


Strong mathematics instruction contains the following elements:



**Title:** Creative Core Curriculum for Mathematics with STEM, Literacy, and Arts

**Grade:** 6-8

**Publisher:** TPS Publishing, Inc.

**Copyright:** 2013

**Overall Rating:** Tier III, Not representing quality

**Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this grade band:**

STRONG	WEAK
	<u>Focus on Major Work</u> (Non-Negotiable)*
	<u>Consistent, Coherent Content</u> (Non-Negotiable)
	<u>Rigor and Balance</u> (Non-Negotiable)
	<u>Practice-Content Connections</u> (Non-Negotiable)
	*Strong at Grade 8 only

Each set of submitted materials was evaluated for alignment with the standards beginning with a review of the indicators for the non-negotiable criteria. If those criteria were met, a review of the other criteria ensued.

**Tier 1 ratings** received a “Yes” for all Criteria 1–7.

**Tier 2 ratings** received a “Yes” for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** received a “No” for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.


Click below for complete grade-level reviews:

[Grade 6 \(Tier 3\)](#)

[Grade 7 \(Tier 3\)](#)

[Grade 8 \(Tier 3\)](#)

Strong mathematics instruction contains the following elements:



**Title:** Creative Core Curriculum for Mathematics with STEM, Literacy, and Arts

**Grade:** 6

**Publisher:** TPS Publishing Inc.

**Copyright:** 2013

**Overall Rating:** Tier III, Not representing quality

**Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this review:**

STRONG	WEAK
	<a href="#">Focus on Major Work</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Consistent, Coherent Content</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Rigor and Balance</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Practice-Content Connections</a> (Non-Negotiable)

To evaluate each set of submitted materials for alignment with the standards, begin by reviewing the indicators listed in Column 2 for the non-negotiable criteria in Section I. If there is a “Yes” for all indicators in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a “Yes” in Column 1. If there is a “No” for any indicator in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a “No” in Column 1.

For Section II, begin by reviewing the required indicators in Column 2 for each criterion. If there is a “Yes” for all required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a “Yes” in Column 1. If there is a “No” for any required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a “No” in Column 1.

**Tier 1 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for Criteria 1–7.

**Tier 2 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** receive a “No” in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA: Submissions must meet all of the non-negotiable criteria to move to tier 2.</b>			
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 1. FOCUS ON MAJOR WORK<sup>1</sup>:</b> Students and teachers using the materials as designed devote the large majority<sup>2</sup> of time in each grade K–8 to the major work of the grade.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>1a)</b> Materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of each grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%. Each grade must meet the criterion; do not average across two or more grades.</p>	No	For this most part, the materials treat the standards individually, or at best by domain. This means that standards representing major work are only targeted by approximately 59% of the materials.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>1b)</b> In any one grade, aligned materials should spend minimal time on content outside of the appropriate grade levels. In aligned materials there are no chapter tests, unit tests, or other such assessment components that make students or teachers responsible for any topics before the grade in which they are introduced in the Standards.<sup>3</sup></p>	Yes	There are no aligned materials that focus on any topics that have not been introduced.
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 2. CONSISTENT, COHERENT CONTENT</b> Each course’s instructional materials are coherent and consistent with the content in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>2a)</b> Materials connect supporting content to major content in meaningful ways so that focus and coherence are enhanced throughout the year.<sup>4</sup></p>	No	Supporting content is not connected to major content.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>2b)</b> Materials including problems and activities that serve to connect two or more clusters in a domain, or two or more domains in a grade, in cases where these connections are natural and important.<sup>5</sup></p>	No	For the most part, standards are addressed in isolation, so domains are not connected when appropriate.

<sup>1</sup> For more on the major work of the grade, see [Focus by Grade Level](#).

<sup>2</sup> The materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of the grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%.

