# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Acadia Parish <br> Total Public Population: 9,442

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 97.73\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 97.73\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.84\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.84\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.98\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 98.98\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 99.09\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 99.09\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 99.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 99.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 97.98\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 98.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 97.80\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.49\% | 98.53\% | 97.80\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.87\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 51.14\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 67.39\% | 39.13\% | 54.55\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 68.78\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 39.50\% |  | 67.09\% | 63.64\% | 33.84\% | 31.09\% |  | 73.54\% | 69.64\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 32.65\% |  | 62.50\% | 64.43\% | 26.70\% | 25.51\% |  | 63.98\% | 62.13\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 34.55\% |  | 66.30\% | 69.48\% | 28.07\% | 26.36\% |  | 66.12\% | 69.32\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 30.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 67.93\% | 23.34\% | 23.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 66.85\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 30.30\% |  | 58.44\% | 73.42\% | 19.66\% | 27.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 73.06\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 18.68\% |  | 65.74\% | 68.30\% | 27.85\% | 17.58\% |  | 59.05\% | 64.41\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 9.61\% |  | 10.43\% | 8.02\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 21.81\% |  | 10.15\% | 14.92\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.06\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.01\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.39\% |  | 0.46\% | 1.10\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 10 | 0.74\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 69.46\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 10.91\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 18.40\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.22\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.22\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { RDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Allen Parish <br> Total Public Population: 4,280

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.58\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 50.98\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 73.90\% | 39.13\% | 49.02\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 72.29\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 36.17\% |  | 67.09\% | 74.80\% | 33.84\% | 40.43\% |  | 73.54\% | 84.25\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 29.41\% |  | 62.50\% | 66.78\% | 26.70\% | 25.49\% |  | 63.98\% | 67.79\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 48.89\% |  | 66.30\% | 70.07\% | 28.07\% | 46.67\% |  | 66.12\% | 79.61\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 20.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 67.48\% | 23.34\% | 13.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 75.87\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 36.36\% |  | 58.44\% | 63.93\% | 19.66\% | 22.73\% |  | 62.01\% | 70.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 53.85\% |  | 65.74\% | 65.55\% | 27.85\% | 23.08\% |  | 59.05\% | 60.34\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 6.51\% |  | 10.43\% | 4.83\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 17.59\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.63\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.33\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.28\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.28\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 12 | 2.29\% | yes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 StateTarget |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 65.96\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 27.66\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 6.17\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.21\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.21\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Ascension Parish<br>Total Public Population: 18,466

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.91\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.82\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.84\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.84\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.76\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.76\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.65\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.74\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 46.84\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 77.95\% | 39.13\% | 40.51\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 76.01\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 32.99\% |  | 67.09\% | 74.60\% | 33.84\% | 37.56\% |  | 73.54\% | 77.93\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 32.87\% |  | 62.50\% | 73.44\% | 26.70\% | 30.56\% |  | 63.98\% | 68.26\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 40.37\% |  | 66.30\% | 80.27\% | 28.07\% | 34.16\% |  | 66.12\% | 72.39\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 38.89\% |  | 62.09\% | 76.33\% | 23.34\% | 29.81\% |  | 63.97\% | 69.50\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 42.42\% |  | 58.44\% | 72.81\% | 19.66\% | 28.28\% |  | 62.01\% | 71.54\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 37.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 78.74\% | 27.85\% | 32.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 68.69\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 8.58\% |  | 10.43\% | 7.54\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 11.88\% |  | 10.15\% | 6.58\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.11\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.21\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.34\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 87.38\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 5.38\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 6.45\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.79\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.79\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Assumption Parish <br> Total Public Population: 4,271

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.33\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 98.33\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.65\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.65\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.27\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.26\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 88.89\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.10\% | 98.53\% | 80.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.10\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 39.62\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 65.83\% | 39.13\% | 56.60\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 72.08\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 21.28\% |  | 67.09\% | 61.07\% | 33.84\% | 14.89\% |  | 73.54\% | 71.72\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 23.33\% |  | 62.50\% | 51.37\% | 26.70\% | 21.67\% |  | 63.98\% | 53.77\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 28.57\% |  | 66.30\% | 66.08\% | 28.07\% | 40.48\% |  | 66.12\% | 66.08\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 18.75\% |  | 62.09\% | 67.36\% | 23.34\% | 18.75\% |  | 63.97\% | 63.41\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 20.83\% |  | 58.44\% | 67.88\% | 19.66\% | 12.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 60.22\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 44.44\% |  | 65.74\% | 61.14\% | 27.85\% | 50.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 58.29\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Avoyelles Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>6,201

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.77\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.72\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.72\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.74\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.74\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 97.62\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.75\% | 99.19\% | 97.62\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.76\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.76\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.73\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 32.43\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 63.53\% | 39.13\% | 40.54\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 67.43\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 23.68\% |  | 67.09\% | 63.48\% | 33.84\% | 18.42\% |  | 73.54\% | 69.94\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 20.55\% |  | 62.50\% | 55.38\% | 26.70\% | 23.29\% |  | 63.98\% | 58.21\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 21.43\% |  | 66.30\% | 62.31\% | 28.07\% | 21.43\% |  | 66.12\% | 60.80\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 16.22\% |  | 62.09\% | 49.15\% | 23.34\% | 13.51\% |  | 63.97\% | 47.20\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 29.41\% |  | 58.44\% | 52.29\% | 19.66\% | 26.47\% |  | 62.01\% | 54.18\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 29.41\% |  | 65.74\% | 70.05\% | 27.85\% | 47.06\% |  | 59.05\% | 61.81\% |


| $\underline{\text { Discipline Percents - all incidents }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 0.28\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.49\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 2.77\% |  | 10.15\% | 24.48\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.14\% |  | 0.46\% | 1.76\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 72.94\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 6.47\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 16.47\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 4.12\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 4.12\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE
School System: Beauregard Parish
Total Public Population: $\mathbf{6 , 1 8 6}$
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 18.70\% |  | 10.43\% | 18.35\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 9.17\% |  | 10.15\% | 6.70\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 57.03\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 34.56\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 8.28\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.13\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.13\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Bienville Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>2,376

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 95.65\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 95.65\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 95.65\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 30.43\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 53.07\% | 39.13\% | 4.35\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 63.48\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 26.09\% |  | 67.09\% | 65.14\% | 33.84\% | 24.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 64.57\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 26.09\% |  | 62.50\% | 65.79\% | 26.70\% | 30.43\% |  | 63.98\% | 69.74\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 21.74\% |  | 66.30\% | 67.35\% | 28.07\% | 13.04\% |  | 66.12\% | 65.31\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 42.11\% |  | 62.09\% | 57.35\% | 23.34\% | 26.32\% |  | 63.97\% | 64.44\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 14.81\% |  | 58.44\% | 53.53\% | 19.66\% | 3.70\% |  | 62.01\% | 56.47\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 20.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 67.31\% | 27.85\% | 6.67\% |  | 59.05\% | 67.95\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Bossier Parish <br> Total Public Population: 19,391

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 99.51\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.84\% | 99.77\% | 99.51\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.76\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.93\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.93\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.92\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.92\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.85\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.69\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.61\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 99.20\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.64\% | 99.20\% | 99.20\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.64\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 98.06\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.75\% | 98.53\% | 99.02\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.67\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 49.75\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 77.09\% | 39.13\% | 39.90\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 74.86\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 38.78\% |  | 67.09\% | 74.48\% | 33.84\% | 37.96\% |  | 73.54\% | 81.66\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 40.51\% |  | 62.50\% | 75.19\% | 26.70\% | 34.18\% |  | 63.98\% | 77.60\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 29.71\% |  | 66.30\% | 70.69\% | 28.07\% | 29.71\% |  | 66.12\% | 73.24\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 34.44\% |  | 62.09\% | 68.69\% | 23.34\% | 32.45\% |  | 63.97\% | 72.80\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 20.80\% |  | 58.44\% | 59.08\% | 19.66\% | 20.80\% |  | 62.01\% | 64.63\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 37.86\% |  | 65.74\% | 67.31\% | 27.85\% | 37.25\% |  | 59.05\% | 60.67\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

Louisiana Department of
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { School System: } & \text { Caddo Parish } \\ \text { Total Public Population: } & 43,141\end{array}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

Total Public Population: 43,141
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.93\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.93\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 99.76\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.90\% | 99.87\% | 99.76\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.90\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.72\% | 99.62\% | 99.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.75\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 98.71\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.57\% | 99.19\% | 99.03\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.47\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 98.01\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.17\% | 98.69\% | 98.01\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.24\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 99.61\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.82\% | 99.20\% | 99.61\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.85\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 99.13\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.97\% | 98.53\% | 98.70\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.05\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 38.50\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 62.16\% | 39.13\% | 30.49\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 64.24\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 33.33\% |  | 67.09\% | 63.18\% | 33.84\% | 37.41\% |  | 73.54\% | 71.67\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 28.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 57.26\% | 26.70\% | 23.50\% |  | 63.98\% | 61.70\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 30.55\% |  | 66.30\% | 59.09\% | 28.07\% | 27.83\% |  | 66.12\% | 59.71\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 24.58\% |  | 62.09\% | 54.91\% | 23.34\% | 25.50\% |  | 63.97\% | 59.68\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 27.73\% |  | 58.44\% | 51.24\% | 19.66\% | 25.78\% |  | 62.01\% | 56.26\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 28.57\% |  | 65.74\% | 57.47\% | 27.85\% | 28.57\% |  | 59.05\% | 53.08\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$
School System: Calcasieu Parish
PERFORMANCE PROFILE
Total Public Population: 32,598
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.95\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.95\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 99.78\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.85\% | 99.19\% | 99.78\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.85\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 99.34\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.71\% | 98.69\% | 99.67\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.71\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 99.74\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.85\% | 99.20\% | 99.74\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.90\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.73\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.56\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 48.96\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 75.21\% | 39.13\% | 40.65\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 76.03\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 37.27\% |  | 67.09\% | 71.96\% | 33.84\% | 36.34\% |  | 73.54\% | 82.55\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 36.16\% |  | 62.50\% | 69.72\% | 26.70\% | 35.59\% |  | 63.98\% | 74.64\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 31.18\% |  | 66.30\% | 71.42\% | 28.07\% | 29.68\% |  | 66.12\% | 71.52\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 31.68\% |  | 62.09\% | 71.07\% | 23.34\% | 25.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.78\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 22.31\% |  | 58.44\% | 68.45\% | 19.66\% | 24.41\% |  | 62.01\% | 70.93\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 36.11\% |  | 65.74\% | 72.30\% | 27.85\% | 29.64\% |  | 59.05\% | 64.53\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Caldwell Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>1,822

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 41.67\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 68.82\% | 39.13\% | 41.67\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 80.65\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 34.78\% |  | 67.09\% | 70.43\% | 33.84\% | 34.78\% |  | 73.54\% | 80.87\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 25.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 70.34\% | 26.70\% | 32.14\% |  | 63.98\% | 74.58\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 35.29\% |  | 66.30\% | 70.65\% | 28.07\% | 41.18\% |  | 66.12\% | 80.43\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 41.67\% |  | 62.09\% | 65.63\% | 23.34\% | 16.67\% |  | 63.97\% | 64.84\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 33.33\% |  | 58.44\% | 60.50\% | 19.66\% | 41.67\% |  | 62.01\% | 69.75\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 12.50\% |  | 65.74\% | 70.18\% | 27.85\% | 25.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 56.14\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Cameron Parish<br>Total Public Population: 1,547

