22%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	63.89%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	12.50%		58.44%	43.42%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	46.05%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents				
	Specia	l Ed.	Re	egular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.439	% 0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	0.00%	10.15%	% 0.00%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.379	% 0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.46%	% 0.00%
	11 / AA B			

# Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	0	0.00%	no
Totalling > To Days	0	0.0078	110

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	37.04%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	59.26%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	3.70%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - SUNO Institute of Academic Excellence

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		n/a	

	Speci	Special Ed.		
	State	Local	Target	
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>				
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%	
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>				
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%	
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%	
Extended School Year Program				
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a		
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a		
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>			
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a		
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%		

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



RSD - Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) N.O.

School Year: 2006-2007

### School System: RSE Total Public Population: 494

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	9.92%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	4.45%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	85.63%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Special Ed.		2006-07 State
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 23.33% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 76.67% 99.74% 99.67% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 100.00% 99.98% 100.00% 99.96% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 57.14% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 50.00% 75.03% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 50.00% 38.55% 68.77% 61.90% Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 63.69% 56.52% 16.96% 0.00% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 82.61% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

# School System: RSD - Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) N.O.

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

			Math					ELA		
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	ment types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%	99.87%	100.00%		99.93%	100.00%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	100.00%	41.80%	68.36%	65.96%	39.13%	50.00%	47.40%	68.60%	48.94%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	20.00%		67.09%	60.47%	33.84%	20.00%		73.54%	55.81%
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	14.29%		62.50%	57.48%	26.70%	28.57%		63.98%	62.99%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	50.00%		66.30%	69.77%	28.07%	50.00%		66.12%	53.49%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	0.00%		62.09%	70.37%	23.34%	0.00%		63.97%	70.37%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	82.61%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	56.52%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>				
	Specia	l Ed.		Regular Ed.
	State	Local	State	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%	10.4	3% 0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	9.43%	10.1	5% 3.38%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%	0.3	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%	0.4	6% 0.21%

### Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions			
Totaling > 10 Days	2	5.00%	yes

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	77.78%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	19.44%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	2.78%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or			
hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) N.O.

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	100.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories <b>that is the result of inappropriate identification</b>		n/a	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	100.00%	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	91.33% 75.95%	n/a *	100.0% 100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	0.00%	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	50.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- 8. **Parental Involvement** based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.



### School System: RSD - Middle School Advocates, Inc. Total Public Population: 346

### School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1–14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in **bold** are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE	Disproportionality
1. Graduation with a High School Diploma	9. Special Education and Related Services
2. Dropping Out of High School	10. Specific Disability Categories
3. Participation and Performance on Statewide	
Assessment	Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find
4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates	11. Evaluation Timelines
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)	
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)	Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition
7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5	12. Transition from Part C
8. Parental Involvement	13. Post-secondary Goals
	14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html</u>.

	State	Local	
Students with Disabilities <sup>a</sup>	12.95%	6.94%	
Gifted/Talented Students <sup>a</sup>	3.28%	2.60%	
Regular Education Students <sup>a</sup>	83.76%	90.46%	
Exiting Special Education – Indicators 1 & 2 b			
	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
High School Diploma	17.70%	0.00%	18.00%
GED/Skills Options	10.76%	0.00%	
Certificate of Achievement	5.80%	0.00%	
Dropped Out	28.97%	0.00%	25.00%

Statewide Assessment <sup>c</sup> Special Ed. Regular Ed. State Local State Local Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 1 (grades 3-11) 8.06% 0.00% n/a Participated in La. Alternate Assessment 2 (grades 4-11) 8.79% 0.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment (grades 3-11) 82.72% 100.00% 99.74% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 99.96% 99.98% 100.00% n/a 99.96% 99.98% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 100.00% n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade ELA 99.61% 100.00% 99.88% 100.00% Participated in On-Level Assessment - 8th grade Math 99.56% 100.00% 99.86% 100.00% 98.88% Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE ELA 99.19% n/a n/a Participated in On-Level Assessment - GEE Math 98.88% n/a 99.16% n/a 22.22% Proficient LEAP 4th grade ELA 37.01% 75.03% n/a 22.22% Proficient LEAP 4<sup>th</sup> grade Math 38.55% 68.77% n/a Proficient LEAP 8<sup>th</sup> grade ELA 42.47% 16.96% 0.00% 63.69% Proficient LEAP 8th grade Math 21.90% 0.00% 60.10% 38.36% Proficient GEE ELA 12.76% 59.67% n/a n/a Proficient GEE Math 21.65% 66.48% n/a n/a

### School System: RSD - Middle School Advocates, Inc.

### Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject – Indicators 3B & 3C <sup>c</sup>