<sup>3</sup> Refer also to criterion #2 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>4</sup> Refer also to criterion #3 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>5</sup> Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION I (continued): NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA</b>			
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 3. RIGOR AND BALANCE:</b> Each grade’s instructional materials reflect the balances in the standards and help students meet the standards’ rigorous expectations, by helping students develop conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application.<sup>6</sup></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3a) Attention to Conceptual Understanding:</b> Materials develop conceptual understanding of key mathematical concepts, especially where called for explicitly in specific content standards or cluster headings by amply featuring high-quality conceptual problems and questions.</p>	No	At times, the materials do not develop clear conceptual understanding (e.g., on page 14 of the Teacher Edition it states, <i>divide each side by the smaller number, so that this number becomes one</i> , yet there is no explanation for this procedure given, and the standard for this lesson calls for understanding of the concept of unit rate).
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3b) Attention to Procedural Skill and Fluency:</b> Materials give attention throughout the year to individual standards that set an expectation of procedural skill and fluency. In grades K-6, materials help students make steady progress throughout the year toward fluent computation. In higher grades, sufficient practice with algebraic operations is provided in order for students to have the foundation for later work in algebra.</p>	No	Attention to fluency is not given throughout the year because standards are not revisited. Fluency is not adequately addressed in the lessons for standards requiring fluency (e.g., the lesson 6.NS.B.2 essentially gives students division problems to practice without adequate activities to help build fluency).
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3c) Attention to Applications:</b> Materials are designed so that teachers and students spend sufficient time working with engaging applications, without losing focus on the major work of each grade including ample practice with single-step and multi-step contextual problems that develop the mathematics of the grade, afford opportunities for practice, and engage students in problem solving.</p>	No	Even when standards call for real-world problems, some of the assessment questions do not provide applications (e.g., see page 68 of the Teacher Edition).
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3d) Balance:</b> The three aspects of rigor are not always treated together, and are not always treated separately.</p>	No	The three aspects of rigor are not addressed at all.
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 4. PRACTICE-CONTENT CONNECTIONS:</b> Materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Content and the Standards for Mathematical Practice.<sup>7, 8</sup></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>4a)</b> The materials connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice and the Standards for Mathematical Content.</p>	No	A chart is provided that links the Mathematical Practices to page numbers of the book- not to particular Standards for Mathematical Content.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>4b)</b> The developer provides a description or analysis, aimed at evaluators, which shows how materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice to the Standards for Mathematical Content within each applicable grade.</p>	No	There is no analysis showing meaningful connections between the Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice.