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 98.80\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 98.80\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 42.11\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 76.34\% | 39.13\% | 42.11\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 65.59\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 22.22\% |  | 67.09\% | 63.33\% | 33.84\% | 11.11\% |  | 73.54\% | 73.33\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 35.29\% |  | 62.50\% | 58.43\% | 26.70\% | 29.41\% |  | 63.98\% | 68.54\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 22.22\% |  | 66.30\% | 82.41\% | 28.07\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.12\% | 70.37\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 12.50\% |  | 62.09\% | 70.79\% | 23.34\% | 31.25\% |  | 63.97\% | 73.03\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 6.67\% |  | 58.44\% | 61.45\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 60.24\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 20.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 61.22\% | 27.85\% | 0.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 57.14\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 0.34\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.67\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 7.24\% |  | 10.15\% | 8.47\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.87\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 69.12\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 23.04\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 7.35\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.49\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.49\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Catahoula Parish<br>Total Public Population: 1,803

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 55.56\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 85.82\% | 39.13\% | 44.44\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 83.58\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 52.94\% |  | 67.09\% | 78.45\% | 33.84\% | 47.06\% |  | 73.54\% | 84.48\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 31.58\% |  | 62.50\% | 62.75\% | 26.70\% | 26.32\% |  | 63.98\% | 57.84\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.30\% | 81.60\% | 28.07\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.12\% | 75.20\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 30.77\% |  | 62.09\% | 72.32\% | 23.34\% | 30.77\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.54\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 14.29\% |  | 58.44\% | 67.92\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 63.21\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 66.67\% |  | 65.74\% | 79.21\% | 27.85\% | 100.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 72.28\% |


| $\underline{\text { Discipline Percents - all incidents }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 4.72\% |  | 10.43\% | 2.96\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 16.04\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.09\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.05\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 70.76\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 17.54\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 11.11\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.58\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.58\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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PERFORMANCE PROFILE
Total Public Population: 2,590
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.36\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 61.90\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 68.57\% | 39.13\% | 47.62\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 65.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 31.71\% |  | 67.09\% | 70.90\% | 33.84\% | 26.83\% |  | 73.54\% | 70.90\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 24.14\% |  | 62.50\% | 60.90\% | 26.70\% | 13.79\% |  | 63.98\% | 49.36\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 27.59\% |  | 66.30\% | 58.67\% | 28.07\% | 17.24\% |  | 66.12\% | 56.67\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 10.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 57.86\% | 23.34\% | 5.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 53.46\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 19.23\% |  | 58.44\% | 43.48\% | 19.66\% | 23.08\% |  | 62.01\% | 40.76\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 25.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 64.33\% | 27.85\% | 10.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 47.44\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 12.82\% |  | 10.43\% | 6.12\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 16.08\% |  | 10.15\% | 12.29\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.12\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 7 | 1.64\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 63.76\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 30.06\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 5.62\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.56\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.56\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Concordia Parish Total Public Population: 3,974

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.47\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.47\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 27.59\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 57.92\% | 39.13\% | 31.03\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 66.41\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 14.55\% |  | 67.09\% | 53.77\% | 33.84\% | 23.64\% |  | 73.54\% | 65.41\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 22.58\% |  | 62.50\% | 61.05\% | 26.70\% | 16.13\% |  | 63.98\% | 59.40\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 36.67\% |  | 66.30\% | 64.92\% | 28.07\% | 20.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 68.52\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 17.65\% |  | 62.09\% | 61.45\% | 23.34\% | 5.88\% |  | 63.97\% | 66.67\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 8.11\% |  | 58.44\% | 44.81\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 59.26\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 7.69\% |  | 65.74\% | 60.64\% | 27.85\% | 0.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 53.72\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 2.03\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.85\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 21.91\% |  | 10.15\% | 13.90\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.07\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.23\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 55.05\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 38.89\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 5.81\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.25\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.25\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: DeSoto Parish<br>Total Public Population: 4,900

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 95.56\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 95.56\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 98.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 98.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.46\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.46\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.28\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.64\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 26.19\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 53.92\% | 39.13\% | 19.05\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 51.31\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 28.89\% |  | 67.09\% | 51.45\% | 33.84\% | 26.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 62.27\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 46.15\% |  | 62.50\% | 61.96\% | 26.70\% | 50.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 66.27\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 12.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 56.92\% | 28.07\% | 8.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 56.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 23.40\% |  | 62.09\% | 52.01\% | 23.34\% | 26.53\% |  | 63.97\% | 59.52\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 22.73\% |  | 58.44\% | 54.44\% | 19.66\% | 25.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 64.50\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 23.53\% |  | 65.74\% | 62.23\% | 27.85\% | 20.59\% |  | 59.05\% | 50.00\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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East Baton Rouge Parish
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 99.79\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.78\% | 99.77\% | 99.59\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.91\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 99.85\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.91\% | 99.87\% | 99.85\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.91\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.49\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.90\% | 99.62\% | 99.49\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.86\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 96.42\% |  | 99.74\% | 98.73\% | 99.19\% | 96.98\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.05\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 95.34\% |  | 99.61\% | 98.72\% | 98.69\% | 96.09\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.09\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 98.40\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.31\% | 99.20\% | 98.64\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.38\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 96.17\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.78\% | 98.53\% | 97.33\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.01\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 31.75\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 52.46\% | 39.13\% | 26.19\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 49.97\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 21.42\% |  | 67.09\% | 52.11\% | 33.84\% | 23.45\% |  | 73.54\% | 64.29\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 25.83\% |  | 62.50\% | 51.18\% | 26.70\% | 18.93\% |  | 63.98\% | 52.37\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 21.89\% |  | 66.30\% | 54.92\% | 28.07\% | 16.42\% |  | 66.12\% | 50.33\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 20.59\% |  | 62.09\% | 46.85\% | 23.34\% | 17.11\% |  | 63.97\% | 49.73\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 14.61\% |  | 58.44\% | 47.33\% | 19.66\% | 15.23\% |  | 62.01\% | 53.31\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 25.29\% |  | 65.74\% | 56.77\% | 27.85\% | 25.95\% |  | 59.05\% | 54.09\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

Louisiana Department of
PERFORMANCE PROFILE
School System: East Carroll Parish
Total Public Population: 1,521

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 94.12\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 87.50\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.86\% | 98.53\% | 87.50\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.88\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 21.43\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 44.44\% | 39.13\% | 21.43\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 48.48\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 16.67\% |  | 67.09\% | 67.39\% | 33.84\% | 16.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 69.57\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 34.78\% |  | 62.50\% | 58.43\% | 26.70\% | 39.13\% |  | 63.98\% | 58.43\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 22.22\% |  | 66.30\% | 20.18\% | 28.07\% | 22.22\% |  | 66.12\% | 41.28\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 5.88\% |  | 62.09\% | 23.76\% | 23.34\% | 5.88\% |  | 63.97\% | 48.51\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 15.00\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 23.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 62.50\% |  | 65.74\% | 38.64\% | 27.85\% | 62.50\% |  | 59.05\% | 40.45\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: East Feliciana Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>2,352

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 97.14\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 97.14\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 20.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 47.50\% | 39.13\% | 17.14\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 45.63\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 26.83\% |  | 67.09\% | 65.38\% | 33.84\% | 17.07\% |  | 73.54\% | 69.87\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 21.43\% |  | 62.50\% | 42.96\% | 26.70\% | 14.29\% |  | 63.98\% | 47.89\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 23.53\% |  | 66.30\% | 30.71\% | 28.07\% | 11.76\% |  | 66.12\% | 36.43\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 34.48\% |  | 62.09\% | 41.90\% | 23.34\% | 34.48\% |  | 63.97\% | 47.62\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 45.63\% | 19.66\% | 2.78\% |  | 62.01\% | 42.50\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 41.18\% |  | 65.74\% | 49.55\% | 27.85\% | 29.41\% |  | 59.05\% | 37.84\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Evangeline Parish<br>Total Public Population: 6,097

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.50\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.50\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.12\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.76\% | 99.62\% | 99.12\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.76\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.73\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.44\% | 98.69\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.44\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 98.72\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 98.98\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.64\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.64\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 37.35\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 69.92\% | 39.13\% | 34.94\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 68.92\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 23.66\% |  | 67.09\% | 67.82\% | 33.84\% | 31.18\% |  | 73.54\% | 82.67\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 23.89\% |  | 62.50\% | 59.18\% | 26.70\% | 20.35\% |  | 63.98\% | 56.28\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 44.62\% |  | 66.30\% | 70.78\% | 28.07\% | 35.38\% |  | 66.12\% | 61.93\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 25.86\% |  | 62.09\% | 70.06\% | 23.34\% | 27.59\% |  | 63.97\% | 64.97\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 24.36\% |  | 58.44\% | 60.36\% | 19.66\% | 17.95\% |  | 62.01\% | 61.13\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 27.03\% |  | 65.74\% | 68.93\% | 27.85\% | 32.43\% |  | 59.05\% | 67.14\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 2.68\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.67\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 22.88\% |  | 10.15\% | 16.46\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.09\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.86\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.10\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 51.57\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 21.08\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 26.35\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.01\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.01\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Franklin Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>3,421

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.61\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.61\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.50\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.50\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.51\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 95.65\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 91.67\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.91\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.90\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 16.13\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 50.39\% | 39.13\% | 12.90\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 53.10\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 12.20\% |  | 67.09\% | 53.50\% | 33.84\% | 24.39\% |  | 73.54\% | 54.50\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 8.57\% |  | 62.50\% | 38.71\% | 26.70\% | 8.57\% |  | 63.98\% | 44.35\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 20.83\% |  | 66.30\% | 50.25\% | 28.07\% | 16.67\% |  | 66.12\% | 50.25\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 9.09\% |  | 62.09\% | 44.80\% | 23.34\% | 3.03\% |  | 63.97\% | 44.34\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 13.04\% |  | 58.44\% | 48.61\% | 19.66\% | 12.50\% |  | 62.01\% | 57.48\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 0.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 42.62\% | 27.85\% | 33.33\% |  | 59.05\% | 58.56\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 0.69\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.39\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 29.43\% |  | 10.15\% | 18.05\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.23\% |  | 0.46\% | 1.01\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1.02\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 73.56\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 13.79\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 12.36\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.29\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.29\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { RDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Grant Parish<br>Total Public Population: 3,453

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 98.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 98.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 50.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 71.09\% | 39.13\% | 32.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 71.56\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 34.88\% |  | 67.09\% | 73.50\% | 33.84\% | 27.91\% |  | 73.54\% | 71.37\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 31.71\% |  | 62.50\% | 71.07\% | 26.70\% | 21.95\% |  | 63.98\% | 65.29\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 41.03\% |  | 66.30\% | 70.12\% | 28.07\% | 35.90\% |  | 66.12\% | 71.31\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 21.62\% |  | 62.09\% | 72.07\% | 23.34\% | 18.92\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.27\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 34.78\% |  | 58.44\% | 68.87\% | 19.66\% | 17.39\% |  | 62.01\% | 64.59\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 29.17\% |  | 65.74\% | 70.32\% | 27.85\% | 20.83\% |  | 59.05\% | 62.56\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { RDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Iberia Parish <br> Total Public Population: 14,120

