# Instructional Materials Evaluation - Student Standards Review

Louisiana educators engaged in a professional review of the state's academic standards for English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ensure they continue to maintain strong expectations for teaching and learning aligned with college and workplace demands. The new ELA and math standards will be effective beginning with the 2016-2017 school year. As part of the Louisiana Department of Education's support for a seamless transition to these new standards, the LDOE identified the major changes of the standards and their potential impact upon criteria used to review instructional materials.

Title: **HMH Go Math, accelerated** Grade: **7**

Publisher: **Houghton Mifflin Harcourt** Copyright: **2014**

Overall Rating: **Tier III, Not representing quality**

This Mathematics review has been examined for the following major shifts in alignment resulting from the Louisiana Student Standards Review:

- Include standards for money in grades K, 1, and 3 to ensure connections that provide smooth transitions from one grade to the next
- Provide developmentally appropriate content for all grades or courses while maintaining high expectations:
    - Additive area is moved to grade 4 from grade 3
    - The Statistics - Conditional Probability and the Rules of Probability (S-CP) domain is moved from Algebra II to Geometry
    - The standards provide extra clarity around the distinction between Algebra I and II

The following two indicators may be impacted:

- Focus on Major Work (Non-Negotiable)
- Consistent, Coherent Content (Non-Negotiable)

**This review remains a Tier 3 rating.** As a result of these changes, the following chart identifies the potential impact on specific elements in the current review. The LDOE recommends that district curriculum staff, principals, and teachers take these findings into consideration when using these instructional materials.

| Criteria | Currently in the Rubric | Next Steps for Educators |
|---|---|---|
| Focus on Major Work (Non-Negotiable) | This program currently is reviewed as "Yes" for these criteria because the materials devote the majority of class time to the major work of seventh and eighth grade and spend minimal time outside the appropriate grade level. | Make sure to review all assessment materials to ensure alignment to new clarifications/limitations and the revised, as well as, the placement of standards by grade/course. |
| Consistent, Coherent Content (Non-Negotiable) | This program currently is reviewed as "No" for these criteria because only a small percentage of the lessons that address supporting content are connected to major content. | Since these materials received a "No" for this indicator, the current weakness will likely remain and should be addressed by adjusting or supplementing with stronger programs. |

Strong mathematics instruction contains the following elements:




**Title:** **HMH GO Math, accelerated** **Grade:** **7**

**Publisher:** **Houghton Mifflin Harcourt** **Copyright:** **2014**

**Overall Rating:** **Tier III, Not representing quality**

**Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this grade band:**

| STRONG | WEAK |
|---|---|
| Focus on Major Work (Non-Negotiable) | Consistent, Coherent Content (Non-Negotiable) |
| Rigor and Balance (Non-Negotiable) | |
| Practice-Content Connections (Non-Negotiable) | |

Each set of submitted materials was evaluated for alignment with the standards beginning with a review of the indicators for the non-negotiable criteria. If those criteria were met, a review of the other criteria ensued.

***Tier 1 ratings*** received a "Yes" for all Criteria 1-7.
***Tier 2 ratings*** received a "Yes" for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1 – 4), but at least one "No" for the remaining criteria.
***Tier 3 ratings*** received a "No" for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

Click below for complete grade-level reviews:

Grade 7 accelerated (Tier 3)

Strong mathematics instruction contains the following elements:




**Title:** **HMH GO Math Accelerated** **Grade:** **7**

**Publisher:** **Houghton Mifflin Harcourt** **Copyright:** **2014**

**Overall Rating:** **Tier III, Not representing quality**

**Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this review:**

| STRONG | WEAK |
|---|---|
| Focus on Major Work (Non-Negotiable) | Consistent, Coherent Content (Non-Negotiable) |
| Rigor and Balance (Non-Negotiable) | |
| Practice-Content Connections (Non-Negotiable) | |

To evaluate each set of submitted materials for alignment with the standards, begin by reviewing the indicators listed in Column 2 for the non-negotiable criteria in Section I. If there is a "Yes" for all indicators in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a "Yes" in Column 1. If there is a "No" for any indicator in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a "No" in Column 1.

For Section II, begin by reviewing the required indicators in Column 2 for each criterion. If there is a "Yes" for all required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a "Yes" in Column 1. If there is a "No" for any required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a "No" in Column 1.