<sup>6</sup> Refer also to criterion #4 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>7</sup> Refer also to criterion #7 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>8</sup> All items do not need to align to a Mathematical Practice. In addition, there is no requirement to have an equal balance among the Mathematical Practices in any set of materials or grade.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II: ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 5. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL CONTENT:</b> Materials foster focus and coherence by linking topics within grades (across domains and clusters) and across grades by staying consistent with the progressions in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes      <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5a)</b> Materials base content progressions on the grade-by-grade progressions in the Standards.<sup>9</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5b)</b> Materials provide all students extensive work with course-level problems. Review of material from previous grades and courses is clearly identified as such to the teacher, and teachers and students can see what their specific responsibility is for the current year.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5c)</b> Materials relate course-level concepts explicitly to prior knowledge from earlier grades and courses. The materials are designed so that prior knowledge becomes reorganized and extended to accommodate the new knowledge.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>5d)</b> Materials include learning objectives that are visibly shaped by CCSSM cluster headings.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>5e)</b> Materials preserve the focus, coherence, and rigor of the Standards even when targeting specific objectives.<sup>11</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>9</sup> Refer also to criterion #5 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>10</sup> Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 6. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE:</b>            Aligned materials make meaningful and purposeful connections that enhance the focus and coherence of the standards rather than detract from the focus and include additional content/skills to teach which are not included in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes      <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b>  <b>6a)</b> Careful Attention to Each Practice Standard: Materials attend to the full meaning of each practice standard.<sup>11</sup> The analysis for evaluators explains how the full meaning of each practice standard has been attended to in the materials.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b>  <b>6b)</b> Materials provide sufficient opportunities for students to construct viable arguments and critique the arguments of other concerning key grade-level mathematics that is detailed in the content standards (cf. MP.3).<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b>  <b>6c)</b> Materials engage students in problem solving as a form of argument, attending thoroughly to places in the standards that explicitly set expectations for multi-step problems.<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>6d)</b> Materials explicitly attend to the specialized language of mathematics.<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>11</sup> Refer also to criterion #9 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>12</sup> Refer also to criterion #10 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 7. INDICATORS OF QUALITY:</b> Quality materials should exhibit the indicators outlined here in order to give teachers and students the tools they need to meet the expectations of the Standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes      <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7a)</b> The underlying design of the materials distinguishes between problems and exercises. In essence the difference is that in solving problems, students learn new mathematics, whereas in working exercises, students apply what they have already learned to build mastery. Each problem or exercise has a purpose.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7b)</b> Design of assignments is not haphazard: exercises are given in intentional sequences.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7c)</b> There is variety in what students produce. For example, students are asked to produce answers and solutions, but also, in a grade-appropriate way, arguments and explanations, diagrams, mathematical models, etc.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7d)</b> There are separate teacher materials that support and reward teacher study including, but not limited to: discussion of the mathematics of the units and the mathematical point of each lesson as it relates to the organizing concepts of the unit, discussion on student ways of thinking and anticipating a variety of students responses, guidance on lesson flow, guidance on questions that prompt students thinking, and discussion of desired mathematical behaviors being elicited among students.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7e)</b> Support for English Language Learners and other special populations is thoughtful and helps those students meet the same standards as all other students. The language in which problems are posed is carefully considered.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7f)</b> There is variety in the pacing and grain size of content coverage.<sup>13</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7g)</b> Lessons are thoughtfully structured and support the teacher in leading the class through the learning paths at hand, with active participation by all students in their own learning and in the learning of their classmates.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7h)</b> Manipulatives are faithful representations of the mathematical objects they represent and are connected to written methods.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>13</sup> Refer also to page 18 in the K – 8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

**Tier 1 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for Criteria 1–7.


**Tier 2 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** receive a “No” in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

<b>FINAL EVALUATION</b>			
<b>Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.</b>			
<b>Section</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Final Justification/Comments</b>
<b>I: Non-Negotiables</b>	1. Focus on Major Work	<b>No</b>	For this most part, the materials treat the standards individually, or at best by domain. This means that standards representing major work are only targeted by approximately 59% of the materials.
	2. Consistent, Coherent Content	<b>No</b>	Supporting content is not connected to major content.
	3. Rigor and Balance	<b>No</b>	The three aspects of rigor are not addressed at all.
	4. Practice-Content Connections	<b>No</b>	A chart is provided that links the Mathematical Practices to page numbers of the book- not to particular Standards for Mathematical Content. There is no analysis showing meaningful connections between the Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice.
<b>II: Additional Alignment Criteria and Indicators of Quality</b>	5. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Content		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	6. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Practice		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	7. Indicators of Quality		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
<b>FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: <u>Tier III, Not representing quality</u></b>			



Strong mathematics instruction contains the following elements:



**Title:** Creative Core Curriculum for Mathematics with STEM, Literacy, and Arts

**Grade:** 7

**Publisher:** TPS Publishing, Inc.

**Copyright:** 2013

**Overall Rating:** Tier III, Not representing quality

**Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this review:**

STRONG	WEAK
	<a href="#">Focus on Major Work</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Consistent, Coherent Content</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Rigor and Balance</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Practice-Content Connections</a> (Non-Negotiable)

To evaluate each set of submitted materials for alignment with the standards, begin by reviewing the indicators listed in Column 2 for the non-negotiable criteria in Section I. If there is a “Yes” for all indicators in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a “Yes” in Column 1. If there is a “No” for any indicator in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a “No” in Column 1.

For Section II, begin by reviewing the required indicators in Column 2 for each criterion. If there is a “Yes” for all required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a “Yes” in Column 1. If there is a “No” for any required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a “No” in Column 1.