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement<br>Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.31\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.79\% | 99.62\% | 98.87\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.89\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 98.75\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 98.75\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 97.06\% |  | 99.61\% | 98.93\% | 98.69\% | 96.47\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.14\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 98.02\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 99.01\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.30\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.44\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 45.56\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 71.48\% | 39.13\% | 42.60\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 65.36\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 38.42\% |  | 67.09\% | 71.24\% | 33.84\% | 37.17\% |  | 73.54\% | 74.72\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 29.94\% |  | 62.50\% | 62.90\% | 26.70\% | 19.77\% |  | 63.98\% | 58.82\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 35.63\% |  | 66.30\% | 73.82\% | 28.07\% | 25.63\% |  | 66.12\% | 66.09\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 30.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 60.06\% | 23.34\% | 21.76\% |  | 63.97\% | 54.56\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 28.71\% |  | 58.44\% | 65.37\% | 19.66\% | 20.79\% |  | 62.01\% | 64.46\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 34.62\% |  | 65.74\% | 69.44\% | 27.85\% | 32.69\% |  | 59.05\% | 55.34\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Iberville Parish<br>Total Public Population: 4,262

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.64\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.64\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.64\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.64\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 98.63\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 98.28\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 93.62\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 95.74\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 22.22\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 57.54\% | 39.13\% | 20.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 58.60\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 25.76\% |  | 67.09\% | 48.01\% | 33.84\% | 31.82\% |  | 73.54\% | 63.18\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 26.83\% |  | 62.50\% | 46.28\% | 26.70\% | 17.07\% |  | 63.98\% | 52.07\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 19.64\% |  | 66.30\% | 52.71\% | 28.07\% | 33.93\% |  | 66.12\% | 53.43\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 9.76\% |  | 62.09\% | 44.18\% | 23.34\% | 19.51\% |  | 63.97\% | 48.45\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 14.89\% |  | 58.44\% | 42.80\% | 19.66\% | 21.28\% |  | 62.01\% | 55.64\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 42.86\% |  | 65.74\% | 60.67\% | 27.85\% | 47.62\% |  | 59.05\% | 51.96\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 6.03\% |  | 10.43\% | 7.26\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 22.47\% |  | 10.15\% | 15.30\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.02\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 1.06\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 10 | 1.80\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day <br> Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day <br> Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day <br> Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities <br> Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | Spec | Ed. | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 57.37\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 28.29\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 12.97\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.38\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 1.82\% | 1.38\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Jackson Parish <br> Total Public Population: <br> 2,210

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.39\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.39\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.34\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.34\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 47.83\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 71.07\% | 39.13\% | 52.17\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 78.62\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 56.25\% |  | 67.09\% | 68.79\% | 33.84\% | 43.75\% |  | 73.54\% | 73.89\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 47.62\% |  | 62.50\% | 58.79\% | 26.70\% | 28.57\% |  | 63.98\% | 60.61\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 28.57\% |  | 66.30\% | 74.65\% | 28.07\% | 21.43\% |  | 66.12\% | 78.87\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 56.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 56.64\% | 23.34\% | 36.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 74.13\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 33.33\% |  | 58.44\% | 50.00\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 59.87\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 57.14\% |  | 65.74\% | 67.50\% | 27.85\% | 28.57\% |  | 59.05\% | 68.33\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 12.63\% |  | 10.43\% | 9.52\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 11.26\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.50\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.36\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 2 | 0.85\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 88.46\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 7.69\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 3.37\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.48\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.48\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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Jefferson Parish<br>43,669<br>School System:<br>Total Public Population:

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 19.37\% |  | 10.43\% | 14.22\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 24.39\% |  | 10.15\% | 15.34\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.13\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.17\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.18\% |  | 0.46\% | 1.51\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 123 | 2.70\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 42.35\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 21.28\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 34.84\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 1.50\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.02\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.53\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>Jefferson Davis Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>5,767

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 97.56\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 97.56\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.73\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.73\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 48.89\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 82.80\% | 39.13\% | 54.44\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 87.63\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 45.28\% |  | 67.09\% | 79.55\% | 33.84\% | 46.23\% |  | 73.54\% | 84.42\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 40.63\% |  | 62.50\% | 72.73\% | 26.70\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.98\% | 81.82\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 43.90\% |  | 66.30\% | 79.17\% | 28.07\% | 40.24\% |  | 66.12\% | 82.50\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 36.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 77.08\% | 23.34\% | 42.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 83.33\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 26.32\% |  | 58.44\% | 67.49\% | 19.66\% | 22.81\% |  | 62.01\% | 69.40\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 50.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 76.28\% | 27.85\% | 68.75\% |  | 59.05\% | 72.12\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Lafayette Parish Total Public Population: 30,068

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.90\% | 99.77\% | 99.65\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.90\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.95\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.95\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.63\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.95\% | 99.62\% | 99.63\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.90\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 99.10\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.47\% | 99.19\% | 98.64\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.38\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 99.09\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.89\% | 98.69\% | 99.09\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.84\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 97.78\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.32\% | 99.20\% | 98.15\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.42\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 99.17\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.53\% | 98.53\% | 99.17\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.65\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 46.32\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 74.07\% | 39.13\% | 42.46\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 69.58\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 31.29\% |  | 67.09\% | 73.22\% | 33.84\% | 30.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 77.11\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 38.95\% |  | 62.50\% | 68.29\% | 26.70\% | 29.21\% |  | 63.98\% | 64.33\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 37.56\% |  | 66.30\% | 75.84\% | 28.07\% | 31.67\% |  | 66.12\% | 68.99\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 32.73\% |  | 62.09\% | 74.32\% | 23.34\% | 22.73\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.04\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 19.63\% |  | 58.44\% | 66.59\% | 19.66\% | 18.82\% |  | 62.01\% | 66.17\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 37.50\% |  | 65.74\% | 74.35\% | 27.85\% | 28.10\% |  | 59.05\% | 66.22\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Lafourche Parish<br>Total Public Population: 14,568

# Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education 

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 99.21\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 99.21\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.90\% | 99.62\% | 99.35\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.90\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.89\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.89\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 99.06\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.89\% | 98.69\% | 99.06\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.89\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.72\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.72\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 98.44\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.77\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.77\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 38.13\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 67.51\% | 39.13\% | 37.41\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 66.24\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 37.30\% |  | 67.09\% | 66.13\% | 33.84\% | 38.10\% |  | 73.54\% | 70.22\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 38.71\% |  | 62.50\% | 63.89\% | 26.70\% | 23.23\% |  | 63.98\% | 59.98\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 34.91\% |  | 66.30\% | 66.17\% | 28.07\% | 25.47\% |  | 66.12\% | 60.49\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 35.85\% |  | 62.09\% | 63.19\% | 23.34\% | 33.96\% |  | 63.97\% | 60.53\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 17.89\% |  | 58.44\% | 62.06\% | 19.66\% | 13.68\% |  | 62.01\% | 58.72\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 50.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 70.75\% | 27.85\% | 42.19\% |  | 59.05\% | 61.38\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: LaSalle Parish<br>Total Public Population: 2,674

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 95.83\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 95.83\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 45.83\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 87.25\% | 39.13\% | 50.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 85.29\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 53.85\% |  | 67.09\% | 75.39\% | 33.84\% | 38.46\% |  | 73.54\% | 78.01\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 45.83\% |  | 62.50\% | 74.38\% | 26.70\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.98\% | 71.88\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 60.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 66.06\% | 28.07\% | 60.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 76.97\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 23.81\% |  | 62.09\% | 67.47\% | 23.34\% | 19.05\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.48\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 11.76\% |  | 58.44\% | 57.84\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 61.62\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 33.33\% |  | 65.74\% | 78.31\% | 27.85\% | 22.22\% |  | 59.05\% | 62.65\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Lincoln Parish<br>Total Public Population: $\mathbf{6 , 6 4 1}$

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.74\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.74\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.74\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 94.74\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.47\% | 98.53\% | 97.37\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.73\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 34.48\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 73.60\% | 39.13\% | 29.31\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 75.84\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 40.85\% |  | 67.09\% | 67.02\% | 33.84\% | 29.58\% |  | 73.54\% | 72.51\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 27.27\% |  | 62.50\% | 65.31\% | 26.70\% | 27.27\% |  | 63.98\% | 67.09\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 42.42\% |  | 66.30\% | 74.32\% | 28.07\% | 39.39\% |  | 66.12\% | 74.86\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 27.08\% |  | 62.09\% | 60.94\% | 23.34\% | 22.92\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.05\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.67\% |  | 58.44\% | 59.32\% | 19.66\% | 14.29\% |  | 62.01\% | 60.37\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 26.32\% |  | 65.74\% | 79.05\% | 27.85\% | 28.95\% |  | 59.05\% | 64.46\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 14.32\% |  | 10.43\% | 13.25\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 16.42\% |  | 10.15\% | 12.52\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.11\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.07\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.15\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0.97\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 73.72\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 15.08\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 9.82\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.38\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.38\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>Livingston Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>23,156

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.93\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.93\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.94\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.94\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.62\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.94\% | 99.62\% | 99.62\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.94\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 99.59\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.87\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.87\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 97.50\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.94\% | 98.69\% | 98.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.94\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 99.53\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.94\% | 99.20\% | 99.53\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.94\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.62\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.69\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 58.80\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 84.83\% | 39.13\% | 54.08\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 82.03\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 42.02\% |  | 67.09\% | 80.48\% | 33.84\% | 43.58\% |  | 73.54\% | 84.87\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 45.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 79.45\% | 26.70\% | 32.69\% |  | 63.98\% | 78.82\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 46.89\% |  | 66.30\% | 81.80\% | 28.07\% | 42.32\% |  | 66.12\% | 80.60\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 43.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 76.12\% | 23.34\% | 33.50\% |  | 63.97\% | 77.85\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 34.88\% |  | 58.44\% | 76.50\% | 19.66\% | 26.51\% |  | 62.01\% | 77.97\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 27.33\% |  | 65.74\% | 74.60\% | 27.85\% | 23.33\% |  | 59.05\% | 65.95\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 0.74\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.53\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 15.92\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.54\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.03\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.01\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.26\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.75\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 14 | 0.46\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 67.43\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 21.35\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 9.77\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.45\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.45\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Madison Parish<br>Total Public Population: 2,181

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 97.14\% |  | 99.68\% | 98.71\% | 99.20\% | 97.06\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.35\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 16.67\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 29.58\% | 39.13\% | 27.78\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 37.32\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 26.67\% |  | 67.09\% | 46.58\% | 33.84\% | 36.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 66.44\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 11.76\% |  | 62.50\% | 40.15\% | 26.70\% | 5.88\% |  | 63.98\% | 54.01\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 32.50\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 33.54\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 17.65\% |  | 62.09\% | 48.82\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 42.19\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 11.43\% |  | 58.44\% | 27.74\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 23.23\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 0.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 37.18\% | 27.85\% | 0.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 44.74\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 9.09\% |  | 10.43\% | 4.36\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 23.46\% |  | 10.15\% | 16.40\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 7 | 2.73\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 49.17\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 23.75\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 26.25\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.83\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.83\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Morehouse Parish<br>Total Public Population: 5,041

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 97.44\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 97.44\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 95.24\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.41\% | 98.69\% | 95.24\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.41\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 94.12\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.35\% | 99.20\% | 96.08\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.35\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 46.67\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 55.65\% | 39.13\% | 34.67\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 61.45\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 29.82\% |  | 67.09\% | 55.96\% | 33.84\% | 26.32\% |  | 73.54\% | 55.44\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 34.62\% |  | 62.50\% | 50.00\% | 26.70\% | 29.49\% |  | 63.98\% | 47.04\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 26.67\% |  | 66.30\% | 62.94\% | 28.07\% | 30.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 61.06\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 23.81\% |  | 62.09\% | 59.76\% | 23.34\% | 19.05\% |  | 63.97\% | 61.83\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 13.73\% |  | 58.44\% | 51.46\% | 19.66\% | 15.69\% |  | 62.01\% | 57.28\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 40.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 60.87\% | 27.85\% | 53.33\% |  | 59.05\% | 54.59\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 1.86\% |  | 10.43\% | 3.49\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 15.60\% |  | 10.15\% | 11.24\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.35\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 21 | 2.68\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day <br> Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day <br> Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day <br> Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities <br> Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | Spec | Ed. | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 73.64\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 11.82\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 12.12\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 2.42\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 1.82\% | 2.42\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Natchitoches Parish<br>Total Public Population: 6,951