***Tier 1 ratings*** receive a "Yes" in Column 1 for Criteria 1-7.
***Tier 2 ratings*** receive a "Yes" in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1 – 4), but at least one "No" in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.
***Tier 3 ratings*** receive a "No" in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

| CRITERIA | INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY | MEETS METRICS (Y/N) | JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA: Submissions must meet all of the non-negotiable criteria to move to tier 2.** | | | |
| **Non-Negotiable 1. FOCUS ON MAJOR WORK[1]:**<br>Students and teachers using the materials as designed devote the large majority[2] of time in each grade K–8 to the major work of the grade.<br>■ Yes ☐ No | **REQUIRED**<br>**1a)** Materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of each grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%. Each grade must meet the criterion; do not average across two or more grades. | **Yes** | Materials devote approximately 67% of class time to the major work of the grade. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**1b)** In any one grade, aligned materials should spend minimal time on content outside of the appropriate grade levels. In aligned materials there are no chapter tests, unit tests, or other such assessment components that make students or teachers responsible for any topics before the grade in which they are introduced in the Standards.[3] | **Yes** | The materials presented in this text focus on the work of the 7th and 8th grade standards. |
| **Non-Negotiable 2. CONSISTENT, COHERENT CONTENT**<br>Each course's instructional materials are coherent and consistent with the content in the standards.<br>☐ Yes ■ No | **REQUIRED**<br>**2a)** Materials connect supporting content to major content in meaningful ways so that focus and coherence are enhanced throughout the year.[4] | **No** | Approximately 13% of the lessons (2 of 16) that address supporting content are connected to major content. Specifically, lessons 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 11.1, 11.2 11.3, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3, 12.4, 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 14.1, 14.2, and 14.3 address supporting content, and of these 16, 8.1 and 11.2 are the only to that specifically address major content as well. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**2b)** Materials including problems and activities that serve to connect two or more clusters in a domain, or two or more domains in a grade, in cases where these connections are natural and important.[5] | **Yes** | In each unit, there were two or more domains in a grade that represented materials including problems and activities. |

[1] For more on the major work of the grade, see Focus by Grade Level.
[2] The materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of the grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%.
[3] Refer also to criterion #2 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).
[4] Refer also to criterion #3 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).
[5] Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

| CRITERIA | INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY | MEETS METRICS (Y/N) | JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SECTION I (continued): NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA** | | | |
| **Non-Negotiable 3. RIGOR AND BALANCE:** Each grade's instructional materials reflect the balances in the standards and help students meet the standards' rigorous expectations, by helping students develop conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application.[6]<br><br>■ Yes ☐ No | **REQUIRED**<br>**3a)** ***Attention to Conceptual Understanding:*** Materials develop conceptual understanding of key mathematical concepts, especially where called for explicitly in specific content standards or cluster headings by amply featuring high-quality conceptual problems and questions. | **Yes** | In each lesson, the standard is listed and explained. It also includes the key concepts and terms of each lesson. Also, in the teacher implementation guide, common misconceptions are listed to assist the teacher. Each activity has equal intensity of conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application. The activities in the student edition are aligned with the standards. The standards are listed at the beginning of each lesson which the unit are broken into modules. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**3b)** ***Attention to Procedural Skill and Fluency:*** Materials give attention throughout the year to individual standards that set an expectation of procedural skill and fluency. In grades K-6, materials help students make steady progress throughout the year toward fluent computation. In higher grades, sufficient practice with algebraic operations is provided in order for students to have the foundation for later work in algebra. | **Yes** | Within each module the activities are broken down into whole group instruction, partner work, and independent work. Each module gives a sufficient amount of practice and problem solving activities The materials and activities align with the state standards. The lesson addresses skill and fluency through a grouping and shared phase strategy. This allows the teacher and student to engage in meaningful activities to master specific standards.<br><br>In the student edition, an example is given along with the appropriate standard and mathematical practice (math talk where the MP is being broken down). Following the example, students are required to practice the standard via guided practice then answer an essential question |

[6] Refer also to criterion #4 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**3c)** ***Attention to Applications:*** Materials are designed so that teachers and students spend sufficient time working with engaging applications, without losing focus on the major work of each grade including ample practice with single-step and multi-step contextual problems that develop the mathematics of the grade, afford opportunities for practice, and engage students in problem solving. | **Yes** | Within the unit students are required to complete a unit project aligned to the standards being taught. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**3d)** ***Balance:*** The three aspects of rigor are not always treated together, and are not always treated separately. | **Yes** | There is an appropriate balance of conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application in the materials. |
| **Non-Negotiable 4. PRACTICE-CONTENT CONNECTIONS:**<br>Materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Content and the Standards for Mathematical Practice.[7, 8]<br><br>■ Yes ☐ No | **REQUIRED**<br>**4a)** The materials connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice and the Standards for Mathematical Content. | **Yes** | The mathematical practices are listed and broken down in the student and teacher editions. Students know exactly what is expected of them in reference to the MP(s). In the teacher edition, the MP(s) are listed along with the appropriate page numbers where applicable. In the student edition, the Math Talk section has the students transition the Mathematical Practice into a question to begin a discussion. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**4b)** The developer provides a description or analysis, aimed at evaluators, which shows how materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice to the Standards for Mathematical Content within each applicable grade. | **Yes** | Materials are connected to the Mathematical Practices. All practices are listed at the beginning of each lesson in the teacher's edition. The mathematical practices are also use as a professional development in the teacher's edition and it gives the teacher guidance on how to implement the mathematical practice into the lesson. The practices are evident in each of the activity. |

[7] Refer also to criterion #7 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).
[8] All items do not need to align to a Mathematical Practice. In addition, there is no requirement to have an equal balance among the Mathematical Practices in any set of materials or grade.