**Tier 1 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for Criteria 1–7.

**Tier 2 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** receive a “No” in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA: Submissions must meet all of the non-negotiable criteria to move to tier 2.</b>			
<b>Non-Negotiable 1. FOCUS ON MAJOR WORK<sup>1</sup>:</b> Students and teachers using the materials as designed devote the large majority <sup>2</sup> of time in each grade K–8 to the major work of the grade.  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>1a)</b> Materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of each grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%. Each grade must meet the criterion; do not average across two or more grades.	<b>No</b>	For this most part, the materials treat the standards individually, or at best by domain. This means that standards representing major work are only targeted by approximately 53% of the materials.
	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>1b)</b> In any one grade, aligned materials should spend minimal time on content outside of the appropriate grade levels. In aligned materials there are no chapter tests, unit tests, or other such assessment components that make students or teachers responsible for any topics before the grade in which they are introduced in the Standards. <sup>3</sup>	<b>Yes</b>	There are no aligned materials that focus on any topics that have not been introduced.
<b>Non-Negotiable 2. CONSISTENT, COHERENT CONTENT</b> Each course’s instructional materials are coherent and consistent with the content in the standards.  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>2a)</b> Materials connect supporting content to major content in meaningful ways so that focus and coherence are enhanced throughout the year. <sup>4</sup>	<b>No</b>	Supporting content is not connected to major content.
	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>2b)</b> Materials including problems and activities that serve to connect two or more clusters in a domain, or two or more domains in a grade, in cases where these connections are natural and important. <sup>5</sup>	<b>No</b>	For the most part, standards are addressed in isolation, so domains are not connected when appropriate.

<sup>1</sup> For more on the major work of the grade, see [Focus by Grade Level](#).

<sup>2</sup> The materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of the grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%.

<sup>3</sup> Refer also to criterion #2 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>4</sup> Refer also to criterion #3 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>5</sup> Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION I (continued): NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA</b>			
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 3. RIGOR AND BALANCE:</b> Each grade’s instructional materials reflect the balances in the standards and help students meet the standards’ rigorous expectations, by helping students develop conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application.<sup>6</sup></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3a) Attention to Conceptual Understanding:</b> Materials develop conceptual understanding of key mathematical concepts, especially where called for explicitly in specific content standards or cluster headings by amply featuring high-quality conceptual problems and questions.</p>	No	At times, the materials do not develop clear conceptual understanding (e.g., the lesson on 7.EE.A.2 is supposed to focus on understanding of rewriting an expression in different forms to shed light on the problem, but a majority of the problems in the lesson focus on generating and recognizing equivalent expressions).
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3b) Attention to Procedural Skill and Fluency:</b> Materials give attention throughout the year to individual standards that set an expectation of procedural skill and fluency. In grades K-6, materials help students make steady progress throughout the year toward fluent computation. In higher grades, sufficient practice with algebraic operations is provided in order for students to have the foundation for later work in algebra.</p>	No	Attention to fluency is not given throughout the year because standards are not revisited.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3c) Attention to Applications:</b> Materials are designed so that teachers and students spend sufficient time working with engaging applications, without losing focus on the major work of each grade including ample practice with single-step and multi-step contextual problems that develop the mathematics of the grade, afford opportunities for practice, and engage students in problem solving.</p>	No	Even when standards call for real-world problems, many of the problems are not (e.g., over half of the problems for 7.NS.A.3 are very basic problems).
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3d) Balance:</b> The three aspects of rigor are not always treated together, and are not always treated separately.</p>	No	The three aspects of rigor are not addressed at all.
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 4. PRACTICE-CONTENT CONNECTIONS:</b> Materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Content and the Standards for Mathematical Practice.<sup>7, 8</sup></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>4a)</b> The materials connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice and the Standards for Mathematical Content.</p>	No	A chart is provided that links the Mathematical Practices to page numbers of the book- not to particular Standards for Mathematical Content.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>4b)</b> The developer provides a description or analysis, aimed at evaluators, which shows how materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice to the Standards for Mathematical Content within each applicable grade.</p>	No	There is no analysis showing meaningful connections between the Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice.