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.78\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.78\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.79\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.79\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.05\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.56\% | 99.62\% | 99.05\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.56\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 95.56\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.30\% | 99.19\% | 95.56\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.07\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 94.34\% |  | 99.61\% | 97.94\% | 98.69\% | 94.34\% |  | 99.65\% | 97.94\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 98.59\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 98.83\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.80\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.10\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 50.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 61.76\% | 39.13\% | 35.71\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 60.44\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 26.67\% |  | 67.09\% | 60.50\% | 33.84\% | 29.33\% |  | 73.54\% | 62.58\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 19.05\% |  | 62.50\% | 51.43\% | 26.70\% | 15.24\% |  | 63.98\% | 54.53\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 26.67\% |  | 66.30\% | 57.24\% | 28.07\% | 24.44\% |  | 66.12\% | 59.58\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 22.64\% |  | 62.09\% | 53.67\% | 23.34\% | 26.42\% |  | 63.97\% | 58.49\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 12.50\% |  | 58.44\% | 48.59\% | 19.66\% | 8.93\% |  | 62.01\% | 53.52\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 29.03\% |  | 65.74\% | 57.78\% | 27.85\% | 22.58\% |  | 59.05\% | 53.29\% |


| $\underline{\text { Discipline Percents - all incidents }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 13.87\% |  | 10.43\% | 10.40\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 20.17\% |  | 10.15\% | 12.81\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.68\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.60\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.19\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.33\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 48 | 5.56\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 31.44\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 52.23\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 14.57\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.75\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.75\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>Orleans Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>9,059

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 95.24\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 95.24\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.57\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.35\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.26\% | 99.20\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.26\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.34\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.34\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 44.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 72.21\% | 39.13\% | 40.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 75.58\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 52.94\% |  | 67.09\% | 75.77\% | 33.84\% | 55.88\% |  | 73.54\% | 81.72\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 37.93\% |  | 62.50\% | 64.48\% | 26.70\% | 37.93\% |  | 63.98\% | 68.37\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 42.86\% |  | 66.30\% | 77.37\% | 28.07\% | 52.38\% |  | 66.12\% | 74.57\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 48.57\% |  | 62.09\% | 67.96\% | 23.34\% | 45.71\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.32\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 17.24\% |  | 58.44\% | 60.52\% | 19.66\% | 24.14\% |  | 62.01\% | 67.78\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 38.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 66.79\% | 27.85\% | 32.65\% |  | 59.05\% | 62.38\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 2.00\% |  | 10.43\% | 2.44\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 9.62\% |  | 10.15\% | 7.66\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.36\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.04\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.54\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.31\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 37 | 8.41\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 55.45\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 27.72\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 16.09\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.74\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.74\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Ouachita Parish<br>Total Public Population: 18,989

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 99.52\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 99.52\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.92\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.92\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 99.44\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 99.44\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 99.42\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.60\% | 98.69\% | 99.41\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.68\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 99.44\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.52\% | 99.20\% | 99.44\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.52\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.74\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.65\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 49.76\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 88.41\% | 39.13\% | 52.66\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 86.14\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 52.07\% |  | 67.09\% | 85.95\% | 33.84\% | 51.61\% |  | 73.54\% | 88.31\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 45.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 79.75\% | 26.70\% | 40.91\% |  | 63.98\% | 82.78\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 33.71\% |  | 66.30\% | 84.92\% | 28.07\% | 37.08\% |  | 66.12\% | 88.17\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 25.73\% |  | 62.09\% | 75.26\% | 23.34\% | 23.67\% |  | 63.97\% | 80.96\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 25.70\% |  | 58.44\% | 68.77\% | 19.66\% | 28.89\% |  | 62.01\% | 76.44\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 36.04\% |  | 65.74\% | 75.13\% | 27.85\% | 25.89\% |  | 59.05\% | 67.13\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Plaquemines Parish<br>3,610<br>Total Public Population:

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 96.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 96.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 94.44\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 94.44\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 96.30\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.19\% | 98.53\% | 96.30\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.19\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 38.46\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 80.09\% | 39.13\% | 42.31\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 80.09\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 30.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 80.95\% | 33.84\% | 33.33\% |  | 73.54\% | 82.68\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 32.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 74.19\% | 26.70\% | 24.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 68.28\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 40.74\% |  | 66.30\% | 75.00\% | 28.07\% | 40.74\% |  | 66.12\% | 67.67\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 33.33\% |  | 62.09\% | 72.07\% | 23.34\% | 38.89\% |  | 63.97\% | 77.03\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 68.53\% | 19.66\% | 12.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 71.31\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 18.52\% |  | 65.74\% | 66.94\% | 27.85\% | 29.63\% |  | 59.05\% | 63.31\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Pointe Coupee Parish<br>Total Public Population: 2,992

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 17.86\% |  | 10.43\% | 14.03\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 27.99\% |  | 10.15\% | 16.57\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.92\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.97\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.18\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.82\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 33 | 6.37\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 56.56\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 26.67\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 15.27\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.51\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.51\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>Rapides Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>23,832

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.87\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.87\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 98.55\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.74\% | 99.19\% | 99.28\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.73\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 98.29\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.52\% | 98.69\% | 98.29\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.72\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 98.27\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.57\% | 99.20\% | 98.27\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.64\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.31\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.46\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 47.50\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 78.21\% | 39.13\% | 44.58\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 79.57\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 48.84\% |  | 67.09\% | 80.10\% | 33.84\% | 44.96\% |  | 73.54\% | 82.10\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 33.45\% |  | 62.50\% | 64.27\% | 26.70\% | 35.19\% |  | 63.98\% | 70.20\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 28.26\% |  | 66.30\% | 67.22\% | 28.07\% | 28.99\% |  | 66.12\% | 71.17\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 27.35\% |  | 62.09\% | 62.26\% | 23.34\% | 32.05\% |  | 63.97\% | 66.07\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 27.27\% |  | 58.44\% | 55.59\% | 19.66\% | 25.54\% |  | 62.01\% | 69.05\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 42.74\% |  | 65.74\% | 66.33\% | 27.85\% | 48.39\% |  | 59.05\% | 63.02\% |


| $\underline{\text { Discipline Percents - all incidents }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 10.00\% |  | 10.43\% | 7.84\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 14.55\% |  | 10.15\% | 8.87\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.08\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.04\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.14\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.25\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 12 | 0.36\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 52.72\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 30.96\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 11.03\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 3.78\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.50\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 5.28\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{h}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Red River Parish<br>School Year: 2006-2007<br>Total Public Population:<br>1,537

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 50.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 64.84\% | 39.13\% | 30.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 63.74\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 26.32\% |  | 67.09\% | 64.76\% | 33.84\% | 21.05\% |  | 73.54\% | 66.67\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 62.20\% | 26.70\% | 75.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 58.54\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 26.67\% |  | 66.30\% | 50.00\% | 28.07\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.12\% | 58.93\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 43.96\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 49.45\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 46.15\% |  | 58.44\% | 48.65\% | 19.66\% | 15.38\% |  | 62.01\% | 53.15\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 12.50\% |  | 65.74\% | 68.49\% | 27.85\% | 12.50\% |  | 59.05\% | 53.42\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 21.15\% |  | 10.43\% | 20.09\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 9.68\% |  | 10.15\% | 5.94\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 1.05\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.77\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1.10\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 57.32\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 35.98\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 5.49\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.22\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.22\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Richland Parish<br>Total Public Population: 3,372

# Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education 

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 97.37\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 97.37\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 97.30\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 97.30\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 95.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.59\% | 98.69\% | 95.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 97.88\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 97.37\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 18.60\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 48.37\% | 39.13\% | 18.60\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 48.84\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 10.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 64.55\% | 33.84\% | 6.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 60.45\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 5.26\% |  | 62.50\% | 57.60\% | 26.70\% | 10.53\% |  | 63.98\% | 55.76\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 13.51\% |  | 66.30\% | 64.96\% | 28.07\% | 13.51\% |  | 66.12\% | 57.69\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 10.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 61.48\% | 23.34\% | 5.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 58.61\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 50.89\% | 19.66\% | 16.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 52.68\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 28.57\% |  | 65.74\% | 64.55\% | 27.85\% | 28.57\% |  | 59.05\% | 50.00\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Sabine Parish <br> Total Public Population: <br> 4,209

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 97.87\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 97.87\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.62\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.62\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 95.92\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 95.92\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 89.47\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 89.47\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 38.30\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 68.85\% | 39.13\% | 29.79\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 70.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 25.42\% |  | 67.09\% | 68.30\% | 33.84\% | 18.64\% |  | 73.54\% | 73.96\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 23.08\% |  | 62.50\% | 58.72\% | 26.70\% | 12.82\% |  | 63.98\% | 66.81\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 26.19\% |  | 66.30\% | 76.57\% | 28.07\% | 23.81\% |  | 66.12\% | 74.06\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 29.17\% |  | 62.09\% | 66.93\% | 23.34\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 76.77\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.33\% |  | 58.44\% | 56.59\% | 19.66\% | 16.33\% |  | 62.01\% | 65.50\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 26.32\% |  | 65.74\% | 72.93\% | 27.85\% | 26.32\% |  | 59.05\% | 58.65\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 12.26\% |  | 10.43\% | 5.93\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 19.11\% |  | 10.15\% | 8.47\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 1.11\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.59\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.10\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 9 | 1.45\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 61.63\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 29.48\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 8.70\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.18\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.18\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. Bernard Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>3,752

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 97.06\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 97.06\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 21.88\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 63.29\% | 39.13\% | 25.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 62.32\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 37.84\% |  | 67.09\% | 75.00\% | 33.84\% | 21.62\% |  | 73.54\% | 65.18\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 14.81\% |  | 62.50\% | 65.12\% | 26.70\% | 11.11\% |  | 63.98\% | 67.91\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 28.21\% |  | 66.30\% | 64.76\% | 28.07\% | 23.08\% |  | 66.12\% | 66.08\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 29.41\% |  | 62.09\% | 57.14\% | 23.34\% | 23.53\% |  | 63.97\% | 53.68\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.67\% |  | 58.44\% | 56.93\% | 19.66\% | 11.11\% |  | 62.01\% | 55.84\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 19.05\% |  | 65.74\% | 74.57\% | 27.85\% | 19.05\% |  | 59.05\% | 68.40\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 14.67\% |  | 10.43\% | 6.00\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 18.39\% |  | 10.15\% | 11.64\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.21\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.12\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 65.14\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 27.83\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 7.03\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: <br> St. Charles Parish <br> Total Public Population: <br> 9,652