	Math			ELA						
	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regul	ar Ed.	Speci	al Ed.	2006-07	Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	State Target	State	Local	State	Local	State Target	State	Local
Participation rate (all assess	nent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	99.80%	100.00%	98.68%	99.91%	100.00%	99.77%	100.00%	98.71%	99.92%	100.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.87%	n/a		99.93%	n/a	99.87%	n/a		99.93%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.61%	100.00%		99.90%	100.00%	99.62%	100.00%		99.91%	100.00%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.09%	100.00%		99.74%	100.00%	99.19%	100.00%		99.76%	100.00%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.59%	100.00%		99.61%	100.00%	98.69%	100.00%		99.65%	100.00%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	99.15%	100.00%		99.68%	100.00%	99.20%	100.00%		99.70%	100.00%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	98.47%	n/a		98.93%	n/a	98.53%	n/a		99.01%	n/a
Proficiency rate (all assessme	ent types)									
3 <sup>rd</sup> grade	43.00%	0.00%	41.80%	68.36%	35.00%	39.13%	0.00%	47.40%	68.60%	40.00%
4 <sup>th</sup> grade	34.43%	n/a		67.09%	n/a	33.84%	n/a		73.54%	n/a
5 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.65%	25.00%		62.50%	22.73%	26.70%	0.00%		63.98%	13.64%
6 <sup>th</sup> grade	29.93%	0.00%		66.30%	40.48%	28.07%	0.00%		66.12%	14.29%
7 <sup>th</sup> grade	26.73%	12.50%		62.09%	29.51%	23.34%	25.00%		63.97%	18.03%
8 <sup>th</sup> grade	21.74%	0.00%		58.44%	38.67%	19.66%	0.00%		62.01%	42.67%
10 <sup>th</sup> grade	31.31%	n/a		65.74%	n/a	27.85%	n/a		59.05%	n/a

Discipline Percents – all incidents <sup>a</sup>					
	Specia	Special Ed.		Regular Ed.	
	State	Local	Sta	te	Local
Suspensions In-School	12.59%	0.00%		10.43%	0.00%
Suspensions Out-of-School	14.75%	38.71%		10.15%	43.28%
Expulsions In-School	0.39%	0.00%		0.37%	0.00%
Expulsions Out-of-School	0.08%	0.00%		0.46%	0.00%
	11 / AA B				

# Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) – Indicator 4A e

	Count	Percent of Child Count	Significant Discrepancy?
Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days	1	n/a	no

	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Inside Regular Class 80% or more of day	59.32%	0.00%	57.76%
Inside Regular Class 40-79% of day	22.58%	0.00%	
Inside Regular Class less than 40% of day	16.11%	0.00%	16.11%
Separate School	0.73%	0.00%	
Residential Facility	0.24%	0.00%	
Homebound/Hospital	0.85%	0.00%	
Correctional Facilities	0.17%	0.00%	
Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements	1.82%	0.00%	2.19%

### School System: RSD - Middle School Advocates, Inc.

Educational Environment (Ages 3-5) – Indicator 6 <sup>f</sup>			
	Specia	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
In the Regular Early Childhood Program at least 80% of time	59.15%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program 40-79% of time	15.93%	0.00%	
In the Regular Early Childhood Program less than 40% of time	0.00%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate Class	21.62%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Separate School	0.02%	0.00%	
Attending a Special Education Program – Residential Facility	0.22%	0.00%	
Home	3.05%	0.00%	
Service Provider Location	0.00%	0.00%	
Parental Involvement – Indicator 8 <sup>9</sup>			
Percent of parents reporting that schools facilitated involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	38.00%	*	39.00%
Disproportionality – Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 9 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	
Disproportionality – Disability Category (Ages 6-21) – Indicator 10 <sup>h</sup>			
Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification		n/a	

	Speci	2006-07 State	
	State	Local	Target
Evaluation Timelines – Indicator 11 <sup>i</sup>			-
Initial Evaluations completed in timelines	100.00%	n/a	100.0%
Effective Transition – Indicators 12 <sup>j</sup> & 13 <sup>k</sup>			
Students referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday	91.33%	n/a	100.0%
Youth, aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	75.95%	*	100.0%
Extended School Year Program			
Students Ages 3-21 Eligible for ESYP Services	3.66%	n/a	
Students Ages 3-21 Received ESYP Services	86.96%	n/a	
Highly Qualified Personnel (Special Education Teachers	<u>s)</u> <sup>m</sup>		
Ages 3 to 5	80.43%	n/a	
Ages 6 to 21	66.18%	100.00%	

- <sup>a</sup> February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
- <sup>b</sup> June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
- <sup>c</sup> Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. *Proficient* is a score of Basic or above.
- <sup>d</sup> 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline % = Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
- <sup>e</sup> 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
- <sup>f</sup> October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
- <sup>9</sup> 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
- <sup>h</sup> 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
- SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>j</sup> SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
- <sup>k</sup> 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
- <sup>1</sup> 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
- <sup>m</sup> October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table
- \* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
- \*\* Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
- U Data is not reportable due to low response number.

- High School Diploma Graduation number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
- Drop Out number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.

- Districts making AYP for students with disabilities this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: <u>http://www.louisianaschools.net/Ide/accountability/home.html</u>. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
- b. Participation on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Participation is reported by grade and subject.
- c. Performance on Statewide Assessment number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
- Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
  - a. **Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year** – significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20, or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than 2%.
- 5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served
  - a. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day
  - b. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or
  - c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
- Educational Environment (ages 3-5) percent of preschool children with IEPs:
  - a. Attending a regular early childhood program
  - b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
  - c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

- 7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program.
  Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
- Parental Involvement based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
- 9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
- 10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification – based first on the *risk ratio* or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
- 11. **Evaluation Timelines** percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
- Transition from Part C percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
- 13. **Post-secondary Goals** for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
- 14. **Post-secondary Outcomes** based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. **Outcome** data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.