<sup>6</sup> Refer also to criterion #4 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>7</sup> Refer also to criterion #7 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>8</sup> All items do not need to align to a Mathematical Practice. In addition, there is no requirement to have an equal balance among the Mathematical Practices in any set of materials or grade.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II: ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 5. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL CONTENT:</b> Materials foster focus and coherence by linking topics within grades (across domains and clusters) and across grades by staying consistent with the progressions in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes      <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5a)</b> Materials base content progressions on the grade-by-grade progressions in the Standards.<sup>9</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5b)</b> Materials provide all students extensive work with course-level problems. Review of material from previous grades and courses is clearly identified as such to the teacher, and teachers and students can see what their specific responsibility is for the current year.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5c)</b> Materials relate course-level concepts explicitly to prior knowledge from earlier grades and courses. The materials are designed so that prior knowledge becomes reorganized and extended to accommodate the new knowledge.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>5d)</b> Materials include learning objectives that are visibly shaped by CCSSM cluster headings.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>5e)</b> Materials preserve the focus, coherence, and rigor of the Standards even when targeting specific objectives.<sup>11</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>9</sup> Refer also to criterion #5 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>10</sup> Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 6. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE:</b>            Aligned materials make meaningful and purposeful connections that enhance the focus and coherence of the standards rather than detract from the focus and include additional content/skills to teach which are not included in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b>  <b>6a)</b> Careful Attention to Each Practice Standard: Materials attend to the full meaning of each practice standard.<sup>11</sup> The analysis for evaluators explains how the full meaning of each practice standard has been attended to in the materials.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b>  <b>6b)</b> Materials provide sufficient opportunities for students to construct viable arguments and critique the arguments of other concerning key grade-level mathematics that is detailed in the content standards (cf. MP.3).<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b>  <b>6c)</b> Materials engage students in problem solving as a form of argument, attending thoroughly to places in the standards that explicitly set expectations for multi-step problems.<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>6d)</b> Materials explicitly attend to the specialized language of mathematics.<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>11</sup> Refer also to criterion #9 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>12</sup> Refer also to criterion #10 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 7. INDICATORS OF QUALITY:</b> Quality materials should exhibit the indicators outlined here in order to give teachers and students the tools they need to meet the expectations of the Standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes      <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7a)</b> The underlying design of the materials distinguishes between problems and exercises. In essence the difference is that in solving problems, students learn new mathematics, whereas in working exercises, students apply what they have already learned to build mastery. Each problem or exercise has a purpose.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7b)</b> Design of assignments is not haphazard: exercises are given in intentional sequences.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7c)</b> There is variety in what students produce. For example, students are asked to produce answers and solutions, but also, in a grade-appropriate way, arguments and explanations, diagrams, mathematical models, etc.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7d)</b> There are separate teacher materials that support and reward teacher study including, but not limited to: discussion of the mathematics of the units and the mathematical point of each lesson as it relates to the organizing concepts of the unit, discussion on student ways of thinking and anticipating a variety of students responses, guidance on lesson flow, guidance on questions that prompt students thinking, and discussion of desired mathematical behaviors being elicited among students.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7e)</b> Support for English Language Learners and other special populations is thoughtful and helps those students meet the same standards as all other students. The language in which problems are posed is carefully considered.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7f)</b> There is variety in the pacing and grain size of content coverage.<sup>13</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7g)</b> Lessons are thoughtfully structured and support the teacher in leading the class through the learning paths at hand, with active participation by all students in their own learning and in the learning of their classmates.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7h)</b> Manipulatives are faithful representations of the mathematical objects they represent and are connected to written methods.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>13</sup> Refer also to page 18 in the K – 8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).