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.84\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.84\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 97.62\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 97.62\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 97.47\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.85\% | 98.69\% | 97.47\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.85\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.85\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.70\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.70\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 59.34\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 79.01\% | 39.13\% | 51.65\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 77.24\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 28.07\% |  | 67.09\% | 71.75\% | 33.84\% | 38.60\% |  | 73.54\% | 82.34\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 40.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 74.85\% | 26.70\% | 31.25\% |  | 63.98\% | 76.64\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 42.86\% |  | 66.30\% | 80.92\% | 28.07\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.12\% | 79.17\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 34.18\% |  | 62.09\% | 76.02\% | 23.34\% | 35.44\% |  | 63.97\% | 73.90\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 19.18\% |  | 58.44\% | 73.26\% | 19.66\% | 20.55\% |  | 62.01\% | 72.21\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 50.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 81.41\% | 27.85\% | 46.67\% |  | 59.05\% | 68.77\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 22.27\% |  | 10.43\% | 18.04\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 2.10\% |  | 10.15\% | 1.72\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.14\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 52.14\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 34.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 12.06\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.79\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.79\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. Helena Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>1,276

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 98.85\% | 99.20\% | 95.45\% |  | 99.70\% | 98.85\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 15.38\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 33.33\% | 39.13\% | 30.77\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 48.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 21.43\% |  | 67.09\% | 51.39\% | 33.84\% | 0.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 59.72\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 4.35\% |  | 62.50\% | 15.38\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 14.29\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 11.11\% |  | 66.30\% | 26.76\% | 28.07\% | 5.56\% |  | 66.12\% | 29.58\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 18.46\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 26.15\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 13.64\% |  | 58.44\% | 33.33\% | 19.66\% | 13.64\% |  | 62.01\% | 24.14\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 75.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 71.01\% | 27.85\% | 0.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 26.09\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 26.77\% |  | 10.43\% | 18.75\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 15.75\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.36\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.51\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 6 | 2.61\% | yes |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 61.50\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 33.80\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 2.82\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.88\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.88\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: St. James Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>4,149

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.58\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.58\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.59\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.59\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.17\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.58\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.65\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.65\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.31\% | 99.20\% | 96.55\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.31\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 90.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 90.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.62\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 42.11\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 71.23\% | 39.13\% | 39.47\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 70.78\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 29.41\% |  | 67.09\% | 64.14\% | 33.84\% | 41.18\% |  | 73.54\% | 70.46\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 28.57\% |  | 62.50\% | 59.92\% | 26.70\% | 10.71\% |  | 63.98\% | 65.70\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 21.95\% |  | 66.30\% | 70.42\% | 28.07\% | 17.07\% |  | 66.12\% | 63.33\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 31.25\% |  | 62.09\% | 65.72\% | 23.34\% | 25.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 61.13\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 24.14\% |  | 58.44\% | 64.24\% | 19.66\% | 20.69\% |  | 62.01\% | 61.11\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 20.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 84.03\% | 27.85\% | 20.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 64.26\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 11.83\% |  | 10.43\% | 7.41\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 19.48\% |  | 10.15\% | 15.73\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 1.91\% |  | 0.37\% | 2.19\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.24\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 12 | 2.33\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 78.62\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 13.30\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 7.84\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.24\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.24\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. John the Baptist Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>6,724

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.68\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 98.68\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.79\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.79\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 98.46\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.78\% | 98.69\% | 98.46\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.78\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 95.74\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.35\% | 98.53\% | 97.78\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.08\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 36.51\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 61.58\% | 39.13\% | 28.57\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 61.34\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 29.07\% |  | 67.09\% | 59.45\% | 33.84\% | 32.56\% |  | 73.54\% | 70.84\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 30.26\% |  | 62.50\% | 55.37\% | 26.70\% | 28.95\% |  | 63.98\% | 60.33\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 17.33\% |  | 66.30\% | 56.01\% | 28.07\% | 20.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 57.39\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 32.31\% |  | 62.09\% | 58.35\% | 23.34\% | 23.08\% |  | 63.97\% | 56.32\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 31.82\% |  | 58.44\% | 58.35\% | 19.66\% | 12.12\% |  | 62.01\% | 61.92\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 40.43\% |  | 65.74\% | 53.44\% | 27.85\% | 31.11\% |  | 59.05\% | 45.33\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 25.25\% |  | 10.43\% | 21.13\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 22.08\% |  | 10.15\% | 15.13\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.08\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.09\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.17\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.70\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 33 | 3.24\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 85.58\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 5.45\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 8.51\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.45\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.45\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. Landry Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>15,382

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 99.49\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.61\% | 99.77\% | 99.49\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.61\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.90\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.90\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.81\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.91\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.56\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.44\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.16\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.16\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 97.26\% |  | 98.93\% | 97.93\% | 98.53\% | 97.22\% |  | 99.01\% | 98.83\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 42.13\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 68.38\% | 39.13\% | 37.06\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 75.75\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 41.67\% |  | 67.09\% | 72.74\% | 33.84\% | 41.15\% |  | 73.54\% | 80.97\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 30.39\% |  | 62.50\% | 59.87\% | 26.70\% | 27.47\% |  | 63.98\% | 69.64\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.30\% | 68.68\% | 28.07\% | 37.16\% |  | 66.12\% | 74.60\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 19.76\% |  | 62.09\% | 61.96\% | 23.34\% | 22.16\% |  | 63.97\% | 67.30\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 27.59\% |  | 58.44\% | 59.00\% | 19.66\% | 27.35\% |  | 62.01\% | 66.32\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 36.99\% |  | 65.74\% | 71.63\% | 27.85\% | 31.94\% |  | 59.05\% | 62.32\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 6.34\% |  | 10.43\% | 3.66\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 14.56\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.50\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 1.60\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.68\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.41\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.40\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 24 | 1.11\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 55.87\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 23.96\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 18.61\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.56\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.56\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. Martin Parish<br>Total Public Population:<br>8,595

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.97\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 98.97\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 97.67\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 97.67\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 62.34\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 80.75\% | 39.13\% | 55.84\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 78.13\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 35.29\% |  | 67.09\% | 65.91\% | 33.84\% | 35.29\% |  | 73.54\% | 67.48\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 25.77\% |  | 62.50\% | 52.50\% | 26.70\% | 15.46\% |  | 63.98\% | 49.54\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 38.16\% |  | 66.30\% | 61.44\% | 28.07\% | 30.26\% |  | 66.12\% | 60.49\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 25.76\% |  | 62.09\% | 54.51\% | 23.34\% | 15.15\% |  | 63.97\% | 56.91\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 25.93\% |  | 58.44\% | 51.86\% | 19.66\% | 24.07\% |  | 62.01\% | 51.12\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 23.26\% |  | 65.74\% | 73.27\% | 27.85\% | 23.26\% |  | 59.05\% | 58.49\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 10.33\% |  | 10.43\% | 9.79\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 15.38\% |  | 10.15\% | 12.78\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 2.87\% |  | 0.37\% | 2.00\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.22\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.09\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 66.25\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 20.77\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 10.70\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 2.18\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.10\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 2.18\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. Mary Parish<br>Total Public Population: 9,792

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.85\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.85\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.73\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.80\% | 99.62\% | 98.73\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 98.57\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 99.29\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 97.69\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.84\% | 98.69\% | 97.69\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.84\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.53\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.53\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 97.87\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.89\% | 98.53\% | 97.87\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.21\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 35.34\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 67.18\% | 39.13\% | 27.07\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 63.10\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 23.38\% |  | 67.09\% | 64.92\% | 33.84\% | 20.13\% |  | 73.54\% | 70.01\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 29.11\% |  | 62.50\% | 66.53\% | 26.70\% | 20.25\% |  | 63.98\% | 61.09\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 23.57\% |  | 66.30\% | 62.46\% | 28.07\% | 17.14\% |  | 66.12\% | 57.81\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 23.08\% |  | 62.09\% | 63.26\% | 23.34\% | 15.38\% |  | 63.97\% | 56.28\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 24.10\% |  | 58.44\% | 61.42\% | 19.66\% | 20.48\% |  | 62.01\% | 61.16\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 34.04\% |  | 65.74\% | 67.68\% | 27.85\% | 14.89\% |  | 59.05\% | 55.33\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>St. Tammany Parish<br>35,443

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.95\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 99.77\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.95\% | 99.87\% | 99.77\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.95\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 99.75\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.95\% | 99.19\% | 99.75\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.95\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 99.69\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.82\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.78\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 99.46\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.78\% | 99.20\% | 99.46\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.74\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.45\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.45\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 59.78\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 86.18\% | 39.13\% | 59.33\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 85.81\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 47.79\% |  | 67.09\% | 81.64\% | 33.84\% | 49.18\% |  | 73.54\% | 86.17\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 40.29\% |  | 62.50\% | 78.59\% | 26.70\% | 35.07\% |  | 63.98\% | 77.82\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 45.14\% |  | 66.30\% | 81.68\% | 28.07\% | 43.14\% |  | 66.12\% | 82.90\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 41.98\% |  | 62.09\% | 75.67\% | 23.34\% | 36.11\% |  | 63.97\% | 79.33\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 32.70\% |  | 58.44\% | 75.12\% | 19.66\% | 32.15\% |  | 62.01\% | 76.89\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 35.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 79.74\% | 27.85\% | 32.92\% |  | 59.05\% | 74.01\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 12.38\% |  | 10.43\% | 7.72\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 12.61\% |  | 10.15\% | 6.55\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.08\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.06\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.03\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.23\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 27 | 0.50\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 46.99\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 27.71\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 23.16\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 1.59\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.54\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 2.13\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Tangipahoa Parish Total Public Population: 19,393

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7} \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.92\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.92\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.93\% | 99.87\% | 99.64\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.93\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 98.19\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 98.80\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.84\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.76\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 98.90\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.85\% | 98.69\% | 99.45\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.77\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.84\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 97.39\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.90\% | 98.53\% | 97.37\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.32\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 40.22\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 60.17\% | 39.13\% | 34.64\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 64.71\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 27.40\% |  | 67.09\% | 55.39\% | 33.84\% | 26.69\% |  | 73.54\% | 63.57\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 30.12\% |  | 62.50\% | 53.87\% | 26.70\% | 24.10\% |  | 63.98\% | 57.44\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 22.02\% |  | 66.30\% | 58.39\% | 28.07\% | 21.56\% |  | 66.12\% | 68.69\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 21.98\% |  | 62.09\% | 53.40\% | 23.34\% | 17.03\% |  | 63.97\% | 62.56\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 19.44\% |  | 58.44\% | 57.61\% | 19.66\% | 20.56\% |  | 62.01\% | 58.27\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 25.22\% |  | 65.74\% | 59.02\% | 27.85\% | 12.28\% |  | 59.05\% | 57.43\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 20.59\% |  | 10.43\% | 17.15\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 21.64\% |  | 10.15\% | 15.46\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.03\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.01\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.03\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.24\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 85 | 3.54\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 50.74\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 25.35\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 22.08\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.84\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.84\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { School System: } & \text { Tensas Parish } \\ \text { Total Public Population: } & \mathbf{8 0 8}\end{array}$

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

Louisiana Department of Education<br>Paul G. Pastorek<br>Superintendent of Education

## Total Public Population:

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 53.85\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 42.42\% | 39.13\% | 46.15\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 60.61\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 14.29\% |  | 67.09\% | 55.81\% | 33.84\% | 14.29\% |  | 73.54\% | 53.49\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 9.09\% |  | 62.50\% | 38.60\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 50.88\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 40.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 65.12\% | 28.07\% | 20.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 51.16\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 7.69\% |  | 62.09\% | 61.11\% | 23.34\% | 7.69\% |  | 63.97\% | 57.41\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 33.33\% |  | 58.44\% | 37.50\% | 19.66\% | 66.67\% |  | 62.01\% | 46.88\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 20.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 44.83\% | 27.85\% | 0.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 48.28\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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School System: Terrebonne Parish<br>Total Public Population: 19,032

# Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education 

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.85\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.85\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.84\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.84\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.69\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.69\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.92\% | 99.20\% | 99.37\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.84\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 98.18\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.57\% | 98.53\% | 98.18\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.65\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 36.65\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 69.55\% | 39.13\% | 33.94\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 70.39\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 26.47\% |  | 67.09\% | 68.67\% | 33.84\% | 29.41\% |  | 73.54\% | 75.48\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 25.83\% |  | 62.50\% | 59.27\% | 26.70\% | 20.42\% |  | 63.98\% | 61.56\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 17.74\% |  | 66.30\% | 65.03\% | 28.07\% | 27.42\% |  | 66.12\% | 73.89\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 18.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 60.40\% | 23.34\% | 14.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 64.81\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 10.69\% |  | 58.44\% | 46.98\% | 19.66\% | 14.47\% |  | 62.01\% | 56.04\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 30.91\% |  | 65.74\% | 56.35\% | 27.85\% | 23.64\% |  | 59.05\% | 52.73\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 4.04\% |  | 10.43\% | 2.41\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 20.81\% |  | 10.15\% | 14.49\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.04\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 63.18\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 24.46\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 10.29\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 1.43\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.65\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 2.07\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{h}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Union Parish<br>Total Public Population: 3,079

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 96.67\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 96.67\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 96.77\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 96.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 98.75\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.38\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 15.63\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 48.36\% | 39.13\% | 9.38\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 55.87\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 20.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 52.73\% | 33.84\% | 16.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 54.55\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 7.89\% |  | 62.50\% | 47.85\% | 26.70\% | 10.53\% |  | 63.98\% | 48.39\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 16.22\% |  | 66.30\% | 51.08\% | 28.07\% | 18.92\% |  | 66.12\% | 56.45\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 29.03\% |  | 62.09\% | 57.69\% | 23.34\% | 22.58\% |  | 63.97\% | 64.84\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 5.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 41.05\% | 19.66\% | 2.70\% |  | 62.01\% | 46.82\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 36.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 53.75\% | 27.85\% | 20.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 53.75\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 1.67\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.58\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 19.83\% |  | 10.15\% | 10.68\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.21\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.06\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.42\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.35\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.91\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 66.67\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 27.61\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 4.48\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.24\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.24\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Vermilion Parish Total Public Population: $\quad \mathbf{9 , 0 7 2}$

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 98.72\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 98.72\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 99.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.46\% | 99.87\% | 99.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.64\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 96.20\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 96.20\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 97.56\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 97.56\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 50.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 77.95\% | 39.13\% | 47.44\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 78.66\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 31.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 71.91\% | 33.84\% | 35.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 78.89\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 39.29\% |  | 62.50\% | 70.77\% | 26.70\% | 27.68\% |  | 63.98\% | 67.56\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 37.50\% |  | 66.30\% | 78.04\% | 28.07\% | 28.85\% |  | 66.12\% | 75.83\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 27.85\% |  | 62.09\% | 76.64\% | 23.34\% | 26.58\% |  | 63.97\% | 74.02\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 26.32\% |  | 58.44\% | 68.79\% | 19.66\% | 22.81\% |  | 62.01\% | 74.48\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 46.34\% |  | 65.74\% | 68.95\% | 27.85\% | 34.15\% |  | 59.05\% | 61.64\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 23.27\% |  | 10.43\% | 17.33\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 15.91\% |  | 10.15\% | 9.65\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.49\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.86\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.31\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 83.83\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 10.15\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 4.85\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.17\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.17\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Vernon Parish<br>Total Public Population: 9,559

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.85\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.85\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.84\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.84\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 99.19\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 99.19\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.83\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.83\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 98.86\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 98.86\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.68\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.68\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 98.31\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.80\% | 98.53\% | 98.31\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.80\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 57.84\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 80.06\% | 39.13\% | 57.84\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 83.81\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 49.57\% |  | 67.09\% | 84.29\% | 33.84\% | 36.75\% |  | 73.54\% | 84.44\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 39.52\% |  | 62.50\% | 77.17\% | 26.70\% | 30.65\% |  | 63.98\% | 79.82\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 41.57\% |  | 66.30\% | 82.75\% | 28.07\% | 50.56\% |  | 66.12\% | 90.59\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 25.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 71.97\% | 23.34\% | 26.14\% |  | 63.97\% | 78.98\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 34.44\% |  | 58.44\% | 70.90\% | 19.66\% | 22.22\% |  | 62.01\% | 75.08\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 33.90\% |  | 65.74\% | 77.26\% | 27.85\% | 37.29\% |  | 59.05\% | 63.98\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE
School System: Washington Parish
Total Public Population: $\mathbf{5 , 2 1 0}$

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 13.30\% |  | 10.43\% | 9.83\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 1.85\% |  | 10.15\% | 0.70\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.11\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.04\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 54.79\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 25.51\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 18.62\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.08\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.08\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Webster Parish<br>Total Public Population: 7,316

# Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education 

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.78\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.78\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 44.16\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 63.25\% | 39.13\% | 33.77\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 65.66\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 27.14\% |  | 67.09\% | 62.70\% | 33.84\% | 20.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 69.88\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 18.48\% |  | 62.50\% | 59.39\% | 26.70\% | 14.13\% |  | 63.98\% | 59.18\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 15.73\% |  | 66.30\% | 68.61\% | 28.07\% | 12.36\% |  | 66.12\% | 71.08\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 36.51\% |  | 62.09\% | 61.62\% | 23.34\% | 22.22\% |  | 63.97\% | 64.52\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 24.14\% |  | 58.44\% | 49.56\% | 19.66\% | 5.08\% |  | 62.01\% | 53.32\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 16.13\% |  | 65.74\% | 62.81\% | 27.85\% | 12.90\% |  | 59.05\% | 57.43\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 10.37\% |  | 10.43\% | 8.37\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 16.31\% |  | 10.15\% | 8.32\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.32\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.06\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.66\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 77.09\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 18.93\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 2.51\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.46\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.46\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: West Baton Rouge Parish <br> Total Public Population: 3,531

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.03\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.03\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.53\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.05\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.05\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 22.50\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 62.04\% | 39.13\% | 30.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 61.63\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 40.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 57.00\% | 33.84\% | 31.43\% |  | 73.54\% | 71.98\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 22.58\% |  | 62.50\% | 51.32\% | 26.70\% | 12.90\% |  | 63.98\% | 56.14\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 13.16\% |  | 66.30\% | 61.02\% | 28.07\% | 18.42\% |  | 66.12\% | 66.95\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 12.50\% |  | 62.09\% | 55.51\% | 23.34\% | 12.50\% |  | 63.97\% | 60.79\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 12.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 55.14\% | 19.66\% | 12.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 61.21\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 11.11\% |  | 65.74\% | 73.93\% | 27.85\% | 22.22\% |  | 59.05\% | 66.67\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 26.95\% |  | 10.43\% | 19.65\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 5.56\% |  | 10.15\% | 1.54\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.21\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 2.05\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.67\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 58.29\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 29.90\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 10.80\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.01\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.01\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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PERFORMANCE PROFILE
School System: West Carroll Parish
Total Public Population: 2,293

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 92.31\% |  | 99.74\% | 99.35\% | 99.19\% | 92.31\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.35\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 37.50\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 69.48\% | 39.13\% | 31.25\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 70.13\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 53.33\% |  | 67.09\% | 74.31\% | 33.84\% | 33.33\% |  | 73.54\% | 73.61\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 46.67\% |  | 62.50\% | 69.43\% | 26.70\% | 26.67\% |  | 63.98\% | 73.72\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 15.38\% |  | 66.30\% | 76.47\% | 28.07\% | 30.77\% |  | 66.12\% | 75.82\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 16.67\% |  | 62.09\% | 72.67\% | 23.34\% | 27.78\% |  | 63.97\% | 76.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 6.25\% |  | 58.44\% | 72.78\% | 19.66\% | 18.75\% |  | 62.01\% | 72.78\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 20.00\% |  | 65.74\% | 79.39\% | 27.85\% | 20.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 63.36\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 1.60\% |  | 10.43\% | 2.50\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 9.62\% |  | 10.15\% | 7.85\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.32\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.52\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.34\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 51.60\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 34.70\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 12.79\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.91\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.91\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: West Feliciana Parish<br>2,461<br>Total Public Population:

# Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education 

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.





Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$

School System: Winn Parish<br>Total Public Population: 2,710

PERFORMANCE PROFILE

Louisiana Department of Education<br>Paul G. Pastorek<br>Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement<br>Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.31\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.31\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 26.47\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 67.05\% | 39.13\% | 29.41\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 72.16\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 23.53\% |  | 67.09\% | 71.59\% | 33.84\% | 20.59\% |  | 73.54\% | 73.30\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 54.55\% |  | 62.50\% | 78.31\% | 26.70\% | 40.91\% |  | 63.98\% | 69.28\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 28.57\% |  | 66.30\% | 65.36\% | 28.07\% | 32.14\% |  | 66.12\% | 77.65\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 4.76\% |  | 62.09\% | 72.67\% | 23.34\% | 10.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 78.49\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 37.50\% |  | 58.44\% | 67.07\% | 19.66\% | 31.25\% |  | 62.01\% | 70.12\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 33.33\% |  | 65.74\% | 76.55\% | 27.85\% | 33.33\% |  | 59.05\% | 72.92\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 12.30\% |  | 10.43\% | 10.29\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 4.92\% |  | 10.15\% | 3.67\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.22\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.29\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State |  |  |
|  | State | Local | Target |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 87.13\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 8.58\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 3.63\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.66\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.66\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: <br> City of Monroe School District <br> Total Public Population: <br> 9,020

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.83\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 99.03\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 97.97\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.37\% | 98.69\% | 98.64\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.21\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 98.95\% |  | 99.68\% | 99.25\% | 99.20\% | 98.96\% |  | 99.70\% | 99.25\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.51\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.51\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 26.72\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 69.18\% | 39.13\% | 25.86\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 74.54\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 52.43\% |  | 67.09\% | 73.78\% | 33.84\% | 35.92\% |  | 73.54\% | 82.24\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 26.09\% |  | 62.50\% | 62.47\% | 26.70\% | 25.22\% |  | 63.98\% | 72.16\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 24.37\% |  | 66.30\% | 67.85\% | 28.07\% | 27.97\% |  | 66.12\% | 67.50\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 18.92\% |  | 62.09\% | 51.90\% | 23.34\% | 19.05\% |  | 63.97\% | 53.33\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 15.79\% |  | 58.44\% | 42.29\% | 19.66\% | 9.38\% |  | 62.01\% | 43.98\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 17.95\% |  | 65.74\% | 67.65\% | 27.85\% | 28.95\% |  | 59.05\% | 55.42\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 4.61\% |  | 10.43\% | 1.65\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 21.87\% |  | 10.15\% | 12.62\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.31\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.09\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.21\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 23 | 1.66\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 73.92\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 20.75\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 4.39\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.94\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.94\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: <br> City of Bogalusa School District <br> Total Public Population: <br> 2,367