| CRITERIA | INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY | MEETS METRICS (Y/N) | JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SECTION II: ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY** | | | |
| **Additional Criterion 5. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL CONTENT:** Materials foster focus and coherence by linking topics within grades (across domains and clusters) and across grades by staying consistent with the progressions in the standards.<br><br>☐ Yes ☐ No | **REQUIRED**<br>**5a)** Materials base content progressions on the grade-by-grade progressions in the Standards. [9] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**5b)** Materials provide all students extensive work with course-level problems. Review of material from previous grades and courses is clearly identified as such to the teacher, and teachers and students can see what their specific responsibility is for the current year.[10] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**5c)** Materials relate course-level concepts explicitly to prior knowledge from earlier grades and courses. The materials are designed so that prior knowledge becomes reorganized and extended to accommodate the new knowledge.[10] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **5d)** Materials include learning objectives that are visibly shaped by CCSSM cluster headings.[10] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **5e)** Materials preserve the focus, coherence, and rigor of the Standards even when targeting specific objectives. [11] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |

[9] Refer also to criterion #5 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).
[10] Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

| CRITERIA | INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY | MEETS METRICS (Y/N) | JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY** | | | |
| **Additional Criterion 6. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE:** Aligned materials make meaningful and purposeful connections that enhance the focus and coherence of the standards rather than detract from the focus and include additional content/skills to teach which are not included in the standards.<br><br>☐ Yes ☐ No | **REQUIRED**<br>**6a)** Careful Attention to Each Practice Standard: Materials attend to the full meaning of each practice standard.[11] The analysis for evaluators explains how the full meaning of each practice standard has been attended to in the materials. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**6b)** Materials provide sufficient opportunities for students to construct viable arguments and critique the arguments of other concerning key grade-level mathematics that is detailed in the content standards (cf. MP.3).[12] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED**<br>**6c)** Materials engage students in problem solving as a form of argument, attending thoroughly to places in the standards that explicitly set expectations for multi-step problems.[12] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **6d)** Materials explicitly attend to the specialized language of mathematics.[12] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |

[11] Refer also to criterion #9 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).
[12] Refer also to criterion #10 in the K–8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

| CRITERIA | INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY | MEETS METRICS (Y/N) | JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY** | | | |
| **Additional Criterion 7. INDICATORS OF QUALITY:** Quality materials should exhibit the indicators outlined here in order to give teachers and students the tools they need to meet the expectations of the Standards. ☐ Yes ☐ No | **REQUIRED** **7a)** The underlying design of the materials distinguishes between problems and exercises. In essence the difference is that in solving problems, students learn new mathematics, whereas in working exercises, students apply what they have already learned to build mastery. Each problem or exercise has a purpose. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED** **7b)** Design of assignments is not haphazard: exercises are given in intentional sequences. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED** **7c)** There is variety in what students produce. For example, students are asked to produce answers and solutions, but also, in a grade-appropriate way, arguments and explanations, diagrams, mathematical models, etc. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED** **7d)** There are separate teacher materials that support and reward teacher study including, but not limited to: discussion of the mathematics of the units and the mathematical point of each lesson as it relates to the organizing concepts of the unit, discussion on student ways of thinking and anticipating a variety of students responses, guidance on lesson flow, guidance on questions that prompt students thinking, and discussion of desired mathematical behaviors being elicited among students. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **REQUIRED** **7e)** Support for English Language Learners and other special populations is thoughtful and helps those students meet the same standards as all other students. The language in which problems are posed is carefully considered. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **7f)** There is variety in the pacing and grain size of content coverage.[13] | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **7g)** Lessons are thoughtfully structured and support the teacher in leading the class through the learning paths at hand, with active participation by all students in their own learning and in the learning of their classmates. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | **7h)** Manipulatives are faithful representations of the mathematical objects they represent and are connected to written methods. | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |

[13] Refer also to page 18 in the K – 8 Publishers' Criteria for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

***Tier 1 ratings*** receive a "Yes" in Column 1 for Criteria 1-7.
***Tier 2 ratings*** receive a "Yes" in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1 – 4), but at least one "No" in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.
***Tier 3 ratings*** receive a "No" in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

| **FINAL EVALUATION** | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.** | | | |
| **Section** | **Criteria** | **Y/N** | **Final Justification/Comments** |
| **I: Non-Negotiables** | 1. Focus on Major Work | **Yes** | The materials presented in this text focus on the work of the 7th and 8th grade standards. |
| | 2. Consistent, Coherent Content | **No** | Approximately 13% of the lessons (2 of 16) that address supporting content are connected to major content. |
| | 3. Rigor and Balance | **Yes** | The materials support conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application with equal intensity. |
| | 4. Practice-Content Connections | **Yes** | The mathematical practices are listed and explained explicitly. |
| **II: Additional Alignment Criteria and Indicators of Quality** | 5. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Content | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | 6. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Practice | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| | 7. Indicators of Quality | | Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met. |
| **FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: <u>Tier III; Not representing quality</u>** | | | |