**Tier 1 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for Criteria 1–7.

**Tier 2 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** receive a “No” in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

FINAL EVALUATION			
Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.			
Section	Criteria	Y/N	Final Justification/Comments
<b>I: Non-Negotiables</b>	1. Focus on Major Work	No	For this most part, the materials treat the standards individually, or at best by domain. This means that standards representing major work are only targeted by approximately 53% of the materials.
	2. Consistent, Coherent Content	No	Supporting content is not connected to major content.
	3. Rigor and Balance	No	The three aspects of rigor are not addressed at all.
	4. Practice-Content Connections	No	A chart is provided that links the Mathematical Practices to page numbers of the book- not to particular Standards for Mathematical Content. There is no analysis showing meaningful connections between the Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice.
<b>II: Additional Alignment Criteria and Indicators of Quality</b>	5. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Content		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	6. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Practice		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	7. Indicators of Quality		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
<b>FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: Tier III, Not representing quality</b>			

Strong mathematics instruction contains the following elements:



**Title:** Creative Core Curriculum for Mathematics with STEM, Literacy, and Arts

**Grade:** 8

**Publisher:** TPS Publishing, Inc.

**Copyright:** 2013

**Overall Rating:** Tier III, Not representing quality

**Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this review:**

STRONG	WEAK
<a href="#">Focus on Major Work</a> (Non-Negotiable)	<a href="#">Consistent, Coherent Content</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Rigor and Balance</a> (Non-Negotiable)
	<a href="#">Practice-Content Connections</a> (Non-Negotiable)

To evaluate each set of submitted materials for alignment with the standards, begin by reviewing the indicators listed in Column 2 for the non-negotiable criteria in Section I. If there is a “Yes” for all indicators in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a “Yes” in Column 1. If there is a “No” for any indicator in Column 2 for Section I, then the materials receive a “No” in Column 1.

For Section II, begin by reviewing the required indicators in Column 2 for each criterion. If there is a “Yes” for all required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a “Yes” in Column 1. If there is a “No” for any required indicators in Column 2, then the materials receive a “No” in Column 1.

**Tier 1 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for Criteria 1–7.

**Tier 2 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** receive a “No” in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.



CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA: Submissions must meet all of the non-negotiable criteria to move to tier 2.</b>			
<b>Non-Negotiable 1. FOCUS ON MAJOR WORK<sup>1</sup>:</b> Students and teachers using the materials as designed devote the large majority <sup>2</sup> of time in each grade K–8 to the major work of the grade.  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>1a)</b> Materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of each grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%. Each grade must meet the criterion; do not average across two or more grades.	Yes	For this most part, the materials treat the standards individually, or at best by domain. Since a majority of the grade 8 standards are major, standards representing major work are targeted by approximately 75% of the materials.
	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>1b)</b> In any one grade, aligned materials should spend minimal time on content outside of the appropriate grade levels. In aligned materials there are no chapter tests, unit tests, or other such assessment components that make students or teachers responsible for any topics before the grade in which they are introduced in the Standards. <sup>3</sup>	Yes	There are no aligned materials that focus on any topics that have not been introduced.
<b>Non-Negotiable 2. CONSISTENT, COHERENT CONTENT</b> Each course’s instructional materials are coherent and consistent with the content in the standards.  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>2a)</b> Materials connect supporting content to major content in meaningful ways so that focus and coherence are enhanced throughout the year. <sup>4</sup>	No	Supporting content is not connected to major content.
	<b>REQUIRED</b> <b>2b)</b> Materials including problems and activities that serve to connect two or more clusters in a domain, or two or more domains in a grade, in cases where these connections are natural and important. <sup>5</sup>	No	For the most part, standards are addressed in isolation, so domains are not connected when appropriate.

<sup>1</sup> For more on the major work of the grade, see [Focus by Grade Level](#).

<sup>2</sup> The materials should devote at least 65% and up to approximately 85% of class time to the major work of the grade with Grades K–2 nearer the upper end of that range, i.e., 85%.