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.23\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.23\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 39.22\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 64.54\% | 39.13\% | 50.98\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 74.47\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 25.71\% |  | 67.09\% | 50.00\% | 33.84\% | 25.71\% |  | 73.54\% | 60.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 28.26\% |  | 62.50\% | 47.69\% | 26.70\% | 32.61\% |  | 63.98\% | 63.08\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 11.76\% |  | 66.30\% | 54.10\% | 28.07\% | 11.76\% |  | 66.12\% | 57.38\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 16.67\% |  | 62.09\% | 48.65\% | 23.34\% | 5.56\% |  | 63.97\% | 60.91\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 40.88\% | 19.66\% | 3.33\% |  | 62.01\% | 49.28\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 29.41\% |  | 65.74\% | 52.13\% | 27.85\% | 5.88\% |  | 59.05\% | 48.94\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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PERFORMANCE PROFILE
School System: Zachary Community School District

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007 Total Public Population: 3,882

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 97.87\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 97.87\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 99.62\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 99.62\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 99.63\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 99.63\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 92.31\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 92.31\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 59.57\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 85.90\% | 39.13\% | 74.47\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 92.31\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 41.67\% |  | 67.09\% | 86.05\% | 33.84\% | 44.44\% |  | 73.54\% | 91.47\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 36.96\% |  | 62.50\% | 79.23\% | 26.70\% | 41.30\% |  | 63.98\% | 83.08\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 50.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 85.81\% | 28.07\% | 46.43\% |  | 66.12\% | 87.54\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 40.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 76.12\% | 23.34\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 86.57\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 25.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 72.03\% | 19.66\% | 16.67\% |  | 62.01\% | 81.61\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 30.77\% |  | 65.74\% | 74.79\% | 27.85\% | 15.38\% |  | 59.05\% | 65.84\% |


| $\underline{\text { Discipline Percents - all incidents }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 13.89\% |  | 10.43\% | 9.88\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 3.85\% |  | 10.15\% | 1.06\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.21\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.43\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.39\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.26\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 58.00\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 21.14\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 19.14\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.71\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.71\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: City of Baker School District<br>Total Public Population:<br>2,189

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.22\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.17\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 99.17\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 98.70\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 99.35\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.06\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 19.05\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 35.94\% | 39.13\% | 23.81\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 49.22\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 10.53\% |  | 67.09\% | 31.40\% | 33.84\% | 5.26\% |  | 73.54\% | 44.63\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 16.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 31.61\% | 26.70\% | 20.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 34.19\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 29.87\% | 28.07\% | 11.76\% |  | 66.12\% | 37.66\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 5.26\% |  | 62.09\% | 37.50\% | 23.34\% | 26.32\% |  | 63.97\% | 49.31\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 7.41\% |  | 58.44\% | 30.72\% | 19.66\% | 11.11\% |  | 62.01\% | 41.57\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 6.67\% |  | 65.74\% | 35.85\% | 27.85\% | 13.33\% |  | 59.05\% | 33.96\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 24.23\% |  | 10.43\% | 21.70\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 5.21\% |  | 10.15\% | 2.99\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.04\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.23\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.40\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 46.22\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 27.56\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 24.89\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 1.33\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 1.33\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Special School District \#1 <br> Total Public Population: <br> 579

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | "2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | n/a | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | n/a |  | 99.93\% | n/a | 99.87\% | n/a |  | 99.93\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | n/a |  | 99.90\% | n/a | 99.62\% | n/a |  | 99.91\% | n/a |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 85.71\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 85.71\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | n/a | 39.13\% | 0.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | n/a |  | 67.09\% | n/a | 33.84\% | n/a |  | 73.54\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | n/a |  | 62.50\% | n/a | 26.70\% | n/a |  | 63.98\% | n/a |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 25.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 20.00\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 20.00\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | n/a | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | n/a |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 14.29\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 50.00\% |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | 50.00\% |  | 59.05\% | 25.00\% |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:
RSD - UNO New Beginnings Schools Foundation
School Year: 2006-2007
Total Public Population:
659
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 37.50\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 58.49\% | 39.13\% | 25.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 52.83\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 50.00\% | 33.84\% | 0.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 56.25\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 20.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 26.67\% | 26.70\% | 20.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 26.67\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 11.11\% |  | 66.30\% | 46.67\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 40.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 37.50\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 35.71\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.67\% |  | 58.44\% | 33.96\% | 19.66\% | 16.67\% |  | 62.01\% | 39.62\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | n/a | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | n/a | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | n/a | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | n/a | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | n/a | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | n/a |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 95.83\% |  | 99.68\% | n/a | 99.20\% | 95.65\% |  | 99.70\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 7.69\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | n/a | 39.13\% | 7.69\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 20.00\% |  | 67.09\% | n/a | 33.84\% | 46.67\% |  | 73.54\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 17.65\% |  | 62.50\% | n/a | 26.70\% | 17.65\% |  | 63.98\% | n/a |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 17.65\% |  | 66.30\% | n/a | 28.07\% | 23.53\% |  | 66.12\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | n/a | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | n/a |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 16.67\% |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | 21.74\% |  | 62.01\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 42.86\% |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | 42.86\% |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{h}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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PERFORMANCE PROFILE School System: Louisiana School for the Visually Impaired Total Public Population: $\mathbf{8 7}$

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | n/a | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | n/a | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | n/a | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 66.67\% |  | 99.61\% | n/a | 98.69\% | 66.67\% |  | 99.65\% | n/a |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | n/a | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 83.33\% |  | 98.93\% | 100.00\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 100.00\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 25.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | n/a | 39.13\% | 0.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | n/a | 33.84\% | 25.00\% |  | 73.54\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 16.67\% |  | 62.50\% | n/a | 26.70\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.98\% | n/a |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.30\% | n/a | 28.07\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.12\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 33.33\% |  | 62.09\% | n/a | 23.34\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.97\% | n/a |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 14.29\% |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | 14.29\% |  | 62.01\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 0.00\% |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | 20.00\% |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:
Louisiana Special Education Center
School Year: 2006-2007
Total Public Population:
43
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | n/a | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | n/a | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | n/a | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | n/a |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | n/a | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | n/a |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | n/a | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | n/a |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | n/a | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | n/a | 39.13\% | 0.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | n/a | 33.84\% | 0.00\% |  | 73.54\% | n/a |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.50\% | n/a | 26.70\% | 50.00\% |  | 63.98\% | n/a |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.30\% | n/a | 28.07\% | 100.00\% |  | 66.12\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | n/a | 23.34\% | 50.00\% |  | 63.97\% | n/a |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | 20.00\% |  | 62.01\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 33.33\% |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | 33.33\% |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: New Vision Learning Academy <br> Total Public Population: <br> 322

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | n/a |  | 99.61\% | n/a | 98.69\% | n/a |  | 99.65\% | n/a |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | n/a |  | 99.68\% | n/a | 99.20\% | n/a |  | 99.70\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 62.16\% | 39.13\% | 0.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 70.27\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 83.33\% |  | 67.09\% | 70.83\% | 33.84\% | 50.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 87.50\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 16.67\% |  | 62.50\% | 86.67\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.30\% | 85.71\% | 28.07\% | 33.33\% |  | 66.12\% | 92.86\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | 62.09\% | n/a | 23.34\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | 63.97\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | n/a |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | n/a |  | 62.01\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$
PERFORMANCE PROFILE
School System:
Glencoe Charter School

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

Total Public Population:
366
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2006-07 \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 87.80\% | 39.13\% | 0.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 56.10\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 66.67\% |  | 67.09\% | 97.06\% | 33.84\% | 33.33\% |  | 73.54\% | 85.29\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 33.33\% |  | 62.50\% | 54.84\% | 26.70\% | 16.67\% |  | 63.98\% | 74.19\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 25.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 73.17\% | 28.07\% | 50.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 60.98\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 100.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 72.22\% | 23.34\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 72.22\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 83.87\% | 19.66\% | 50.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 67.74\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: Avoyelles Public Charter School <br> Total Public Population: <br> 596

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.





Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
$\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$

School System: Delhi Charter School<br>472<br>Total Public Population:

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.





Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>Belle Chasse Academy, Inc.<br>Total Public Population:<br>801

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 0.00\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.00\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 0.00\% |  | 10.15\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.00\% | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 33.33\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 38.33\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 28.33\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | n/a | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | n/a | 99.77\% | n/a | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | n/a |  | 99.68\% | n/a | 99.20\% | n/a |  | 99.70\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | n/a | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | n/a | 39.13\% | n/a | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 41.94\% | 33.84\% | 0.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 41.94\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 24.14\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 20.69\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 39.13\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 47.83\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 42.86\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 39.29\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | n/a |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | n/a |  | 62.01\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School Suspensions Out-of-School Expulsions In-School Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 0.00\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 10.71\% |  | 10.15\% | 12.99\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant |  |  |
|  | 1 | 10.00\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 StateTarget Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class $40-79 \%$ of day | 59.32\% | 10.00\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 80.00\% |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class less than $40 \%$ of day Separate School | 16.11\% | 10.00\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Residential Facility Homebound/Hospital | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Correctional Facilities | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or |  |  |  |  |  |
| hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.





Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.
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## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>RSD - Friends of King<br>Total Public Population:<br>428

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | n/a |  | 99.74\% | n/a | 99.19\% | n/a |  | 99.76\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 61.22\% | 39.13\% | 0.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 63.27\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 80.00\% | 33.84\% | 100.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 83.33\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 27.50\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 30.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | n/a |  | 66.30\% | n/a | 28.07\% | n/a |  | 66.12\% | n/a |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 33.33\% |  | 62.09\% | 30.00\% | 23.34\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 50.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 100.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 61.90\% | 19.66\% | 100.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 66.67\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
${ }^{\text {h }}$ 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:
RSD - New Orleans Charter School Foundation
School Year: 2006-2007
Total Public Population:
474
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | n/a |  | 99.61\% | n/a | 98.69\% | n/a |  | 99.65\% | n/a |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 15.09\% | 39.13\% | 50.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 13.21\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 23.68\% | 33.84\% | 16.67\% |  | 73.54\% | 34.21\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 20.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 5.13\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 7.69\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 18.37\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 20.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | n/a |  | 62.09\% | n/a | 23.34\% | n/a |  | 63.97\% | n/a |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 14.81\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 22.22\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: <br> RSD - Choice Foundation <br> Total Public Population: <br> 997

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | n/a |  | 99.68\% | n/a | 99.20\% | n/a |  | 99.70\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 0.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 16.67\% | 39.13\% | 7.69\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 22.22\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 0.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 30.21\% | 33.84\% | 0.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 28.13\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.50\% | 14.29\% | 26.70\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.98\% | 22.86\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 32.26\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 29.03\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 39.06\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 35.94\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | n/a |  | 58.44\% | n/a | 19.66\% | n/a |  | 62.01\% | n/a |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System:<br>RSD - Algiers Charter Schools Association (ACSA)<br>Total Public Population:<br>3,269

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:


A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 99.22\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 99.22\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | 100.00\% |  | 98.93\% | 99.50\% | 98.53\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.01\% | 99.50\% |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 8.33\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 33.73\% | 39.13\% | 25.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 41.96\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 50.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 38.89\% | 33.84\% | 50.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 55.56\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 5.56\% |  | 62.50\% | 23.50\% | 26.70\% | 8.11\% |  | 63.98\% | 23.08\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 19.05\% |  | 66.30\% | 44.08\% | 28.07\% | 19.05\% |  | 66.12\% | 43.60\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 33.33\% |  | 62.09\% | 30.98\% | 23.34\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.97\% | 38.59\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 15.38\% |  | 58.44\% | 53.29\% | 19.66\% | 7.69\% |  | 62.01\% | 48.03\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | 24.14\% |  | 65.74\% | 50.99\% | 27.85\% | 34.48\% |  | 59.05\% | 28.71\% |


| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | 0.00\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.21\% |
|  | 14.75\% | 13.58\% |  | 10.15\% | 11.03\% |
|  | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
|  | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.15\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Significant } \\ \text { Discrepancy? } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 8 | 4.26\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 25.88\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 57.06\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 17.06\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