<sup>3</sup> Refer also to criterion #2 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>4</sup> Refer also to criterion #3 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>5</sup> Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION I (continued): NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA</b>			
<p><b>Non-Negotiable 3. RIGOR AND BALANCE:</b> Each grade’s instructional materials reflect the balances in the standards and help students meet the standards’ rigorous expectations, by helping students develop conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application.<sup>6</sup></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3a) Attention to Conceptual Understanding:</b> Materials develop conceptual understanding of key mathematical concepts, especially where called for explicitly in specific content standards or cluster headings by amply featuring high-quality conceptual problems and questions.</p>	No	At times, the materials do not develop clear conceptual understanding (e.g., page 478, to <i>Comprehension of the Standard</i> of 8.G.b.8 (Explain a proof of the Pythagorean Theorem and its converse), the questions are what is the Pythagorean Theorem, draw a diagram to illustrate this theorem, and what equation do we use to show the Pythagorean Theorem. None of these questions can be used to show comprehension of this standard or a student’s ability to conceptually understand this key concept).
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3b) Attention to Procedural Skill and Fluency:</b> Materials give attention throughout the year to individual standards that set an expectation of procedural skill and fluency. In grades K-6, materials help students make steady progress throughout the year toward fluent computation. In higher grades, sufficient practice with algebraic operations is provided in order for students to have the foundation for later work in algebra.</p>	No	Attention to fluency is not given throughout the year because standards are not revisited.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3c) Attention to Applications:</b> Materials are designed so that teachers and students spend sufficient time working with engaging applications, without losing focus on the major work of each grade including ample practice with single-step and multi-step contextual problems that develop the mathematics of the grade, afford opportunities for practice, and engage students in problem solving.</p>	Yes	When standards call for real-world problems, many of the problems are; however, for the most part the problems are not complex problems.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>3d) Balance:</b> The three aspects of rigor are not always treated together, and are not always treated separately.</p>	No	Two of the aspects of rigor are not addressed at all.

<sup>6</sup> Refer also to criterion #4 in the K–8 [Publishers’ Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<p><b>Non-Negotiable 4. PRACTICE-CONTENT CONNECTIONS:</b> Materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Content and the Standards for Mathematical Practice.<sup>7, 8</sup></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>4a)</b> The materials connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice and the Standards for Mathematical Content.</p>	<p><b>No</b></p>	<p>A chart is provided that links the Mathematical Practices to page numbers of the book- not to particular Standards for Mathematical Content.</p>
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>4b)</b> The developer provides a description or analysis, aimed at evaluators, which shows how materials meaningfully connect the Standards for Mathematical Practice to the Standards for Mathematical Content within each applicable grade.</p>	<p><b>No</b></p>	<p>There is no analysis showing meaningful connections between the Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice.</p>

<sup>7</sup> Refer also to criterion #7 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>8</sup> All items do not need to align to a Mathematical Practice. In addition, there is no requirement to have an equal balance among the Mathematical Practices in any set of materials or grade.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II: ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 5. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL CONTENT:</b> Materials foster focus and coherence by linking topics within grades (across domains and clusters) and across grades by staying consistent with the progressions in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5a)</b> Materials base content progressions on the grade-by-grade progressions in the Standards.<sup>9</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5b)</b> Materials provide all students extensive work with course-level problems. Review of material from previous grades and courses is clearly identified as such to the teacher, and teachers and students can see what their specific responsibility is for the current year.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>5c)</b> Materials relate course-level concepts explicitly to prior knowledge from earlier grades and courses. The materials are designed so that prior knowledge becomes reorganized and extended to accommodate the new knowledge.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>5d)</b> Materials include learning objectives that are visibly shaped by CCSSM cluster headings.<sup>10</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>5e)</b> Materials preserve the focus, coherence, and rigor of the Standards even when targeting specific objectives.<sup>11</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
<p><b>Additional Criterion 6. ALIGNMENT CRITERIA FOR STANDARDS FOR MATHEMATICAL PRACTICE:</b> Aligned materials make meaningful and purposeful connections that enhance the focus and coherence of the standards rather than detract from the focus and include additional content/skills to teach which are not included in the standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>6a)</b> Careful Attention to Each Practice Standard: Materials attend to the full meaning of each practice standard.<sup>11</sup> The analysis for evaluators explains how the full meaning of each practice standard has been attended to in the materials.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>6b)</b> Materials provide sufficient opportunities for students to construct viable arguments and critique the arguments of other concerning key grade-level mathematics that is detailed in the content standards (cf. MP.3).<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>6c)</b> Materials engage students in problem solving as a form of argument, attending thoroughly to places in the standards that explicitly set expectations for multi-step problems.<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>6d)</b> Materials explicitly attend to the specialized language of mathematics.<sup>12</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>9</sup> Refer also to criterion #5 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>10</sup> Refer also to criterion #6 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>11</sup> Refer also to criterion #9 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