School System: Recovery School District (RSD) - LDE<br>Total Public Population:

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Suspensions In-School <br> Suspensions Out-of-School <br> Expulsions In-School <br> Expulsions Out-of-School | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
|  | 12.59\% | n/a |  | 10.43\% | n/a |
|  | 14.75\% | n/a |  | 10.15\% | n/a |
|  | 0.39\% | n/a |  | 0.37\% | n/a |
|  | 0.08\% | n/a |  | 0.46\% | n/a |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 10 | 3.52\% | yes |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator 5 f |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class 80\% or more of day Inside Regular Class 40-79\% of day Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day Separate School <br> Residential Facility <br> Homebound/Hospital <br> Correctional Facilities | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 42.35\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
|  | 22.58\% | 40.93\% |  |  |  |
|  | 16.11\% | 16.73\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
|  | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.85\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
|  | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
$\cup \quad$ Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATTON }}$ 

# Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education 

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: RSD - SUNO Institute of Academic Excellence

School Year: 2006-2007
Total Public Population:
329
Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2006-07 } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { Target } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07StateTarget | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | n/a | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | n/a | 99.77\% | n/a | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | n/a | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | n/a | 39.13\% | n/a | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | n/a |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 100.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 78.26\% | 33.84\% | 100.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 69.57\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 66.67\% |  | 62.50\% | 45.00\% | 26.70\% | 33.33\% |  | 63.98\% | 20.00\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 20.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 36.11\% | 28.07\% | 0.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 43.06\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 14.29\% |  | 62.09\% | 47.22\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 63.89\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 12.50\% |  | 58.44\% | 43.42\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 46.05\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# Louisiana Department of 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: <br> RSD - Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) N.O. <br> Total Public Population:

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.


| Statewide Assessment by Grade and Subject - Indicators 3B \& 3C ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math |  |  |  |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State Targe | Regular Ed. |  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 <br> State <br> Target | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Participation rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 99.80\% | 100.00\% | 98.68\% | 99.91\% | 100.00\% | 99.77\% | 100.00\% | 98.71\% | 99.92\% | 100.00\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% | 99.87\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.93\% | 100.00\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.61\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.90\% | 100.00\% | 99.62\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.91\% | 100.00\% |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.09\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.74\% | 100.00\% | 99.19\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.76\% | 100.00\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.59\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.61\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.65\% | 100.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 99.15\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.68\% | 100.00\% | 99.20\% | 100.00\% |  | 99.70\% | 100.00\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 98.47\% | n/a |  | 98.93\% | n/a | 98.53\% | n/a |  | 99.01\% | n/a |
| Proficiency rate (all assessment types) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | 43.00\% | 100.00\% | 41.80\% | 68.36\% | 65.96\% | 39.13\% | 50.00\% | 47.40\% | 68.60\% | 48.94\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | 34.43\% | 20.00\% |  | 67.09\% | 60.47\% | 33.84\% | 20.00\% |  | 73.54\% | 55.81\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.65\% | 14.29\% |  | 62.50\% | 57.48\% | 26.70\% | 28.57\% |  | 63.98\% | 62.99\% |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ grade | 29.93\% | 50.00\% |  | 66.30\% | 69.77\% | 28.07\% | 50.00\% |  | 66.12\% | 53.49\% |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 26.73\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.09\% | 70.37\% | 23.34\% | 0.00\% |  | 63.97\% | 70.37\% |
| $8{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | 21.74\% | 0.00\% |  | 58.44\% | 82.61\% | 19.66\% | 0.00\% |  | 62.01\% | 56.52\% |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ grade | 31.31\% | n/a |  | 65.74\% | n/a | 27.85\% | n/a |  | 59.05\% | n/a |




Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
l 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

# $\frac{\text { Louisiana Department of }}{\text { EDUCATMON }}$ 

## Louisiana Department of Education <br> Paul G. Pastorek <br> Superintendent of Education

## PERFORMANCE PROFILE

## School System: RSD - Middle School Advocates, Inc. <br> Total Public Population:

School Year: 2006-2007

Louisiana's six-year State Performance Plan (SPP)-Part B (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2011) addresses new reporting requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004. The State Performance Plan, which was developed with broad stakeholder input, sets annual targets for improvement in indicator areas determined to directly influence successful student outcomes. Further, the plan describes activities to achieve its targets, with annual reporting of the state's progress beginning in February 2007.

As part of the annual Performance Profiles, Louisiana will disseminate information to the public about the performance of local education agencies in indicator areas 1-14 of the SPP in addition to other outcome measures for students receiving special education services. Indicators listed in bold are reported below; the remainder of the indicators will be added in subsequent Performance Profiles. Annual state targets for the SPP indicators are provided where appropriate. The indicators fall into the following categories:

FAPE in the LRE<br>1. Graduation with a High School Diploma<br>2. Dropping Out of High School<br>3. Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessment<br>4. Suspension/Expulsion Rates<br>5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21)<br>6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5)<br>7. Outcomes for Children Ages 3-5<br>8. Parental Involvement

Disproportionality<br>9. Special Education and Related Services<br>10. Specific Disability Categories<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Child Find<br>11. Evaluation Timelines<br>Effective General Supervision Part B / Effective Transition<br>12. Transition from Part C<br>13. Post-secondary Goals<br>14. Post-secondary Outcomes

A general explanation of the indicators is located at the end of this profile. Louisiana's State Performance Plan (with more detail about the indicators, annual targets, and activities designed to achieve these targets) can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/eia/2115.html.



| Discipline Percents - all incidents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Ed. |  |  | Regular Ed. |  |
|  | State | Local |  | State | Local |
| Suspensions In-School | 12.59\% | 0.00\% |  | 10.43\% | 0.00\% |
| Suspensions Out-of-School | 14.75\% | 38.71\% |  | 10.15\% | 43.28\% |
| Expulsions In-School | 0.39\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.37\% | 0.00\% |
| Expulsions Out-of-School | 0.08\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.46\% | 0.00\% |
| Long-term Discipline (greater than 10 days) - Indicator 4A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Children with Out-of-School Suspension/Expulsions Totaling > 10 Days | Count | Percent of Child Count | Significant Discrepancy? |  |  |
|  | 1 | n/a | no |  |  |
| Educational Environment (Ages 6-21) - Indicator $5^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Special Ed. |  | 2006-07 State Target |  |  |
|  | State | Local |  |  |  |
|  | 59.32\% | 0.00\% | 57.76\% |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class $40-79 \%$ of day | 22.58\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Inside Regular Class less than 40\% of day | 16.11\% | 0.00\% | 16.11\% |  |  |
| Separate School | 0.73\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Residential Facility | 0.24\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Homebound/Hospital | 0.85\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Correctional Facilities | 0.17\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |
| Served separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound or hospital placements | 1.82\% | 0.00\% | 2.19\% |  |  |



Total Public Population is General Education public from February 1, 2007, SIS membership count.
a February 1, 2007, SIS/SER Student Membership (Special Ed. is always SER; General Ed. always SIS)
b June 30, 2007, IDEA exit count
c Assessment data from Spring 2007 test results. Proficient is a score of Basic or above.
d 2006-07 SIS data (Regular Ed. includes Gifted/Talented students). Discipline $\%=$ Students with at least one incident of type/end of year cumulative membership.
e 2006-07 Report of Children with Disabilities Subject to Disciplinary Removal, Section A, Column 3B
f October 2, 2006, IDEA Data Tables (excluding nonpublic). Educational environment for children with disabilities ages 3-5 is a result of data that were converted to reflect new categories established by OSEP. Results for 2006-07 should not be compared to data from previous or following years.
g 2006-07 parent responses to Schools' Efforts to Partner with Parents Scale (SEPPS), Version 2.0
h 2006-07 monitoring/review of disproportionality data
i SER, Evaluation Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
j SER, Part C Transition Compliance Statewide Summary Report (7/1/06 to 6/30/07)
k 2006-07 Continuous Improvement Monitoring process (using Indicator 13 checklist)
${ }^{\mathrm{l}}$ 2005-06 SER data; Summer 2006
m October 2, 2006 IDEA Federal Personnel Data Table

* The district was not monitored/surveyed on this indicator during the 2006-07 school year.
** Counts too small (less than 10) to evaluate disproportionality.
U Data is not reportable due to low response number.


## State Performance Plan Indicators

1. High School Diploma Graduation - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education with a high school diploma, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
2. Drop Out - number of students aged 14-21, exiting special education by dropping out, divided by the total number of students aged 14-21 exiting special education.
3. Statewide Assessment Participation and Performance
a. Districts making AYP for students with disabilities - this information is presented in the District Subgroup Reports and can be downloaded at the following link: http://www.louisianaschools.net/lde/accountability/home.html. Choose "District/State Accountability" then select a district under "Subgroup Component Report (AYP)."
b. Participation on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs in regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades 3-10) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10 Participation is reported by grade and subject.
c. Performance on Statewide Assessment - number of children with IEPs who are proficient (scoring basic or above) as measured by the regular assessment with/without accommodations and alternate assessment against grade level standards, modified achievement standards, and alternate achievement standards (grades $3-10$ ) divided by the number of children with IEPs in grades 3-10. Performance is reported by grade and subject.
4. Long-term Suspensions/Expulsions - number of students with disabilities aged 3-21 with out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days. For this indicator, districts are compared to determine if there is a significant discrepancy in the rates of long-term suspension/expulsions
a. Out-of-school suspension/expulsions totaling more than 10 days in a school year - significant discrepancy is met if the number of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days is greater than or equal to 20 , or if the percentage of students with disabilities suspended or expelled for more than 10 days in the LEA's IDEA child count is greater than $2 \%$.
5. Educational Environment (ages 6-21) - setting where students with disabilities ages 6-21 are being served a. Inside the regular class $80 \%$ or more of the day b. Inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day c. In separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements
6. Educational Environment (ages 3-5) - percent of preschool children with IEPs:
a. Attending a regular early childhood program
b. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and attending a special education program
c. Not attending a regular early childhood program or kindergarten and not attending a special education program

Outcome data for Indicator 6 will be reported beginning with the 2008-09 Performance Profile.
7. Outcomes for Preschool Children with IEPs percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved (a) positive social-emotional skills, (b) acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and (c) use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. In order to assess improvement, children are tested upon entry and exit of their preschool program. Outcome data for Indicator 7 will be reported beginning in the 2009-10 Performance Profile.
8. Parental Involvement - based on a survey of parents' overall impression of whether schools facilitated their involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9. Disproportionate representation in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups. LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate
10. Disproportionate representation by exceptionality that is the result of inappropriate identification based first on the risk ratio or rate of identification for a particular racial/ethnic group compared to the identification rate of other racial/ethnic groups (by exceptionality). LEAs with risk ratios greater than or equal to 1.50 with a group size of at least 10 are monitored further to determine if the identification is inappropriate.
11. Evaluation Timelines - percent of children with parental consent to evaluate who were initially evaluated and eligibility determined within the state's timeline.
12. Transition from Part $\mathbf{C}$ - percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.
13. Post-secondary Goals - for youth aged 16 and above, the percent of IEPs that show the inclusion of coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable students to meet their post-secondary goals.
14. Post-secondary Outcomes - based on a survey of students with disabilities who exited secondary schools, the percent who report they participate in competitive employment and/or post-secondary school. Outcome data for Indicator 14 will be reported beginning with the 2007-08 Performance Profile.