<sup>12</sup> Refer also to criterion #10 in the K–8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (Y/N)	JUSTIFICATION/ COMMENTS
<b>SECTION II (continued): ADDITIONAL ALIGNMENT CRITERIA AND INDICATORS OF QUALITY</b>			
<p><b>Additional Criterion 7. INDICATORS OF QUALITY:</b> Quality materials should exhibit the indicators outlined here in order to give teachers and students the tools they need to meet the expectations of the Standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7a)</b> The underlying design of the materials distinguishes between problems and exercises. In essence the difference is that in solving problems, students learn new mathematics, whereas in working exercises, students apply what they have already learned to build mastery. Each problem or exercise has a purpose.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7b)</b> Design of assignments is not haphazard: exercises are given in intentional sequences.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7c)</b> There is variety in what students produce. For example, students are asked to produce answers and solutions, but also, in a grade-appropriate way, arguments and explanations, diagrams, mathematical models, etc.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7d)</b> There are separate teacher materials that support and reward teacher study including, but not limited to: discussion of the mathematics of the units and the mathematical point of each lesson as it relates to the organizing concepts of the unit, discussion on student ways of thinking and anticipating a variety of students responses, guidance on lesson flow, guidance on questions that prompt students thinking, and discussion of desired mathematical behaviors being elicited among students.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>REQUIRED</b> <b>7e)</b> Support for English Language Learners and other special populations is thoughtful and helps those students meet the same standards as all other students. The language in which problems are posed is carefully considered.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7f)</b> There is variety in the pacing and grain size of content coverage.<sup>13</sup></p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7g)</b> Lessons are thoughtfully structured and support the teacher in leading the class through the learning paths at hand, with active participation by all students in their own learning and in the learning of their classmates.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	<p><b>7h)</b> Manipulatives are faithful representations of the mathematical objects they represent and are connected to written methods.</p>		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.

<sup>13</sup> Refer also to page 18 in the K – 8 [Publishers' Criteria](#) for the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics (Spring 2013).

**Tier 1 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for Criteria 1–7.

**Tier 2 ratings** receive a “Yes” in Column 1 for all non-negotiable criteria (Criteria 1–4), but at least one “No” in Column 1 for the remaining criteria.

**Tier 3 ratings** receive a “No” in Column 1 for at least one of the non-negotiable criteria.

FINAL EVALUATION			
Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.			
Section	Criteria	Y/N	Final Justification/Comments
<b>I: Non-Negotiables</b>	1. Focus on Major Work	<b>Yes</b>	For this most part, the materials treat the standards individually, or at best by domain. Since a majority of the grade 8 standards are major, standards representing major work are targeted by approximately 75% of the materials.
	2. Consistent, Coherent Content	<b>No</b>	Supporting content is not connected to major content.
	3. Rigor and Balance	<b>No</b>	Two aspects of rigor are not addressed at all.
	4. Practice-Content Connections	<b>No</b>	A chart is provided that links the Mathematical Practices to page numbers of the book- not to particular Standards for Mathematical Content. There is no analysis showing meaningful connections between the Standards for Mathematical Content and Standards for Mathematical Practice.
<b>II: Additional Alignment Criteria and Indicators of Quality</b>	5. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Content		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	6. Alignment Criteria for Standards for Mathematical Practice		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
	7. Indicators of Quality		Not evaluated. Non-negotiable criteria were not met.
<b>FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: Tier III, Not representing quality</b>			